## Traffic Safety Improvement Program

## Traffic Control Devices Category FY 2025



Applications Received by August 16, 2023

Applications listed in alphabetical order by applicant.

| Page No. | Applicant | Title/Subject | \$ \$ \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Project | Request |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Appanoose County | Portable Temporary Traffic Signals | \$62,195 | \$62,195 |
| 14 | City of Dike | Replace Signs | \$7,926 | \$7,926 |
| 34 | City of Dysart | Speed feedback signs | \$26,845 | \$26,845 |
| 49 | City of Popejoy | Solar Flashing Beacons | \$1,475 | \$1,475 |
| 58 | City of Waterloo | Bike lane relocation | \$47,429 | \$47,429 |
| 72 | City of Waucoma | Speed feedback signs | \$12,500 | \$12,500 |
| 85 | City of West Des Moines | Programmable Signal Heads | \$90,000 | \$90,000 |
| 107 | City of West Des Moines | RRFBs | \$80,000 | \$60,000 |
| 123 | Clay County | Portable Temporary Traffic Signals | \$68,750 | \$68,750 |
| 138 | Clay County | Reflective sign posts | \$5,617 | \$5,617 |
| 148 | Davis County | Portable Temporary Traffic Signals | \$63,500 | \$63,500 |
| 168 | Guthrie County | Upgrade curve and warning signs, new posts, and battery packs | \$41,356 | \$41,356 |
| 180 | Hamilton County | Portable Changeable Message Signs | \$40,150 | \$40,150 |
| 193 | Hancock | Portable Rumble Strips | \$13,596 | \$13,596 |
| 203 | Hancock | Solar Flashing Beacons | \$25,141 | \$25,141 |


| 262 | Humboldt County | Portable Temporary Traffic Signals | \$55,400 | \$55,400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 273 | Humboldt County | Solar Flashing Beacons | \$19,323 | \$19,323 |
| 281 | Jefferson County | Portable Temporary Traffic Signals | \$59,000 | \$59,000 |
| 299 | Local Systems | Sign Replacement Program | \$200,000 | \$200,000 |
| 301 | Mills County | Portable Temporary Traffic Signals | \$62,200 | \$62,200 |
| 311 | Pottawattamie County | Activated Warning Light Systems | \$120,579 | \$106,237 |
| 331 | Story County | Portable Temporary Traffic Signals | \$61,100 | \$61,100 |
| 344 | Van Buren County | Solar Flashing Beacons and Reflective strips | \$40,084 | \$40,084 |
| 367 | TOTAL | Applications | \$1,204,166 | \$1,169,824 |

# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 



Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Appanoose County Secondary Roads Department

Signed:


Attest:


Date Signed
Mackenzie Milani
Printed Name

# RESOLUTION FOR TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM Grant Application for PORTABLE TEMPORARY TRAFFIC SIGNALS 

## APPANOOSE COUNTY RESOLUTION No. 2023-40

WHEREAS, the Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program operates under the rules of Iowa Administrative Code 761- Chapter 164; and

WHEREAS, said program allows for the distribution of traffic safety funds to cities, counties, and the Iowa DOT for eligible traffic safety improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, Appanoose County has determined that providing portable temporary traffic signals will aid in improving the safety of flaggers, road crews, and the traveling public during road maintenance activities; and

WHEREAS, the County Engineer recommends a TSIP application for funding of the above mentioned traffic control devices.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we, the Board of Supervisors of Appanoose County do hereby declare support for and endorsement of the Grant Application for said portable temporary traffic signals. The Board further endorses the submittal of such application for grant funding and assures that the County will adequately maintain such improvements within the Appanoose County Secondary Roads system; and directs the County Engineer to pursue available funding for said project.

Passed and Adopted this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of August 2023.


Chairman Board of Supervisors
Appanoose County, Iowa

ATTEST:


Kelly Howard
Appanoose County Auditor

## Narrative

The Appanoose County Secondary Roads is applying for the Traffic Safety Improvement Program with the intent to purchase a set of Portable Traffic Signals with the funds. If the county maintenance crew had these available, we would have safer work zones by reducing exposure of flagging personnel and be more visible to traffic.

Appanoose County Roads Department is responsible for the engineering, construction and maintenance of the county's secondary road system. The secondary road system in Appanoose County consists of 765 total miles with 115 of those miles being hard surface. In addition, there are over 170 bridges maintained by Appanoose County. The traffic volumes on a typical road range from 25 vehicles per day on local roads to 1900 on Farm to Market, with posted speed limits ranging from 35 mph to 55 mph . During the summer, many roads traffic volumes increase two and three times the state AADT due to traffic related to Rathbun Lake.

Currently, when county maintenance crews are on a brush cutting project, a spotter is used to let the operators know of traffic. This tool would remove that spotter from the shoulder and allow them to assist with the labor workload of the maintenance project. We plan to utilize the temporary traffic signals in many maintenance options such as brush cutting, patching, bridge repair, guardrail etc.

The primary use of the temporary traffic signals would be in a work zone with a temporary lane closure, two-way traffic project. MUTCD Standard Part 6 has guidance and standards for the use of traffic signals in these types of work zones. In addition to providing safer work zones for County maintenance crews, below are few more examples of the benefits of the temporary traffic signal:

- Signals provide a better visual for motorists than a flagger
- Eliminates a having a worker in the clear zone or in traffic lanes
- Being understaffed, it frees the traffic control flaggers to have them work on the labor crew of the project
- Allows for the option to have overnight lane closures for road and bridge repairs

In conclusion, Appanoose County is requesting TSIP funding to purchase JTI PTS-2000 Traffic Signals in the amount equal to the cost of one set. The referenced traffic signals are MUTCD approved with two signals, solar charging, multiday batteries, and on a towable trailer. These features will provide safer and more efficient traffic operations in work zones day and night. Additionally, these signals would benefit other County Departments, local cities and surrounding counties if requested.

## Itemized Breakdown of Cost



1560 LOVETT DRIVE, DIXON, IL 61021

6/26/2023
00006885


| Notes | JTI estimated this new PTS 2000 Portable Traffic Signal Set (2 PTS Trailers) with listed options. Quote includes PTS Back Plates and Visors. Includes front panel programming, no tablet required. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ADDCO PTS2000 Description | ADDCO PTS 2000 Solar Powered Portable Traffic Signals - 1 Set ( 2 Trailers, with 2 three section heads), which includes: Fully Automated Mast Deployment, Lifting Eye for Trailer Placement, Retractable Tongue for Safety and Security, Two 260w Solar Panels, Solar Tilt and Rotate, 8, 6 volt 225 amp-hour deep cycle Heavy Duty Batteries, 110 volt option, Electric Brakes, Low Power Consumption LEDs, Galaxy Operating System: 10 Day Split programming, Ability to control up to 16 traffic phases, Dynamic Clearing Function, data logger \& PTS-2000 Manual. Includes Onsite PTS training, 24/7 telephone support and JTI Training website. |
| FOB | Destination |
| Terms \& Conditions PTS Sale | John Thomas, Inc. guarantees all electrical and mechanical components, other than tires and batteries, for a period of 2 years. Guarantee excludes accidents, misuse and acts of God. Certificates of Origin will be provided upon receipt of payment |
| Expiration Date | 8/31/2023 |

## Signature

TIME SCHEDULE

| TSIP Application Due | August 15, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| TSIP Award Notification | Mid-January, 2024 |
| TSIP Funding Available | July 1, 2024 |
| Purchase Temporary Signals | July 2024 |
| Use of Temporary Signals | July 2024 |


(Example images source https://jtitraffic.com/portable-signals/)


## Plan View

Figure 6H-12. Lane Closure on a Two-Lane Road Using Traffic Control Signals (TA-12)


Image source: https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/htm/2009/part6/fig6h 12 longdesc.htm


Image source: https://www.iowadot.gov/erl/current/RS/content_eng/tc215.pdf

## Traffic Volumes



## Traffic Signal Layout

Refer to the above County Map and Plan View for typical application of one lane closure throughout the county. Refer to the Itemized Cost Breakdown for the proposed type of temporary traffic signals.

# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORMATION DATE: 5/1/2023
Location / Title of Project City of Dike Street Project
City of Dike
Contact Person Lindsay Nielsen Title City Clerk
Complete Mailing Address PO Box 160
Dike, IA 50624
Phone ..... $\frac{\text { 319-989-2291 }}{\text { (Area Code) }}$
E-Mail Inielsen@dikeia.com
If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).
Co-Applicant(s)
$\qquad$
Contact PersonTitle
Complete Mailing Address
$\qquad$
Phone
E-Mail
$\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ $\quad 7925.70$
\$
\$
7925.70
Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain
$\square$ No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the City of Dike

Signed:


Lindsay Nielsen, City clerk
Printed Name

Attest: $\frac{\text { Anmekicher }}{\text { Signature }} 5-1-23$

Ann Hilliard, deputy clerk
Printed Name

Cutsforth introduced Resolution \#0308202301, A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR THE IOWA DOT TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT GRANT FOR STREET SIGNS IN THE CITY OF DIKE. WHEREAS, THE IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HAS ESTABILED THE TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT GRANT, WHICH PROVIDES FUNDING FOR LOCATIONS WHERE SAFETY IS A CONERN AND WHEREAS THE CITY OF DIKE HAS IDENTIFIED THAT STREET SIGNAGE ALL OVER TOWN IS IN NEED OF REPLACEMENT AND REPAIRS, WHEREAS THE CITY OF DIKE IS WILLING TO HELP WITH UP TO $\$ 5000$ FOR THIS PROJECT. Second by Camarata. Roll Call Vote: Ayes: Cutsforth, Feaker, Mikkelsen and Camarata. Nays: None. Absent: Kauten. Whereupon the Mayor declared Resolution \#0308202301, duly adopted.

Passed this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of March, 2023

ATTEST:


Lindsay Nielsen, City Clerk


A majority of our signs are in poor shape as in no reflectivity, bent, scratched up or broken. Some of the signs are faded to the point that you can hardly read what they say. Most of the post are the old round style and need to be upgraded to the new breakaway kind. We have a county blacktop that runs through town where kids cross to get to school and the crossing signs need updated for better visual coverage. We also have several speed limit and weight limit signs that were made from old signs that got repainted and have vinyl lettering that is coming off. They also have no reflectivity. A lot of our street signs (names) are unreadable with round post. They need to be changed to the new style. New signage would help traffic understand the limits are, see the routes and overall beautification of the town. It will also help with night time visibility for all signage. Upgrading to new signage in town will help with overall safety and beautification of Dike.



LOAD OVER
5 TONS
PROHIBITED EXCEPT BY ORDIINANCE








IOWA PRISON INDUSTRIES
406 N. High St
QUOTATION
ANAMOSA, IA5 52205

TO:
DIKE CITY OF
PO BOX 160
DIKE, IA 50624
(319)989-2291 Fax: (319)989-2694

ATTN:
In response to your inquiry, we are pleased to offer the following:

| Quote No. | Date | Cust No | S/M | Your Referenced Inquiry | Delivery Promise | F.O.B. | Expiration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0007002 | $2 / 20 / 2023$ | DIK300 | 6 | WEEKS ARO | NET 30 |  |  |



## Total for Quote \$

IOWA PRISON INDUSTRIES 406 N. High St.

TO:

| DIKE CITY OF |
| :--- |
| PO BOX 160 |
| DIKE, IA 50624 |
| (319)989-2291Fax: (319)989-2694 |
|  |

ATTN:
In response to your inquiry, we are pleased to offer the following:

SHP TO:
DIKE CITY OF 540 MAIN
DIKE, IA 50624
(319)989-2291 Fax: (319)989-2694

ATTN: ANN 319-989-2291

| Quote No. | Date | Cust No | S/M | Your Referenced Inquiry | Dellvery Promise | F. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0007002 | $2 / 20 / 2023$ | DIK300 | 6 |  | Expiratiton |  |


| Item Quantity | UM | Part |  | Description | Price | Extension |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SHEETING: HIP <br> SCREEN: D-09 |  |  |
| 007 | 1.000 | EA | FR7-2A-12X18PA | NO PARKING() |  |  |

Regulatory Sign
Single Lane | Multi-Lane
12×18
SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM
PUNCH SPEC: VR-1A
COLOR: RED-WHITE
SHEETING: HIP
SCREEN: T-04 + SCIP
LIST MESSAGE BY EACH:
1-HERE TO CORNER


Warning Sign
Single Lane
30×30
SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM
PUNCH SPEC: D-1A
COLOR: BLACK-YELLOW
SHEETING: HIP
SCREEN: B-06
$12 \times 18$
SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM
PUNCH SPEC: ST-1
COLOR: WHITE/BLACK
SHEETING: HIP
PER DESIGN: 30092.41345 .2
LIST MESSAGE BY EACH:
2-WARNING BURIED ELECTRIC
ST MARKER 2 SIDED
29.3000

2,285.40
FSM2-24X6PA

IOWA PRISON INDUSTRIES 406 N. High St.

QUOTATION
ANAMOSA, IA 52205

TO:

| DIKE CITY OF |
| :--- |
| PO BOX 160 |
| DIKE, IA 50624 |
| (319)989-2291Fax: (319)989-2694 |
|  |

ATTN:

SHIP TO:
DIKE CITY OF 540 MAIN
DIKE, IA 50624
(319)989-2291 Fax: (319)989-2694

ATTN: ANN 319-989-2291

In response to your inquiry, we are pleased to offer the following:

| Quote No. | Dat̂e | Cust No | S/M | Your Referenced Inquiry | Delivery Promise | F.O.B. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0007002 | $2 / 20 / 2023$ | DIK300 | 6 | 4WEEKSARO | Expiration |  |



Regulatory sign
Single Lane
$18 \times 24$
SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM PUNCH SPEC: VR-1A
COLOR: BLACK-WHITE SHEETING: HIP
SCREEN: R-02 +
LIST NUMBERS BY EACH:
1-20 MPH
8 - 25 MPH

SINGLEARROW
Waming Sign
Single Lane | Multi-Lane
48X24
SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM PUNCH SPEC: HR-2A
COLOR: BLACK-YELLOW
SHEETING: HIP
SCREEN: A-15

TRUCK ROUTE
25.9000


Regulatory sign
Single Lane | Muiti-Lane
24X18
SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM
PUNCH SPEC: HR-1A


TO:
DIKE CITY OF
PO BOX 160
DIKE, IA 50624
(319)989-2291Fax: (319)989-2694

ATTN:
In response to your inquiry, we are pleased to offer the following:

| Quote No. Date |  |  | Cust No S/M | Your Referenced Inquiry Delivery Promise | F.O.B. | Expiration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0007002 2/20/2023 |  |  | DIK300 6 | 4 WEEKS ARO |  | NET 30 |
| Itene | Quantity | UM | Part | Description | Price | Extepsion |
| 004 | 4.0000 | EA | FR12-1-24X30PA | COLOR: BLACK-WHITE SHEETING: HIP SCREEN: l-06 |  | 172.40 |
|  |  |  |  | WEIGHTLIMIT (\#) TONS <br> Regulatory sign <br> Single Lane \| Multi-Lane <br> 24×30 <br> SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM <br> PUNCH SPEC: VR-1A <br> COLOR: BLACK-WHITE <br> SHEETING: HIP <br> SCREEN: H-03 \& SCIP <br> LIST NUMBERS BY EACH: <br> 4-5 TONS | 43.1000 |  |
| 005 | 2.0000 | EA | FiS192-12×18PA | DISABLED SYMBOL PARKING ONLY LEAVE FINE OFF | 13.0000 | 26.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Single Lane \| Multi-Lane <br> $12 \times 18$ <br> SUBSTRATE: KO80ALUM <br> PUNCH SPEC: VR-1A <br> COLOR: WHITE-BLUE <br> SHEETING: HIP <br> SCREEN: S-18 |  |  |
| 006 | 1.0000 | EA | FW3-3-30×30PA | SIGNAL AHEAD SYMBOL Waming Sign Single Lane I Multi-Lane $30 \times 30$ <br> SUBSTRATE: K080ALUM PUNCH SPEC: D-1A COLOR:BLACK-YELLOW SYMBOL: ZUND CUT RED-GREEN | 53.9000 | 53.90 |

## PLEASE NOTE OUR NEW MAILING ADDRESS!

SHIP TO:
DIKE CITY OF
540 MAlN
DIKE, IA 50624
(319)989-2291 Fax: (319)989-2694

ATTN: ANN 319-989-2291

City of Dike Post and Hardware Quote - 180 Hem(s) $\$ 4,497.00$
Quote is valid for 30 days
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Product Description } & \text { Unit Price } & \text { Quantity } & \text { Total } \\ \hline\end{array} \begin{array}{lll}\text { POST SQUARE }\end{array}\right]$

POST SQUARE 4'
X2" 12 GA
FROSTSQ4X2 12 GA
$\$ 21.80$
60
$\$ 1,308.00$

4-Way Street
Marker HDW
Set, 5-1/4" Blade Holder for 1-
$\$ 14.00$

$\$ 840.00$ 3/4" Sq Post FHDW4WAYSQ1-3/4"STD

# CIOWADOT <br> <br> Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE <br> <br> Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: 8/14/2023
Location / Title of Project
Dysart Speed Signs
Applicant City of Dysart
Contact Person Tabby Kaiser Title City Clerk

| Complete Mailing Address | PO Box 686, 601 Wilson Street |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dysart, lowa 52224 |  |

Phone $\frac{319-476-5690}{\text { (Area Code) }} \quad$ E-Mail dysart@fctc.coop

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title $\qquad$
Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Phone


PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

| Total Safety Cost | $\$ 26,845.30$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ 26,845.30$ |
| Safety Funds Requested | $\$ \underline{26,845.30}$ |

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the City of Dysart

Signed:


Tim Glenn
Printed Name

Attest:


Tabby Kaiser
Printed Name

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-43

## A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TSIP) APPLICATION FOR SPEED LIMIT RADAR FEEDBACK SIGNS

WHEREAS, the Iowa Department of Transportation has adopted the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow for funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for eligible traffic safety improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Dysart, Iowa, has determined that providing speed limit radar feedback signs will help slow down traffic within our city limits; and

WHEREAS, speed limit radar feedback signs are recognized as speed control devices in the manual on uniform traffic control devices; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Dysart, Iowa, recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible safety funds for the above-mentioned devices.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Dysart, Iowa, to endorse the preparation and submittal of the application for the TSIP to the Iowa Department of Transportation, and hereby commits to accepting and maintaining these speed devices.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council authorizes the placement and maintenance of traffic control devices as necessary for the enforcement of the traffic control regulations.

PASSED AND APPROVED this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2023.


Attest:


Tabby Kaiser, CMC
City Clerk

## CITY OF DYSART, IOWA

## 601 WILSON ST, PO BOX 686

DYSART, IA 52224

## B. NARRATIVE

The city of Dysart, lowa, is applying for the Traffic Safety Improvement Program funds to be used for the purchase of 6 AC powered radar speed feedback signs and 2 portable solar powered radar speed feedback signs.

We are applying for this grant in hopes to slow down traffic through town, providing safer streets for our citizens. These signs would be placed on our two heavily traveled streets to slow down the traffic coming into town from higher speed limit zones and those leaving town that speed up before exiting the 25 mph zones.

Both main roads are used by children going to our elementary and middle school. Sherman Street goes by our City Park, that includes the city playground, tennis courts and basketball courts. The park also holds several baseball games and events, drawing many people. Both roads also run through residential areas with children at play, including one deaf child and another child with developmental delays. The two roads we would like signs on are also heavily traveled on by semi's and farm equipment, going to the local grain elevator or farm to farm via Sherman Street (a common complaint area from residents).

We believe having these signs will slow down the traffic entering and exiting our small city, keeping it safer for our children and pedestrians on foot or bicycle safer. The City of Dysart would be asking for $100 \%$ of the cost of the radar speed signs but will be responsible for the installation and maintenance.

Thank you for your consideration to our project.

## C. PRICE

6 - AC powered Stalker radar systems @ \$2,944 each $=\$ 17,664.00$ (see attached quotes with breakdown) 2 - Solar powered Stalker radar systems @ \$4,590.65 = \$9,181.30 (see attached quotes with breakdown) TOTAL \$26,845.30

## D. TIME SCHEDULE

TSIP Application Due: August 15, 2023
TSIP Award Notification: Mid-January 2024
TSIP Funding Available: July 1, 2024
Final Quote Comparison: July 2024 (est.)
Installation of Radar Speed Signs: August-October 2024 (est.)

## F. PLAN PICTURES

Sherman St./Dodge St. heading west into town from Hwy 21


Sherman St./Fairlane St. heading east, leaving town


Sherman St./West St. heading west, leaving town


Sherman St./West St. heading east, coming into town



Wilson St./Liberty St. heading east, into town



Wilson St. /Tama St. heading east, leaving town

U




HIGHWAY AND STREET MAP OF DYSART IOWA
prepared by
IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, PROGRAMMING, AND MODAL DIVISION

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS PLANNING
PHONE (515) 239-1664
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
applied concepts, inc.

855 E. Collins Blvd
Richardson, TX 75081
Phone: 972-398-3780
Fax: 972-398-3781

National Toll Free: 1-800- STALKER
Inside Sales Partner: $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Pam Schneidewind } \\ & +1-972-801-4890 \\ & \text { pans @stalkerradar.com }\end{aligned}\right.$ Reg Sales Mgr: $\mid$

Page 1 of 1
Date: 08/02/23
Peter Bauer
972-398-3780
peter@stalkerradar.com

| Effective From : 08/02/2023 | Valid Through: | $10 / 31 / 2023$ | Lead Time: | 45 working days |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bill To: | Customer ID: P177 13 | Ship To: | FedEx Ground |  |
| Dysart Police Dept | Accounts Payable | Dysart Police Dept <br> 601 Wilson St <br> 601 Wilson St <br> Dysart, IA 52224-9733 | Chief Joe Hols |  |


configuration
$12^{\prime \prime}$ character height w/software
permanent install
power at pole
red/blue strobes
graphics and text enabled
short ranger wireles access
"your speed" surround black on white

This Quote or Purchase Order is subject in all respects to the Terms and Conditions detailed at the back of this document. These Terms and Conditions contain limitations of liability, waivers of liability even for our own negligence, and indemnification provisions, all of which may affect your rights. Please review these Terms and Conditions carefully before proceeding.

## applied concepts,inc.

855 E. Collins Blvd Richardson, TX 75081
Phone: 972-398-3780
Fax: 972-398-3781

Page 1 of 2
Date: 08/02/23
Inside Sales Partner: $\left|\begin{array}{l}\text { Pam Schneidewind } \\ +1-972-801-4890 \quad \text { Reg Sales Mgr: } \\ \text { pams@stalkerradar.com }\end{array}\right|$
Peter Bauer
972-398-3780
peter@stalkerradar.com

| Effective From : 08/02/2023 | Valid Through: | 10/31/2023 | Lead Time: | 45 working days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bill To: | Customer ID: P17713 | Ship To: | FedEx Ground |  |
| Dysart Police Dept 601 Wilson St Dysart, IA 52224-9733 | Accounts Payable | Dysart Police Dept 601 Wilson St Dysart, IA 52224-9733 |  | oe Hols |




Page 2 of 2
855 E. Collins Blvd
Richardson, TX 75081
Phone: 972-398-3780
Fax: 972-398-3781
National Toll Free: 1-800-STALKER

Inside Sales Partner: $|$| Pam Schneidewind |
| :--- |
| $+1-972-801-4890$ |
| pams@stalkerradar.com | Reg Sales Mgr: $\mid$

Date: 08/02/23
Peter Bauer
972-398-3780
peter@stalkerradar.com

| Effective From: 08/02/2023 | Valid Through: | 10/31/2023 | Lead Time: | 45 working days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bill To: | Customer ID: P17713 | Ship To: | FedEx Ground |  |
| Dysart Police Dept 601 Wilson St Dysart, IA 52224-9733 | Accounts Payable | Dysart Police Dept 601 Wilson St Dysart, IA 52224-9733 |  | Joe Hols |


| Product | $\$ 4,501.15$ | Sub-Total: | $\$ 4,501.15$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Discount | $\$ 0.00$ | Sales Tax $0 \%$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  | Shipping \& Handling: | $\$ 89.50$ |
| Payment Terms: Net 30 days |  | Total: USD | $\$ 4,590.65$ |
|  |  |  |  |

confirguration:
$12^{\prime \prime}$ character height w/software
50W solar panel
(1) battery kit
(1) strap kit for battery \#2
red/blue strobes
graphics and text enabled
"your speed" surround black on white

This Quote or Purchase Order is subject in all respects to the Terms and Conditions detailed at the back of this document. These Terms and Conditions contain limitations of liability, waivers of liability even for our own negligence, and indemnification provisions, all of which may affect your rights. Please review these Terms and Conditions carefully before proceeding.

## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

GENERAL INFORMATION
DATE: 01/11/2023
Location / Title of Project
First Street Stop Sign LED Flashers
Applicant City of Popejoy
Contact Person Gina Bradley Title City Clerk

| Complete Mailing Address | 1006 Main Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Popejoy, lowa 50227 |
| Phone | $\frac{(641) 373-1660}{\text { (Area Code) }}$ | E-Mail cityofpopejoy@hotmail.com |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\square$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

## Funding Amount

| Total Safety Cost | $\$ 1,475.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ 1,475.00$ |
| Safety Funds Requested | $\$ 1,475.00$ |

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating local governments). I understand the attached resolutions) binds the participating local governments) to assume responsibility if any additional funds are committed, and to ensure maintenance of any new or improved city streets or secondary roads.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the City of Popejoy, Iowa - Franklin County Iowa

Signed:


Dale Maas - Mayor
Typed Name

Attest:


Gina Bradley - City Clerk

[^0]
# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TSIP) <br> CITY OF POPEJOY, FRANKLIN COUNTY IOWA 

## APPLICATION NARRATIVE

The City of Popejoy is applying for Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds to be used to reimburse the purchase of mountable LED solar flashing beacons. These devices will be installed on the east-bound and west-bound stop signs located on First Street. The City of Popejoy's goal with the installation is to provide greater visibility of the stop signs, increasing safety for motorists and residents of the community.

Residents of Popejoy have brought concerns to the City Council that traffic regularly do not stop at the east-bound or west-bound stop signs. The City of Popejoy Park is located one block from the intersection of First Street (County Highway S21) and Main Street, leading the City Council to put public safety and awareness of the stop signs at the forefront of this application.

The City Council approved the purchase of two LED flashing beacons at the Regular City Council meeting October 11, 2022. The City Clerk placed the order as directed. The City of Popejoy requests reimbursement of funds paid for the material. Installation of the devices will be by community volunteer. The City of Popejoy will solely be responsible for the maintenance of these devices.

## SCOPE OF WORK/SCHEDULE OF APPLICATION

October 2022 - City Council approved purchase of two LED solar flashing beacons December 2022 - City Council approval of Resolution No. 12.5.2022 January 2023 - Submission of grant to the lowa Department of Transportation 2023 - Await decision of grant application for reimbursement

RESOLUTION NO. 12.5.2022

WHEREAS, The Iowa Department of Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761-Chapter 164, which created the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow for funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for eligible traffic safety improvements; and

WHEREAS, the Popejoy City Council has determined that providing mountable solar flashing beacons on stop signs located on First Steet at the intersection of First Street and Main Street will improve safety for the City of Popejoy residents; and

WHEREAS, the Popejoy City Council recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible reimbursement of safety funds of the above-mentioned traffic control devices.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Popejoy City Council hereby supports and approves the application for the Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program funding and commits to purchasing and maintaining the mountable flashing beacons.

ADOPTED THIS $\qquad$ d DAY OF $\qquad$ , 2022

Roll Call Vote:
Lonnie. Christensen: yes
Shi Trenary: yes
Sean Ludwig' yes
Dennis Bradley: yes


Dale Maas, Mayor




## Your ledlighting-solutions.com Order

sales@ledlighting-solutions.com [sales@ledlighting-solutions.com](mailto:sales@ledlighting-solutions.com)
Tue 10/25/2022 12:49 PM
To: cityofpopejoy@hotmail.com [cityofpopejoy@hotmail.com](mailto:cityofpopejoy@hotmail.com)

```
ledlighting-solutions.com
4 2 4 1 0 ~ W i n c h e s t e r ~ R d ~
Temecula, CA 92590
US
```


## Order Confirmation

Order \#21416
Placed: 10/25/2022 13:49:45 EDT
Status: Pending

Thank you for your order. Below is a summary for your records. You may check the status of your order here. Feel free to contact us via email or at 888-925-1966 for assistance with this order.

## Bill To

Name: Gina Bradley
Email: cityofpopejoy@hotmail.com
Phone: 6413731660
Company: City of Popejoy
Address: 1102 Prairie Street
Popejoy, IA 50227
US

## Ship To

Name: Gina Bradley
Email: cilyofpopejoy@hotmail.com
Phone: 6413731660
Company: City of Popejoy
Address: 1102 Prairie Street
Popejoy, IA 50227
US

| Item | Qty | Price | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8" Flashing Beacon <br> CFLBC08 | 2 | $\$ 699.99$ | $\$ 1,399.98$ |
| COLOR: RED |  |  |  |

Shipping: Ground Shipping:

Total:

## SOLAR LED FLASHING BEACON



Our bright Solar Powered LED Flashing Beacon is part of our solar traffic safety series

- The beacon's bright flashing LED Lights can be seen from over 2500ft and makes for a great addition to high traffic areas
- Made with ABS plastic shell and high intensity LED flashing lights
- Beacon has a rechargeable battery and mountable solar panel that makes this product energy efficient and easy to use in locations where there in sunlight


## PRODUCT APPLICATIONS

The Solar Powered LED Flashing Beacon can be widely used for residential driving areas, private lots, shopping centers, school zones and many other driving areas.

## PRODUCT SPECIFICTIONS

TYPE: Solar LED Flashing Warning Light
SOLAR CHARGING PANEL: Monocrystalline Silicone
\& 8 " Beacon Solar Charging Panel: $18 \mathrm{~V}, 8 \mathrm{~W}$

- 12" Beacon Solar Charging Panel: 18V, 10W

LIGHT: 10W LED
WORKING MODE OPTIONS:
X $24 / 7$

- Timer Activation

BATTERY: Lithium Battery 12V / 1400AH
COLOR OPTIONS: Amber or Red


FLASHING: 50 times per minute
VISIBLE DISTANCE: >2500 Feet
MATERIAL: ABS Plastic
WORKING TIME: After one full charge, 5-7 consecutive days
BATTERY LIFE SPAN: 3-5 Years
SIZE OPTIONS: 8" Diameter Beacon / 12" Diameter Beacon

INCLUDES:

- FIXTURE
- Solar panel
- MOUNTING HARDWARE
- LIGHT SHIELD


# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 



If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
\$ 47,000
Total Project Cost
\$ 47,000
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 47,000

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain $\qquad$ $\square$ No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolution(s), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the City of Waterloo Signed: 8/7/2023
Signature Date Signed

Quentin Hart
Printed Name

Attest:
8/7/2023
Signature Date Signed

Printed Name

# Traffic Control Device Application 

## PARK AVENUE BICYCLE LANES RELOCATION

City of Waterloo
Summer 2023

Prepared by LeAnn M. Even, Deputy City Clerk, City of Waterloo, 715 Mulberry Street, Waterloo, IA 50703, (319) 291-4323.

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-464

```
RESOLUTION APPROVING SUBMISSION OF AN IOWA DOT TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT GRANT APPLICATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \(\$ 47,000.00\), FOR INSTALLATION OF REDESIGNED BICYCLE LANES AND COMMITTING TO MAINTAINING THE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT.
```

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WATERLOO, IOWA, that submission of the Traffic Safety Improvement Grant application from the Iowa Department of Transportation, in the amount of $\$ 47,000.00$, for installation of redesigned bicycle lanes and committing to maintaining the improvements for the life of the project, is hereby approved.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of August 2023.


Quentin Hart, Mayor

ATTEST:


## NARRATIVE

## TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE APPLICATION

## PARK AVENUE BICYCLE LANES

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

Park Avenue from Washington Street to Franklin Street was a four-lane roadway with a speed limit of 30 MPH and low traffic volumes (3200-5800 AADT in 2017). The City reduced the number lanes from 4 to 2, installed parking protected bicycle lanes, and reduced speed limit to 20 MPH . Existing bicycle are now next to the curb and cars park between the bicycle lanes and traffic lanes. The sidewalks on both sides remained intact. A cross-over weaving area between the bike lanes and car lanes was installed at intersection approaches to create a right turn lane for cars.

Problem: As soon as the project was completed the bicyclists and the drivers started to complain. Bicyclist complained about being uncomfortable at the cross-over point, cars parking on the bicycle path, debris that ended up washed next to the curb, and the pavement condition especially at intake crates. The bike lanes are not meeting the intended objectives of ensuring the safety of bicyclists. Cyclists frequently avoid using the bike lanes. They either illegally use the sidewalks, endangering pedestrians and themselves, or mix with vehicular traffic risking their well-being. There are some inherent safety concerns associated with the current "parking protected" bike lane design next to the curb. Drivers are frequently confused as to where they are supposed to park and park next to the curb blocking the bike lane. The curbs tend to accumulate debris that pose an additional hazard. At intersection approaches bicyclists merge from behind parked cars to cross paths with right turning traffic. Cars do not see the bicyclist in time. The require maneuver and markings is unusual and confuses both the driver and cyclists. Cyclists tend to avoid the bike lane after they have used it once or twice. Individual bicyclists, the Cedar Valley Bicycle Association, Waterloo Complete Streets Committee, and some City Council members have complained about the safety of the existing design.

## PROPOSED CONCEPT

Based on collaboration and consultations with bicyclists, bicycle coalition, and complete streets committee, we propose to relocate the bicycle lanes on Park Avenue from the curb-side to a widely recognizable safer position, between parked cars and the traffic lane, and make it a straight path without any diversion points. This will encourage more bicyclists to use the designated lanes promoting safer and more efficient cycling. Painting the bike lanes green will make the lanes stand out. As part of this project curb extension bulb-outs at intersections are also proposed.

## SAFETY JUSTIFICATION

By implementing this solution, we aim to eliminate the hazardous situations created when cars park in the bike lanes, avoiding debris found next to the curb on the existing bike lane location, avoiding uneven intake crates, and eliminating the unfamiliar weaving point. Relocating the bicycle lanes will enhance overall traffic safety for both road users and pedestrians, as bicycles
will have dedicated spaces away from both parked vehicles and sidewalks. This design also puts the bicyclists and the drives in constant view of one another enhancing safety. Another safety improvement measure, made possible by the proposed change, will be ability to add curb extension bulb outs at intersections. Risk of right hook crashes has been reduced due to existence of bicycle signals. Relocating bike lanes will free up sidewalks, reducing conflicts between pedestrians and cyclists, thus enhancing pedestrian safety. Painting the lanes green will improve safety by making the lanes standout and help attract drivers' attention and emphasize that this part of the roadway is set aside for bicycles.

Other benefits: This project will improve accessibility in the downtown area. Park Avenue bicycle lanes connect the trail systems north and south of Waterloo, providing a critical connection within the citywide bike network.

ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF ALL COSTS

| ITEM | QUANTITY | UNITS | UNT COST | TOTAL ITEM COST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAINT REMOVAL | 550 | STN | 38 | 20,900 |
| GREEN PAINT | 450 | GAL | 13 | 5,850 |
| WHITE PAINT | 100 | GAL | 11 | 1,100 |
| BOLLARD | 274 | EACH | 40 | 10,960 |
| SIGN \& SIGN POST | 42 | EACH | 80 | 3,360 |
| Traffic Control | 1 | LS | 2500 | 2500 |
| INCIDENTALS | 1 | LS | 500 | 500 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | 47,170 |
| CONTINGENCY 5\% |  |  |  | 2,259 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 47,429 |
| ROUNDED |  |  |  | \$47,000 |

## TIME SCHEDULE

The proposed Schedule for the relocation of bicycle lanes on Park Avenue is as follows:

1. City-State Agreement Exchange: By June 2024
2. Design: June 2024-August 2024
3. Construction: September 2024-October 2024
4. Completion October 2024

## LOCATION MAP

## E



## F. COLOR PICTURES of the project site




G. PLAN VIEW


## H. Recent TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND/OR TURNING MOVEMENT

Iowa DOT 2021 volume shown below are about half of 2017 numbers (Due to Covid perhaps.)


# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: August 11, 2023
Location / Title of Project City of Waucoma Radar Speed Signs
Applicant City of Waucoma
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title City Clerk
Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$ PO Box 5 Waucoma, IA 52171

Phone 563-380-6528 E-Mail waucomacityclerk@gmail.com (Area Code)
If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone
E-Mail
$\qquad$

## (Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 12,500.
\$ 12,500.
\$ 12,500.

Additional Project Safety Documentation (when available):
$\square$ Project information sheet(s) or "Risk Score">50\% from County/City's Local Road Safety Plan
$\square$ FHWA SS4A Safety Action Plan or similar comprehensive transportation safety planlowa DOT TEAP Study or similar analysis and conceptProject intersection or segment with High or Medium PCR Level (PCR-All or PCR-Severe) from the lowa DOT Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) web-based map tool https://pcr.iowadot.gov/

|  | Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) | Information |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection ID |  |  |  |
| (1234567890) |  |  |  |

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the City of Waucoma, Iowa

Signed:


> Printed Name

Attest:

$\frac{\text { Marlene Kemp }}{\text { Printed Name }}$

## RESOLUTION NO. 2023-15:

A RESOLUTION APPROVING TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVMENTS PROGRAM APPLICATION FOR RADAR SPEED LIMIT SIGNS

WHEREAS, the Iowa department of Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761, Chapter 164, which created the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow for funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for eligible traffic safety improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, the City of Waucoma has determined that providing radar speed sign will help slow down traffic within our city limits.

WHEREAS, radar speed signs are recognized as speed control devices in the manual on uniform traffic control devices, 2009 edition, and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Waucoma, Iowa recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible safety funding for the above-mentioned devices.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Waucoma, Iowa, endorses the above-mentioned project and hereby commits to accepting and maintaining these radar speed control devices.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Mayor and City Clerk of Waucoma, IA are hereby directed to submit the said funding application to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible Traffic Safety Improvement Funding.

Passed and adopted 7th day of August, 2023.
AYES:
Kramer, Schmit, Winter, Laver, Kleve
nays: None


## ATTEST:



It was moved by Council Member Kramer and seconded by Council Member Schmitt that the foregoing Resolution 2023-15 be adopted. The motion was duly put to a vote of the Waucoma City Council, the ayes and nays were called and the vote thereon was as follows:
aves: Kramer, Schmitt, Winter, Laver, Kleve
nays: None
abSENT: None
Whereupon the Mayor declared the motion duly carried and the Resolution duly adopted.

## CERTIFICATION

I, Marlene Klemp, City Clerk of the City of Waucoma, Iowa, do hereby certify that the attached Resolution No. 2023-15 is a true copy of a Resolution adopted by the City Council of the City of Waucoma, IA at a regular meeting of the City Council held on the Fth day of August, 2023, and the vote thereon as recorded in the records of the city now in my custody.

Dated this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2023.


City Seal

## B: Narrative:

The City of Waucoma, lowa is applying for the Traffic Safety Improvement Program Grant (TSIP) to purchase 3 radar speed signs. The City of Waucoma would use these signs to help aid the current speed signs located on three major entrances into town which are all used heavily. We are a small town of only 229 people so we do not have a police department and rely on a 28E agreement with our Fayette County Sherriff's office for law enforcement. We are on the very NW corner of our large county and traffic enforcement is not often readily available. These radar speed signs would help with the lack of law enforcement we have in town.

Location \#1. V68 (Riverview Drive) is a farm to market road that goes through downtown Waucoma. It is also the road on which the Turkey Valley Community School is located just north of town. Many cars, semis and trucks come into town speeding on this road. Our city park and a campground is located near this entrance into town as well so there are often kids and families crossing this farm to market road. Our City Council feels the need to slow down traffic coming into Waucoma for the safety of all. We had an accident at this location this Spring where a car was speeding into town, lost control nearly crashing into a home.

Location \#2. The west side of town where V68 (Riverview Drive) comes into Waucoma is near our big Waucoma Event Center which can hold over 500 people. This is also on a curve just at the city limits with a cornfield near the event center entrance. It is vital that people obey the speed limits here for the safety of traffic coming in and out of this Event Center.

Location \#3. The $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street NW (Whitetail Road) entrance into Waucoma is near Lynch Livestock and the Waucoma Tire Company. These are two very big businesses which bring many semi-trucks and vehicles into town. These businesses are located on the north side of the road with a church and senior housing center located on the south side which again means many people turning. We many problems with people speeding into Waucoma at all three of these locations and with limited funds we cannot afford the signs needed to keep our residents and people traveling through town safe. The City of Waucoma will install and maintain these signs. The goal is to move traffic through our town safely!

## C: Cost:

| Item | Units |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description |  |  |  |
| 1 | Radar Speed Sign | 3 | \$3,000.00 | \$9,000.00 |
|  | Solar Version |  |  |  |
| 2 | 95 W Solar Panel with fastenings included | 3 | \$600.00 | \$1,800.00 |
| 3 | Yuasa battery pack 12v22Ah with integrated fuse protection | 6 | \$125.00 | \$750.00 |
| 4 | Delivery Charge | 1 | \$350.00 | \$350.00 |
|  |  |  |  | \$11,900.00 |
|  | Contingency 5\% |  |  | \$600.00 |
|  | Grand Total |  |  | \$12,500.00 |

## D: Time Schedule

TSIP Application Due
TSIP Notification of Award
TSIP Funding Available
Quotes Accepted
Installation Begins
Installation Complete

August 15, 2023
January 2024
July 1, 2024
August 15, 2024
September 15, 2024
October 1, 2024

## E. Project Location

City of Waucoma, Fayette County


## F: Color Pictures of Project Site

Location \# 1


Proposed Traffic Control Location \#1
Riverview Dr (V68 from North)


Showing Waucoma park and Campground Entrance

## Location \#2



Proposed Traffic Control Location \#2
Riverview Dr (V68 from South)


Showing Waucoma Event Center entrance and corn field

## Location \#3



Proposed Traffic Control Location \#3
$3^{\text {rd }}$ St NW (Whitetail Rd from West)


Showing church entrance, senior manor entrance and Lynch Livestock entrance

## G: Plan View




## Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS

GENERAL INFORMATION
DATE：
8－10－2023

Location／Title of Project Traffic signal head upgrades at 6 locations
Applicant
City of West Des Moines
Contact Person Eric Petersen Title Principal Traffic Engineer
Complete Mailing Address 4200 Mills Civic Pkwy，PO Box 65320
West Des Moines，IA 50265
Phone $\qquad$ E－Mail Eric．Petersen＠wdm．iowa．gov
（Area Code）
If more than one highway authority is involved in this project，please indicate and fill in the information below（use additional sheets if necessary）．

Co－Applicant（s）
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Phone

| （Area Code） |
| :--- |

（Area Code）
PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION：

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
\＄90，000
Safety Funds Requested
\＄90，000
\＄90，000
Additional Project Safety Documentation（when available）：Project information sheet（s）or＂Risk Score＂＞50\％from County／City＇s Local Road Safety PlanFHWA SS4A Safety Action Plan or similar comprehensive transportation safety plan $\square$ lowa DOT TEAP Study or similar analysis and concept X Project intersection or segment with High or Medium PCR Level（PCR－All or PCR－Severe）from the lowa DOT Potential for Crash Reduction（PCR）web－based map tool https：／／pcr．iowadot．gov／

| Potential for Crash Reduction（PCR）Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intersection ID } \\ & \text { (1234567890) } \\ & \text { or Segment ID (1234) } \end{aligned}$ | Intersection or Segment | PCR <br> Level <br> High | PCR Level Medium | PCR－ <br> All <br> value | PCR－ <br> Severe value |
| 2017067352 | 22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ St \＆Westown Pkwy | 区 | $\square$ | 52 | 9 |
| 2017066141 | $50^{\text {th }}$ St \＆University Ave | 区 | $\square$ | 41 | 11 |
| 2017133002 | $50^{\text {th }}$ St \＆Interstate 235 North Ramp | $\square$ | 区 | 23 | 5 |

APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY
To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the City of Vest Dis Moines

Signed:


Attest:

$\qquad$
Printed Name

## CERTIFICATION OF GRANT APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TSIP) FUNDS

The City of West Des Moines strongly promotes the reduction of traffic-related incidents and the safe mobility for all users of the transportation system.

Authorization is given to apply for Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) Funds for the purchase of programmable signal heads for 6 different traffic signal approaches in areas with the highest number of red-light-running crashes.

If the project is funded, the City of West Des Moines will adequately maintain the completed project for its intended public use following project completion.


B

## Project Narrative

## Background and Existing Conditions

The City of West Des Moines recently analyzed the locations of red-light-running (RLR) crashes in the City. Using data from the lowa DOT's lowa Crash Analysis Tool (ICAT), City staff determined which intersections had the highest numbers of RLR crashes. These intersections were further examined in ICAT to see which directions had the highest occurrences of RLR.

The crash data gathered from ICAT indicates that closely-spaced traffic signals appear to be a key contributor to RLR crashes in West Des Moines. One of the many disadvantages of closely-spaced traffic signals is that drivers who are approaching a red light may be seeing a green light just downstream at the far signal, creating confusion and potentially leading to a crash. An example of this is illustrated in the figure below.


Out of the 7 intersections with the most RLR crashes in West Des Moines, 6 of them are located in areas with a traffic signal less than 600' away (signal spacing is typically recommended to be $1,000^{\prime}$ ). These locations are:

- Jordan Creek Parkway \& Vista Drive

Since January 1, 2018, the intersection with the highest number of RLR crashes in West Des Moines is at Jordan Creek Parkway \& Vista Drive. This intersection has had 22 RLR crashes in the last 5.5
years, and a northbound driver was at-fault in 19 of the 22 RLR crashes. Just north of this intersection (440'), a traffic signal is located at Jordan Creek Parkway \& Interstate 80 North Ramp.

- $\quad 22^{\text {nd }}$ Street \& Westown Parkway - PCR Level Severe Crashes: HIGH

There have been 16 RLR crashes at this intersection since January 2018 ( 5.5 years). A southbound driver was at-fault in 8 of the 16 RLR crashes. Just south of this intersection (510'), a traffic signal is located at $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street \& Kingman Avenue.

- $50^{\text {th }}$ Street \& University Avenue - PCR Level Severe Crashes: HIGH

There have been 15 RLR crashes at this intersection since January 2018 (5.5 years). Crashes were roughly split between eastbound and westbound drivers running the red light. Just east of this intersection (500'), a traffic signal is located at University Avenue \& Petsmart/4900 University.

- $50^{\text {th }}$ Street \& Interstate 235 North Ramp - PCR Level Severe Crashes: MEDIUM

There have been 13 RLR crashes at this intersection since January 2018 (5.5 years). A southbound driver was at-fault in 9 of the 13 RLR crashes. Just south of this intersection (470'), a traffic signal is located at $50^{\text {th }}$ Street \& Interstate 235 South Ramp.

- Valley West Drive \& Westown Parkway

There have been 12 RLR crashes at this intersection since January 2018 ( 5.5 years). A southbound driver was at-fault in 9 of the 12 RLR crashes. Just south of this intersection (570'), a traffic signal is located at Valley West Drive \& Interstate 235 North Ramp.

- Valley West Drive \& Interstate 235 North Ramp

There have been 10 RLR crashes at this intersection since January 2018 ( 5.5 years). A northbound driver was at-fault in 8 of the 10 RLR crashes. Just north of this intersection ( $570^{\prime}$ ), a traffic signal is located at Valley West Drive \& Westown Parkway.

Speed limits are 35 mph on all the City streets at these intersections, with the exception of Jordan Creek Parkway which is 40 mph . All traffic control devices meet requirements of the Federal Highway Administration's Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD).

## Proposed Project and Safety Benefits

Based on the crash data and characteristics of the intersections, City staff believes that traffic signals just downstream of these intersections may be contributing to the RLR crashes. Removing one of the closely-spaced traffic signals was considered, but this is not an option at these locations. Yellow and allred clearance intervals were examined to ensure they are appropriate.

The proposed project would replace traffic signal heads on 6 different traffic signal approaches in the areas where the City has experienced the highest amounts of RLR. The new heads would be programmable heads that are designed to avoid motorist confusion by optically limiting the field of view of the far-side signal lens. As a result, motorists approaching a red light at the upstream signal are less likely to be misdirected and run the red light during times when the downstream signal is green.
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## Project Costs

A quote for the programmable signal heads was received from a traffic signal equipment supplier. The cost breakdown is below.

| Safety-related equipment |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Item | Amount |  |
| 3-section heads (20) | $\$$ | 63,000 |
| 4-section heads (3) | $\$$ | 17,000 |
| 5-section heads (2) | $\$$ | 10,000 |
| Total | $\$$ | $\mathbf{9 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

If awarded, the requested TSIP funds would only be used to pay for the equipment costs associated with the project. Installation would be handled by City staff and not by using TSIP funds.

## Schedule



July 2023 $\qquad$ RLR Analysis and TSIP Application Preparation

August 2023 $\qquad$ TSIP Application submitted
$\square$ January 2024 $\qquad$ Iowa DOT Approval
$\square$ Spring 2024 $\qquad$ TSIP Agreement
$\square$ Summer/Fall 2024
Purchase of Equipment

$\square$Winter 2024/Spring 2025Receive Equipment, Begin Replacement$\square$ Spring/Summer 2025
$\qquad$ Completion

Overview Map
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## Pictures

Northbound on Jordan Creek Pkwy at Vista Drive, with Interstate 80 South Ramp just downstream


Southbound on $\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {nd }}$ Street at Westown Parkway, with Kingman Avenue just downstream


Eastbound on University Avenue at $50^{\text {th }}$ Street, with 4900 University/Petsmart just downstream


Southbound on 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street at Interstate $\mathbf{2 3 5}$ North Ramp, with Interstate $\mathbf{2 3 5}$ South Ramp just downstream


Southbound on Valley West Drive at Westown Parkway, with Interstate 235 North Ramp just downstream


Northbound on Valley West Drive at Interstate 235 North Ramp, with Westown Parkway just downstream
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## Plan View

## Jordan Creek Parkway

Project would replace the northbound signal heads at the Interstate 80 South Ramp traffic signal to address northbound RLR crashes at the upstream Vista Drive intersection.

- 5 three-section heads


G

## $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street

Project would replace the southbound signal heads at the Kingman Avenue traffic signal to address southbound RLR crashes at the upstream Westown Parkway intersection.

- 2 three-section heads
- 1 four-section head



## University Avenue

Project would replace the eastbound signal heads at the 4900 University/Petsmart traffic signal to address eastbound RLR crashes at the upstream $50^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection.

- 3 three-section heads
- 1 four-section head


G

## $50^{\text {th }}$ Street

Project would replace the southbound signal heads at the Interstate 235 South Ramp traffic signal to address southbound RLR crashes at the upstream Interstate 235 North Ramp intersection.

- 3 three-section heads
- 1 four-section head



## Valley West Drive

Project would replace the northbound signal heads at the Westown Parkway traffic signal to address northbound RLR crashes at the upstream Interstate 235 North Ramp traffic signal.

- 4 three-section heads
- 1 five-section head

The project would also replace the southbound signal heads at the Interstate 235 North Ramp traffic signal to address southbound RLR crashes at the upstream Westown Parkway intersection.

- 3 three-section heads
- 1 five-section head



## Traffic Volumes

Annual average daily traffic (AADT) volumes in the area of the proposed improvements are given below:

Jordan Creek Parkway, Interstate 80 South Ramp to Vista Drive

$\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {nd }}$ Street, Westown Parkway to Kingman Avenue


H

University Avenue, 4900 University/Petsmart to 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

$\mathbf{5 0}^{\text {th }}$ Street, Interstate $\mathbf{2 3 5}$ North Ramp to Interstate 235 South Ramp


H

Valley West Drive, Westown Parkway to Interstate 235 North Ramp


## Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS



Additional Project Safety Documentation (when available):
$\square$ Project information sheet(s) or "Risk Score">50\% from County/City's Local Road Safety Plan
$\square$ FHWA SS4A Safety Action Plan or similar comprehensive transportation safety plan $\square$ Iowa DOT TEAP Study or similar analysis and concept
$\square$ Project intersection or segment with High or Medium PCR Level (PCR-All or PCR-Severe) from the Iowa DOT Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) web-based map tool https://pcr.iowadot.gov/

|  | Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) | Information |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID <br> (1234567890) <br> or Segment ID (1234) |  | Intersection or Segment | PCR | PCR | PCR- | | PCR- |
| :---: |

APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY
To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the City of Vest Dis Moines

Signed:


Attest:

$\qquad$
Printed Name

## CERTIFICATION OF GRANT APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TSIP) FUNDS

The City of West Des Moines strongly promotes the reduction of traffic-related incidents and the safe mobility for all users of the transportation system.

Authorization is given to apply for Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) Funds for the purchase of Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) to enhance pedestrian safety at 4 existing school crosswalks around West Des Moines.

If the project is funded, the City of West Des Moines will adequately maintain the completed project for its intended public use following project completion.


Tom Hadden, City Manager
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## Project Narrative

The City of West Des Moines believes in the importance of having safe routes for kids to walk/bike to school. Recently, City staff collected data at existing school crosswalks throughout the City. This data was then compared to guidance on when certain treatments should be considered, such as crossing guards, pavement markings, beacons, etc.

Based on the data that was collected, the City is proposing to install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) at 4 existing uncontrolled school crosswalks where there are significant volumes of students crossing before and after school. Currently, these 4 crosswalks have pavement markings and warning signs at the crosswalk facing both directions, and advance warning signs upstream of the crosswalk in both directions. The proposed project would upgrade the warning signs to a combination of warning signs and RRFBs.

The City has installed RRFBs in the past at other locations, and based on our local experience, they have been very effective at getting the attention of drivers and enhancing safety for pedestrians/bicyclists. The safety benefits and driver compliance rates have also been shown to be positive in safety studies around the country.

The 4 locations where RRFBs are proposed to be installed are:

1. $56^{\text {th }}$ Street crosswalk near Highland Court, serving Westridge Elementary
2. Western Hills Drive crosswalk near $40^{\text {th }}$ Street, serving Western Hills Elementary
3. Buffalo Road crosswalk near Dowling Catholic Entrance, serving Dowling Catholic High School
4. Woodland Avenue crosswalk near Saint Francis Northeast Entrance, serving Saint Francis Elementary/Junior High School

These locations were selected based on their configuration (uncontrolled "mid-block" locations), the number of students crossing during the school's arrival/dismissal periods, the amount of vehicular traffic on the street, speed limit ( 25 mph on streets), and other characteristics at the school crossings. All existing traffic control devices, as well as the proposed traffic control devices, meet requirements of the Federal Highway Administration's Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD).


Students using a recently installed RRFB on their way to school in West Des Moines
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## Project Costs

A quote for an RRFB was received from a traffic signal equipment supplier. The cost breakdown is below.

| Anticipated Funding Sources |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Source | Amount | Percent |  |
| TSIP Funds (for purchase of RRFB equipment at 4 locations) | $\$$ | 60,000 | $75 \%$ |
| Local Funds (cost of installation at 4 locations) | $\$$ | 20,000 | $25 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

If awarded, the requested TSIP funds would only be used to pay for the equipment costs associated with the project. Installation would be paid with local City funds.
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## Schedule



July 2023 $\qquad$ Data Collection and Analysis of School Crosswalks

August 2023 $\qquad$ TSIP Application submitted
$\square$ January 2024 $\qquad$ Iowa DOT Approval
$\square$ Spring 2024 $\qquad$ TSIP Agreement
$\square$ Summer 2024 Purchase of Equipment

$\square$Winter 2024 Receive Equipment, Begin Installations
$\square$ Spring/Summer 2025 $\qquad$ Completion

Overview Map


F

Pictures
$56^{\text {th }}$ Street crosswalk near Highland Court, serving Westridge Elementary


Western Hills Drive crosswalk near $40^{\text {th }}$ Street, serving Western Hills Elementary


Buffalo Road crosswalk near Dowling Catholic Entrance, serving Dowling Catholic High School


Woodland Avenue crosswalk near Saint Francis Northeast Entrance, serving Saint Francis Elementary/Junior High School


G

Plan View
56 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street crosswalk near Highland Court, serving Westridge Elementary


G

Western Hills Drive crosswalk near $40^{\text {th }}$ Street, serving Western Hills Elementary


G

Buffalo Road crosswalk near Dowling Catholic Entrance, serving Dowling Catholic High School


Woodland Avenue crosswalk near Saint Francis Northeast Entrance, serving Saint Francis Elementary/Junior High School


## Traffic Volumes

Annual average daily traffic (AADT) volumes in the area of the proposed improvements are given below:

- $56^{\text {th }}$ Street at Westridge Elementary
$>$ lowa DOT estimate of less than 1,000 AADT
- Western Hills Drive at Western Hills Elementary
> Iowa DOT estimate of less than 1,000 AADT
- Buffalo Road at Dowling Catholic High School
$>$ Iowa DOT estimate of 5,000+ AADT
> Traffic counts collected by West Des Moines in June 2023 using radar equipment collected about 5,150 vehicles per day
- Woodland Avenue at Saint Francis Elementary/Junior High School
$>$ Iowa DOT estimate of less than 1,000 AADT


# CIOWADOT 

# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: $\qquad$


If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title $\qquad$
Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)
PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION

## Funding Amount

| Total Safety Cost | $\$ 68,750.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ \$ 68,750.00$ |
| Safety Funds Requested | $\$ \mathbf{6 8 , 7 5 0 . 0 0}$ |

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
[ ]Yes - Explain $\qquad$ [X]No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Clay County Engineer's Office

Signed:


Attes:


Randy Swanson, Clay County Board Chair
Printed Name (Chair, Clay County Supervisor)

RESOLUTION NO. \#2023-20
Transport Safety Improvement Program Grant Application
Sign Improvement

WHEREAS the Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program operates under the rules of Iowa Administrative Code 761 -Ch. 164; and

WHEREAS said program allows for the distribution of traffic safety funds to cities, counties, and the Iowa DOT for roadway safety improvements, research, studies, or public information initiatives; and

WHEREAS the Clay County Engineer has determined that materials funded by this grant would improve the roadway safety in Clay County.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CLAY COUNTY, IOWA on this $27^{\text {th }}$ day of June 2023 that this County does hereby support the attached application for Traffic Safety Improvement Program funding.

AYES: Batschelet, Hamrick, Anderson, Swanson
NAYES: None.
ABSTENTIONS: None.
ATTEST:


Ann Baschke, Clay County Auditor


Randy Swanson, Chairperson
Clay County Board of Supervisors

## Narrative

The Clay County Secondary Roads Department is applying for funding from the lowa DOT Traffic Safety Improvement Program to purchase a set of temporary traffic signals. While the Clay County Secondary Roads Department partakes in multiple projects yearly where these could be useful, it does not possess any device with such capabilities. Their ability to replace traffic flaggers would greatly benefit the safety of all persons involved in necessary one lane road closures.

Clay County participates in a variety of projects to maintain over 970 miles of total roads, 229 of which are paved, and 137 bridges each year. The county typically does paving and bridge replacements each year, using flaggers, pilot cars, detour routes, and road closures, all of which contain inherent safety risks. The use of flaggers involves two county road crew members working in close proximity to oncoming vehicles and manually signaling when it is and is not safe for cars on either side of the project to pass through. The procedure requires perpetual communication and situational awareness by these workers to ensure safety for themselves and the traveling public.

Replacing human flaggers with temporary signals will offer a significant safety upgrade by providing an automated way of traffic redirection. Clay County intends on purchasing a pair of extendable OMJC Heavy Duty signal lights along with two knockdown board adaptors, two microwave vehicle detectors, and a manual remote. These additional features will allow adaptive integration into an existing traffic light system in the case an existing light falls over, maximum traffic directing efficiency, and the ability to override the programmed light phasing during complex traffic scenarios. This will increase in both driver and worker safety by:

- Eliminating the possibility of a human/traffic interaction involving a flagger
- Eliminating flagger and pilot car usage
- Allowing the road to be left open to one lane traffic overnight

The variety of benefits that these devices offer during roadwork projects, combined with the significant quantity of roadwork projects Clay County engages in, makes a pair of temporary traffic signals a worthwhile investment in the County's roadway safety. The cost will translate into frequent and effective use allowing road, culvert, and bridge construction projects to be completed with enhanced traffic safety and efficiency throughout the county. The ability to obtain these MUTCD compliant devices will provide many years of usefulness and safety benefits to the workers and traveling public of Clay County. The Clay County Secondary Roads Department greatly appreciates the TSIP's consideration of this grant application.

## Itemized Breakdown of Cost

OMJCSiğñal
PO BOX 1594
Waterloo, IA 50704
403 Chestinut St.
Waterloo, IA 50703
800.776.5999

Fax: 319.236 .1554
Email: sales@omicsignal.com
omjcsignal.com
Quoted to: ATTN: DREW THOMPSON
CLAYCOUNTYIA
CLAY COUNTY ADMIN BUILDING
300 W 4 TH ST STE $\# 5$
SPENCER, IA 51301
PH: $\quad 712.260 .2905$
FAX:


Time Schedule
August 15, 2023
Mid-January 2024
July 1, 2024
July 2024
Shortly after receiving them
[E]
Map


## Colored Pictures

## OMJC

## Pop-Up HD

## INTERSECTION CAPABLE

## TWO LANE TRAFFIC CONIROL

The Pop-Up HD is capable of signalizing up to two lenes of trafice. The 15 'arm is angineered to hande a 5 section keft turn signd in the overtured position. With the HD, two 12 TIE approved signats ean be quickly doployed into positions mandated by the MUTCD at the mere push of a bution. Becense tha footprint is only $6^{6}$ wide (the norrowest in the industry), it ean fit almost anymhere. The HD fousures the Intelight 2070 ATC Controller running MAXTIME software. The custom radio syatem allows communiention berimeen OMC Pop-Up uniss dong with eomplex phasing ability. The HD comes standard with a 385 watt solar panal (codjuxtabie on 2 axes) and 440-660Ah of AGM batserien


INTERSECTTON CONTROL
CONTROL WTTH VERSATIUTY

PERNANENT MADE PORTABLE

EAEV DEPLOV/MENT SIGNAL CAN BE QUICKLY DEPLOYED WITH THE PUSH OF A BUTTON sales@omjcsignal.com


## Pop-Up HD

Pop-Up HD. STANDARD FEATURES
DEPLOYMENT

| Vertical \| Hydraulic wint remote pendint |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Horizontal M Manuel dide out |
| ARM EXTENSION |  |
|  | Acjusts to $15^{\circ}$ |
| SIGNAL HEADS |  |
|  | 3 section orestread |
|  | 3 section side of mast |
|  | $12^{\circ}$ RYG LED's, ITE compliant |
|  | 180' rotation |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT |  |
|  | Intelighe 2070 ATC with MAXTIME softwere |
|  | Acturted B phase, dual ring, with pedestrian meverments |
|  | Encrypted wireless connection between mester and secondwries |
|  | EDI real time conflict monitor |
| CHARGING SOURCE |  |
|  | DC [MPPT selar charge controlior |
|  | $\mathrm{AC} \mid 120 \mathrm{~V}$ phug-in charger |

## SPECIFICATIONS

| CHASSIS LENGTH | $1120^{\circ}$ (removable hitch adds $56^{\circ}$ for $168^{\circ}$ totel) |
| :---: | :---: |
| CHASSIS WIDTH | $720^{-}$- nemrowest in industry |
| TRAVEL HEICHT | $114.0^{\circ} \mathrm{m} /$ rolar |
| STANDARD WEIGHT | 3,400lbe |
| CLEARANCE (UNDER ARM) | 17 (meots MUTCD requirementa) |
| BATTERIES | 440-660 Ah of AGM betteries, no-spir, no-mbintervance |
| SOLAR | (1) - 385 watt solar panel, xyustable on 2 arses |

Pop-Up HD • ADDITIONAL OPTIONS

DETECTION

| $\square$ Microwive |
| :---: |
| I Video |
| I Loop |
| KNOCKDOWN AVAILABILTY (EMERGENCY POLE REPLACEMENT) |
| - Wiroless Knockdown Kit (AC to DC from existing infrastucture) |
| $\checkmark$ Wired Knockdown Kit (AC to DC from anating infrastructure) |
| SIGNAL CONFIGURATION |
| [ 1 additional overheed signal (custom LED configuration ivilebla) |
| ] 4 section - with ill arrowt or custom combination |
| $\square 5$ section doghouve - with left turn arrow or custom combinition |
| PREEMPTION |
| $\square$ Audible |
| - Strobe |
| $\square$ GPS |
| COORDINATION |
| a GPS time based |
| REMOTE MANAGEMENT \& ALERTING |
| - Celludar wirsless router (Vorizon, ATAT, or Sprint Cerrified Devica) |
| WIRELESS MANUAL CONTROL |
| $\square$ Rush bution control with long range intanns (piot car remota) |
| ADDITIONA ADD-ONS |
| $\square$ Pedestrien signeliustion |
| - Auto-start generator for on-bourd ancilitary power |
| - Work mane Fighting |
| $\square$ Countiown timer |



## Plan View: existing

Application of Temporary Traffic Signals will alter the design, mechanism, and driver experience of one lane road closures from the following current layouts.

Lane Closure on Low Volume Roadway:


Lane Closure with Flaggers:


Lane Closure with Flaggers \& Pilot Car:


## Plan View: proposed

Below contains the proposed temporary traffic control signal setup to replace the existing setups above for one lane road closures.

## Lane Closure with Temporary Traffic Signals:



## Setup Comparison

## Existing Conditions:




Proposed Plan Conditions:


Note: Implementation would not require any access or ROW complications

## Traffic Volumes



Reference to higher quality map: hittps://iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/2019/counties/CLAY.pdf

Signal Information
MUTCD Layout Example:



## Notes:

- These light signals are programmed with phase and timing software
- Usable with or without microwave traffic sensors on each signal, allowing for increased efficiency
- Each detector would sit on the extended overhanging arm and detects oncoming vehicles


## CIOWADOT

## Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS

## GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: $\qquad$
Location / Title of Project $\qquad$
Clav County / Sign Improvement

| Applicant Clay County Secondary Roads |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person Will | R Rabenbera Title County Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | Clay County Engineer Administration Building, 300 West $4 t$ |
|  | Street Suite 5. Spencer, Iowa 51301 |
| $\text { Phone } \quad \frac{\text { (712) 262-2825 }}{\text { (Area Code) }}$ | - E-Mail wrabenberg@claycounty.iowa.gov |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title
Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone


E-Mail $\qquad$

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION

## Funding Amount

| Total Safety Cost | \$ 5,616.60 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Project Cost | \$ 5,616.60 |
| Safety Funds Requested | \$ 5.616.60 |

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
[ ]Yes - Explain $\qquad$ [X]No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Clay County Engineer's Office

Signed:


Attes:


Randy Swanson, Clay County Board Chair
Printed Name (Chair, Clay County Supervisor)

RESOLUTION NO. \#2023-19
Transport Safety Improvement Program Grant Application
Portable Traffic Signals

WHEREAS the Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program operates under the rules of Iowa Administrative Code 761-Ch. 164; and

WHEREAS said program allows for the distribution of traffic safety funds to cities, counties, and the Iowa DOT for roadway safety improvements, research, studies, or public information initiatives; and

WHEREAS the Clay County Engineer has determined that materials funded by this grant would improve the roadway safety in Clay County.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CLAY COUNTY, IOWA on this $27^{\text {th }}$ day of June 2023 that this County does hereby support the attached application for Traffic Safety Improvement Program funding.

AYES: Batschelet, Hamrick, Anderson, Swanson NAYES: None.
ABSTENTIONS: None.

ATTEST:


Ann Baschke, Clay County Auditor


Randy Swanson, Chairperson Clay County Board of Supervisors

## Narrative

The Clay County Secondary roads department is applying for funding from the lowa DOT Traffic Safety Improvement Program to allow for the purchase of reflective sign post strips. These would be applied to stop and chevron signs throughout the county, improving their effectiveness through an increase in noticeability. Due to the importance of these signs, this investment will ultimately make Clay County a safer environment for all of its roadway travelers.

The Clay County Secondary roads department currently maintains 450 stop signs and 212 chevron signs throughout the county. However, only 12 of these stop signs and 11 chevrons contain reflective strips on their post. These signs play a vital role in roadway safety by establishing directions and instructions on 970 miles of paved and gravel roads and 137 bridges throughout Clay County. Their ability to prevent vehicle collisions and keep vehicles on the road is invaluable, but only effective when they are properly noticed. The increased area of reflectivity from the reflective strips will make the stop and chevron signs more noticeable to help reduce accidents. Clay county seeks to improve the safety of the roadway with the installation of these reflective strips.

The funding requested will allow for the purchase of 438 red and 413 yellow reflective strips to be attached to stop and chevron signs respectively. This would equip all of Clay County's stop and chevron signs with their maximum reflective potential. 2 reflective strips will be allocated to each chevron sign, with one placed on each side of the post. This will allow oncoming traffic from both sides to see the reflector strip well in advance of the curve. This will offer superior warning to a single reflector strip placed in the center of the post so it is visible by both sides of traffic, as these can only be seen as a driver is passing the sign and already on the curve. Installing the reflective strips on various sign posts would increase the overall safety of Clay County roads in several ways, including:

- Greatly increasing the noticeability of signs at night by making the posts much more visible than they would otherwise be without the added reflectivity
- Making the signs more visible during the day by adding a stripe of bright color to every post they are on
- Increasing the effectiveness of signs for drivers that regularly see the signs by adding a small yet noticeable change to the signs

Approval of this application will allow Clay County to purchase 438 red and 413 yellow signpost reflector strips to thoroughly enhance the currently existing network of chevron and stop signs. These are readily and rapidly implementable, while offering a potentially lifesaving upgrade to the effectiveness of current road signs. In addition, they fulfill all MUTCD compliance requirements. In conclusion, safety benefits, even life saving, far outweigh the cost of installing reflective strips for chevron and stop signs. Clay County appreciates the Traffic Safety Improvement Program's consideration of this grant application.

## Itemized Breakdown of Cost

Red $2 \times 48$ Aluminum Diamond Grade Reflector Strips: $\$ 2,890.80$
Quantity: $438 \quad$ Price per Unit: $\$ 6.60$
Yellow $2 \times 48$ Aluminum Diamond Grade Reflector Strips: $\$ 2,725.80$
Quantity: 413 Price per Unit: $\$ 6.60$
Total Cost: \$5,616.60


- TSIP Application Due:
- TSIP Approval Notice:
- TSIP Funding Available:
- Purchase of Reflective Strips:
- Installation of Reflective Strips Begins: Immediately after receiving them


## Maps

Locations of Stop signs:


## Locations of Chevron Signs:



## Colored Pictures



## Existing Conditions:



Proposed Project Conditions:


Note: All chevron and stop signs are accessible within existing ROW, which will not be altered

## Traffic Volumes



Reference to higher quality map: hittps://iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/2019/counties/CLAY.pdf

# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

| GENERAL INFORMATION | DATE: $\quad$ March 13, 2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Location / Title of Project | Davis County Temporary Traffic Signals |
| Applicant Davis Count | Davis County Highway Department |
| Contact Person Ryan Sc | ock Title County Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | 21585 Lilac Ave |
|  | Bloomfield, IA 52537 |
| Phone (641) 664-2542 | E-Mail schockr@daviscountyiowa.org |
| (Area Code) |  |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
\$ 63,500
Total Project Cost
\$ 63,500
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 63,500

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain
$\square$ No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the County of Davis


Ryan Schock
Printed Name

Attest:


Brenda Johnson
Printed Name

## RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE SUBMITTAL OF A TRAFFIC SAFETY FUND APPLICATION

WHEREAS, the Traffic Safety Fund program allows for the award of traffic safety funds to cities, counties and the IDOT for roadway safety improvements, research studies and traffic control devices; and

WHEREAS, Davis County has determined that providing temporary traffic control signals at work zone sites will improve safety to Davis County employees and to the traveling public; now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Davis County, Iowa, that Ryan Schock, County Engineer of Davis County, Iowa, be and is hereby designated, authorized, and empowered on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of said County to submit a Traffic Safety Improvement Program Application to the Iowa Department of Transportation for portable traffic signal trailers; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Davis County, Iowa, that the chairperson be authorized to sign the grant application and should funding be awarded that Davis County will assume responsibility and ensure proper maintenance of any new or improved installations.

Roll Call:


Ron Bride, Chairman


Dave Henderson, Vice Chairman
Absent
Alan Yahnke, Member

ATTEST:


## B. Narrative

Davis County is applying for the Transportation Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds in the amount estimated to be $100 \%$ of the cost of a pair of portable temporary traffic signals. The primary purpose of the temporary traffic signals would be to replace flagging operations in Secondary Road Department work zones and to allow lane closure areas in overnight closure situations.

The Davis County Secondary Roads Department is responsible for the engineering, construction and maintenance of the county's secondary road system. The secondary road system in Davis County consists of 168 bridges, 91 miles of paved roads, 648 miles of granular surfacing, and 56 miles of dirt roads totaling 795 miles. Typical paved route daily traffic county in Davis County ranges from 130 to 1440 vehicles per day.

Part 6F. 84 of the MUTCD provides warrants, standards, guidance, and support for the use of traffic signals in work zones. Additional information regarding signal use is located in Part 4. The primary use of the temporary traffic signals would be in a work zone temporary lane closure scenario for one lane, two-way traffic operation.
Secondary Road Crews routinely are required to close lanes of travel for numerous maintenance activities including, but not limited to, the following: PCC patching, HMA patching, culvert repair and replacement, bridge approach repair and replacement, tile repair and installation, guardrail repair and replacement, bridge rail repair and slope repairs. The deployment of temporary traffic signals utilizing traffic control plan $6 \mathrm{H}-12$ in work zones (see section I) would reduce the number of employees exposed to the traveling public which reduces risk of injury and possible conflicts between drivers and flaggers.

The safety benefits of utilizing temporary traffic signals over flaggers is hard to quantify in dollars. However, a list of safety benefits for maintenance crews and motorists in situations utilizing temporary traffic signals is available below:

- Increased visibility to approaching motorists (additional signage and overhead signal)
- More direct communication with motorist
- Clearer understanding and familiarity with drivers
- Significantly more viable for nighttime operations
- Relieves the physical demands, stress, fatigue and hazards of flagging
- Elimination of two positions from work zone with the highest risk exposure

Davis County is requesting TSIP funding for an amount equal to the cost of a pair of temporary traffic signals with pilot car remote and vehicle detections options included. Signals with these options would facilitate safe and efficient traffic flow in and around various work zones on Davis County secondary roads. Other County Departments, Cities and other jurisdictions could also benefit from these signals in the event of a signal knock down, disaster event or routine maintenance when not in use by Davis County. Additionally, it would allow Davis County the flexibility to establish short-term overnight closures for road and bridge repairs and remove employees from high-risk situations in close proximity to an ever-growing inattentive driving population.

## C. Itemized Breakdown of Costs

Quotes listed here are for set of two signals with vehicle detection and pilot car remote for temporary traffic control. These preliminary quotes are attached in Appendix A.

| Date | Vendor | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 / 6 / 23$ | lowa Plains Signing, Inc. | $\$ 63,500.00$ |
| $2 / 7 / 23$ | Tapco | $\$ 85,738.10$ |
| $2 / 9 / 23$ | Horizon Signal | $\$ 58,873.50$ |

## D. Time Schedule

| TSIP Application Due | $8 / 15 / 23$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| TSIP Award Notification | $1 / 15 / 24$ |
| TSIP Funding Available | $7 / 1 / 24$ |
| Quote Review | $7 / 31 / 24$ |
| Place Order | $7 / 31 / 24$ |
| Delivery and Deployment Availability | $9 / 15 / 24$ |

## E. Map

Project locations would be any county secondary road where lane closure is required.


Image Source: https://iowadot.gov/maps/Digital-maps/City-and-county-maps

## F. Color Pictures



## G. Plan View

Figure 6H-10. Lane Closure on a Two-Lane Road Using Flaggers (TA-10)


Typical Application 10

## H. Traffic Volumes/Turning Movement

https://iowadot.gov/maps/msp/pdf/VehicularTrafficMap.pdf


## Daily Vehicle Traffic

- 10-999 vehicles
- 1000-1999
- 2000-4999
- 5000 and over
$=-(50)=$ Interstates
[53 US Highways
(3) State Highways


## I. Traffic Signal Layout

Figure $\mathbf{6 H - 1 2}$. Lane Closure on a Two-Lane Road Using Traffic Control Signals (TA-12)


Table 6H-2. Meaning of Symbols on Typical Application Diagrams

| Symbols | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| \%-0.00 | Arrow board |
| 00 | Arrow board support or trailer (shown facing down) |
| $\longrightarrow$ | Changeable message sign or support trailer |
| $\square$ | Channelizing device |
| $\#$ | Crash cushion |
| $\longrightarrow$ | Direction of temperary traffic detour |
| $\rightarrow$ | Direction of traffic |
| $\square$ | Flagger |
|  | High-level warning device (Flag tree) |
|  | Longitudinal channelizing device |
| $\longrightarrow$ | Luminaire |
| $N N N$ | Pavement markings that should be removed for a long-term project |
|  | Shadow vehicle |
|  | Sign (shown facing left) |
| $\bigoplus$ | Surveyor |
| $\square$ | Temporary banier |
| $\bigcirc$ | Temporary barrier with warning light |
|  | Traffic or pedestrian signal |
|  | Truck-mounted attenuator |
| $\square \square$ | Type 3 barricade |
| $\square$ | Warning light |
| D7 $17 \square$ | Work space |
|  | Work wehide |

Table 6H-3. Meaning of Letter Codes on Typical Application Diagrams

| Road Type | Distance Between Signs** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |
| Urban (bw speed)* | 100 feat | 100 faet | 100 feet |
| Uriban [thigh spaedi) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 350 feal | 350 lagt | 350 teen |
| Pural | 500 feet | 500 flaet | 500 feet |
| Expressway ; Freenway | 1,000 feal | 1,500 leest | 2.640 leat |

- Speed calegory to be determined by highway agency
 distance from the transition or point of restriction to the first sign. The B dimension is the distance between the first and second signs. The $\mathbb{C}$ dimension is the distance between the second and third signs. (The "Tirst sign" is the sign in a three-sign series that is closest to the TTC zone. The "third sign" is the sign that is furthest upstream from the TTC zone.)


## J. Cost/Benefit Worksheet

Not Applicable

Appendix A
Quotes


1110 W. $6^{\text {r" }}$ AVENUE (HWY. 210 W) | P.O. BOX 654 | SLATER, IOWA 50244-0654 TELEPHONE:(515) 685-3536

FAX: (515) 685-3530

| Quote For: <br> Type of Sale: <br> Quote Date: | Davis County Engineer | Att. <br> Phone <br> Fax \# |  | Ryan Schock <br> $641-680-2075$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bid <br> Item \# | Description | Quantity | Units | Per Unit | Total |
| 1 | (2) SQ3TS Signal pair <br> Solar Assisted signal trailers w/ <br> tandem tow, (2) Signal Heads per trailer <br> w/ Motion Sensors and Back Plates | 1 | EA | $\$ 63,500.00$ | $\$ 63,500.00$ |

Conditions or Notes:

This price includes delivery to customer. This price does not include sales tax.


Traffic and Parking Control Co.
5100 West Brown Deer Rd
Brown Deer, WI 53223
Phone No.:800-236-0112
E-Mail: info@tapconet.com

SALES QUOTE DATE<br>2/7/2023<br>SALES QUOTE NUMBER<br>Q23001969<br>CUSTOMER NO.<br>C99004<br>Page: 1

## BILL TO

Davis County
Ryan Schock
21585 Lilac Ave
Bloomfield, IA 52537-7597
United States of America

## SHIP TO

Davis County
Ryan Schock
21585 Lilac Ave
Bloomfield, IA 52537-7597
United States of America

| SHIP VIA | TERMS | SALESPERSON |  | VALID UNTIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEST RATE | Net 30 DAYS | Deidre Jones |  | 3/9/2023 |
| Item/Description | U/M | Quantity | Unit Price | Total Price |
| 119573 | Each | 1 | 70,315.00 | 70,315.00 |
| Portable Traffic Signal System,SQ3TS,Solar Assisted Model w/2 signal trailers,wireless radios |  |  |  |  |
| 149518 | Each | 2 | 1,535.00 | 3,070.00 |
| Motion Detector, 1 Sensor, For Signal Actuation |  |  |  |  |
| 138441 | Each | 2 | 3,750.00 | 7,500.00 |
| Remote Package, Wireless, 1 Handheld Remote for SQ3 |  |  |  |  |

Remote Package, Wireless, 1 Handheld Remote for SQ3

Plus Shipping and Handling
Furnish only quote. Installation is not included.
Solar powered equipment requires no shading or obstructions

TAPCO will make every effort to ship all systems
in normal process; however, as a result of global
supply chain constraints some components might be impacted by extended lead times.

Thank you! Deidre Jones
Email: Deidre.jones@tapconet.com
Phone: 262-649-5227

| Subtotal: | $\mathbf{8 0 8 8 5 . 0 0}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Invoice Discount: | 0.00 |
| Total Sales Tax: | $4,853.10$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{8 5 , 7 3 8 . 1 0}$ |

All prices are listed in US Dollar (USD)
For terms and conditions, please visit https://tapconet.com/terms-conditions

Quote \#JGH2309
2-9-23

## CUSTOMER

Davis County Secondary Rd. Dept.
Attn: Ryan Schock, Engineer
PO Box 365
Bloomfield, IA 52537
Phone 641-664-2542
Email: schockr@daviscountyiowa.org

| Part \# | Item/Description | Unit Price | Qty | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SQ3TS Portable Traffic Signal System (2 Trailers) <br> (2) Solar-assisted signal trailers with tandem tow capability. (2) two signal heads per trailer, all LED lamps, (2) controllers (1) PTS Programmer and wireless radio communication System. Wind Rating at 100 mph , with gusts to 110 mph . | \$ 52,500.00 | 1 | \$ 52,500.00 |
|  | Motion Sensors <br> Motion detectors for signal actuation. Price includes (2) sensors. | \$ 1,725.00 | 1 | \$ 1,725.00 |
|  | Wireless Remote Package <br> Provides wireless control of SQ2 or SQ3 signal system. One package required per system. Includes receiver and transmitter. | \$ 1,987.50 | 1 | \$ 1,987.50 |
|  | Pilot Car/Flagger Module <br> Allows flagger/pilot car driver to operate signals remotely using handheld transmitter. Price includes (2) receivers and (1) transmitter. | \$ 2,661.00 | 1 | \$ 2,661.00 |

\$ 58,873.50

Terms: Net 30 days
FOB: Reading, PA 19608
*** 5 year warranty on LED Lights. Signals and components are warranted for a period of 2 years, excluding batteries and tires. 10-year limited warranty on the trailers themselves. ***

Ryan,
Current lead times once we receive a signed quote or P.O. are 3-4 weeks. I included a few extra components, namely the wireless remote package... You can pick and choose should you go ahead with the purchase.

The SQ3TS Trailer-Mounted PTS is the most dynamic and dependable portable traffic signal available today. With an industry-leading $100-\mathrm{mph}$ wind load, and a 25 -year design life, the SQ3TS Portable Traffic Signal is the temporary traffic control workhorse that you can rely on year after year. From a simple onelane bridge repair project, to complete intersection control, the SQ3TS System has you covered, under even the most demanding conditions.
Please call me with any questions!
Thanks,
$\left.\begin{array}{ll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Jesse Heitkamp } \\ \text { NW Regional Sales Manager }\end{array} \\ \text { Fargo, ND } \\ \text { Horizon Signal Technologies }\end{array}\right\}$

Signature $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$


## NEMA TS-5 Type TR1 <br> Portable Traffic Signal System

## APPROVED BY MORE STATE DOTs THAN ANY OTHER PTS

The SQ3TS Trailer-Mounted PTS is the most dynamic and dependable portable traffic signal available today. With an industry-leading 100-mph wind load, and a 25-year design life, the SQ3TS Portable Traffic Signal is the temporary traffic control workhorse that you can rely on year after year. From a simple one-lane bridge repair project, to complete intersection control, the SQ3TS System has you covered, under even the most demanding conditions.

The SQ3TS Portable Traffic Signal exceeds NEMA TS-5 specifications for Type TR1 PTS, and is available with a wide range of add-on components to meet any project requirements.

## SQ3TS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Portable Traffic Signal

## SPECIFICATIONS

| Signal Lamp | $12^{\prime \prime}(300 \mathrm{~mm})$ diameter LED |
| :--- | :--- |
| Signal Arm Extenslon | 68 to $109^{\prime \prime}(173$ to 277 cm$)$ |
| Solar Charge | 520 W min |
| Power Source | $12 \mathrm{~V} /(16) 6 \mathrm{~V}$ batteries |
| Tow Helght | $89^{\prime \prime}(226 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Traller Wldth | $85^{\prime \prime}(216 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Traller Welght | $3000 \mathrm{lb} .(1361 \mathrm{~kg})$ |

## SQ3TS FEATURES

- Heavy-duty trailer with 25-year design life
- Dual-Processor Malfunction Management System
- Withstands sustalned winds of 100 mph , gusts up to 110 mph
- 10-year structural warranty on trailer
- Lifting Ring for easy signal placement
- Hydraulic lift system
- 30 days run time on batteries alone
- Up to 14 phases of traffic per system
- Tandem-tow trailers
- Exceeds NEMA TS-5 requirements for Type TRI PTS
- MUTCD Compliant


## AVAILABLE OPTIONS

TILTING SOLAR PANELS | Allows for solar panel adjustment on SQ3TS for maximum sun exposure.

15-FOOT EXTENSION ARM | Longer extension arm for greater horizontal reach on SQ3TS trailer. Ideal for 2-lane applications. ADVANCED REMOTE MONITORING | Recelve text and/or email alert notifications of signal operation and battery voltage levels. WIRELESS KNOCKDOWN | Allows signal to operate in conjunctlon with a standard street corner control cabinet.
PRE-EMPTION SYSTEM | Recognizes emergency vehicles and
DISTRIBUTED BY provides earliest safe green indications.

WAIT TIME \& FAULT DISPLAY | Informs motorlsts of wait time before next green Indication.

Regional Support Centers
Philadelphia, PA
Albuquerque, NM
Blrmingham, AL
Chicago, It
Fargo, ND
ndianapolis, IN


9001:2015

## SQ3TS® Upgrades



## WORK ZONE VIDEO MONITORING

The Work Zone PTZ Camera allows users to monltor work zone actlvites vla llve stream video, accesslble from any Internet-connected device. 360-degree rotation, as well as zoom and tilt controls are Included.


## ADVANCED REMOTE MONITORING (ARM)

The ARM system sends text message and/or emall alerts, reporting signal status and operation Battery voltage, slgnal locatlon, and fault status is reported In real-tlme or on demand from our dedlcated monltoring website.


## VIDEO DETECTION

Video actuation allows for true presence vehicle detection vla the creation of customized detectlon zones. Thls non-intruslve detection system Is easily Installed and does not require a PC for configuration.


## BICYCLE DETECTION

Detects cyclists and adjusts slgnal tlmings to allow them to clear the work area. The Blcycle Detection System can also Include customized blcycle LED Indlcatlons for Increased safety for cycllsts when dedicated blke lanes are avallable.


## WAIT TIME \& STATUS DISPLAY

Provides drlvers with a visual display of the amount of time remalning before the next green Indication. The system is Ideal for long work zones with high Red Clearance Intervals and potentlally long walt times.


## WIRELESS KNOCKDOWN

The WIreless Knockdown system allows a Horizon SQ3TS slgnal to be wirelessly operated by a standard street corner trafflc signal controller. The system Is Ideal for pole knockdowns, or temporary traffic pattern reconfigratlons.

## SQ3TS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Portable Traffic Signal

## SPECIFICATIONS

| Slgnal Lamp | $12^{\prime \prime}(300 \mathrm{~mm})$ diameter LED |
| :--- | :--- |
| Signal Arm Extension | 68 to $109 \prime \prime(173$ to 277 cm$)$ |
| Solar Charge | 520 W min |
| Power Source | $12 \mathrm{~V} /(16) 6 \mathrm{~V}$ batteries |
| Tow Height | $89 \prime \prime(226 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Traller Width | $85^{\prime \prime}(216 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Traller Welght | $3000 \mathrm{lb} .(1361 \mathrm{~kg})$ |

## SQ3TS FEATURES

- Heavy-duty trailer with 25-year design life
- Dual-Processor Malfunction Management System
- Withstands sustained winds of 100 mph , gusts up to 110 mph
- 10-year structural warranty on trailer
- Lifting Ring for easy signal placement
- Hydraulic lift system
- 30 days run time on batteries alone
- Up to 14 phases of traffic per system
- Tandem-tow trailers
- Meets/exceeds NEMA TS-5 requirements for Type TR1 PTS
- MUTCD Compliant


## AVAILABLE OPTIONS

WORK ZONE VIDEO MONITORING | Get a live look at your work zone with resolutions up to 1080p and 60fps.
15-FOOT EXTENSION ARM \| Longer extension arm for greater horizontal reach on SQ3TS trailer. Ideal for 2-lane applications. ADVANCED REMOTE MONITORING \| Receive text and/or email alert notifications of signal operation and battery voltage levels. WIRELESS KNOCKDOWN \| Allows signal to operate in conjunction wlth a standard street corner control cabinet.
PRE-EMPTION SYSTEM \| Recognizes emergency vehicles and
 provides earllest safe green indications.

WAIT TIME \& FAULT DISPLAY \| Informs motorists of wait time before next green indication.

Regional Support Centers
Philadelphla, PA Indianapolis, IN Albuquerque, NM Birmingham, AL
Chicago, II. Fargo, ND


# IOWADOT <br> Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORMATION
DATE: 8/3/2023

Location / Title of Project P28 Curve Signs approx. 3 miles South of Panora
Applicant Guthrie County
Contact Person Evan Subbert Title Asst. to Engineer
Complete Mailing Address 2211 215th Rd. Guthrie Center, Iowa 50115

Phone (641)-747-2274

E-Mail e.subbert@guthriecounty.gov
(Area Code)

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title $\qquad$
Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 41,355.70
\$ 41,355.70
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 41,355.70

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?

$\qquad$

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the

Signed:


Printed Name

Attest:


Brian Johnson
Printed Name

# APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM GRANT APPLICATION 

## Guthrie County Resolution No. 24-05

WHEREAS, the lowa Department of Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761-Chapter 164, which created the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for eligible traffic safety improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, Guthrie County has determined the upgrading of traffic control devices for a curve approximately 3 miles south of Panora on Wagon Road (P28) will aid in improving the safety of the traveling public; and

WHEREAS, the traffic control devices shall be replaced or installed in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2009 Edition

WHEREAS, the Guthrie County Engineer recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the lowa Department of Transportation for possible safety funding of the abovementioned traffic control devices.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF GUTHRIE COUNTY that this County does hereby endorse the preparation and submittal of the application for TSIP assistance to the lowa Department of Transportation, and commit to the stipulations of public maintenance to the proposed improvements outlined within said application.

Resolution adopted this $\underline{8 t h}$ day of August, $\underline{2023}$.

Guthrie County Board of Supervisors


## Guthrie County Wagon Rd(P28) Curve Sign Upgrade - NARRATIVE

The Guthrie County Secondary Roads Department is applying for the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP), with plans to purchase and replace current signage with TAPCO's BlinkerSign LED advanced warning curve ahead (W1-2) and Chevrons (W1-8). In order to install these signs, Guthrie County also plans on installing new posts and solar power battery packs at each location to power the signs. The location of the signs are approximately 3 miles south of Panora on Wagon Rd (P28) in a horizontal curve. The current signs are 36" Diamond Grade Cubed (DGC) Advanced Warning Curve (W1-2) and 24 "x30" Diamond Grade Cubed (DGC) Florescent Chevrons (W1-8). The signs are spaced in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTDC) Section 2C. 09 "Chevron Alignment Sign (W1-8)" at 160' for the radius of the curve of which is 730.50 '. The site has an advisory speed of 45 mph , which is 5 mph less than the suggested MUTDC Table 2C-6 "Typical Spacing of Chevron Alignment Signs on Horizontal Curves". The recommended clear zone for this area is $20^{\prime}$. In areas of the curve where the slopes exceed 4:1, there are cable guardrails in place. Within the last year there have been four accidents in this curve. Three of those were property damage only, with one having a suspected minor injury. Over the last 10 years there have been 12 accidents most of which have been property damage only.

Wagon Rd (P28) is a very winding road that is approximately 14 miles long with 12 major horizontal curves on it. However, only one of these curves currently has the frequency of accidents to suggest additional safety measures be put in place. It is Guthrie County's belief that by emphasizing this one curve with TAPCO's BlinkerSigns it will set this curve apart and force drivers to pay more attention to it. A major factor in many of the accidents in this curve is the vertical curve coming down into the horizontal curve. This catches drivers off guard and brings them into the curve with too much speed. Guthrie County's plan of action, adding TAPCO's BlinkerSign LED Signs, would bring a great deal of attention to the curve, better alerting drivers of the hazard and allowing them to reduce to a safer traveling speed. With many of the accidents happening after dusk the LED blinking signs will perform especially well in the these most vulnerable hours. In a case study in Wisconsin, a similar vertical curve coming down to a horizontal curve saw a 97\% reduction in accidents after installing TAPCO's BlinkerSign Advanced Warning and Chevron system.

Guthrie County Secondary Roads Department believes TAPCO's BlinkerSign system sign upgrade would greatly reduce the number of accidents at the curve location proposed in this application. Guthrie County will replace the sign locations with their own personnel and equipment making for a more cost effective and efficient process to upgrade the safety of this particular curve's alignment. Because of this reason Guthrie County is applying for the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) Grant.

Traffic and Parking Control Co., Inc.
5100 West Brown Deer Rd
Brown Deer, WI 53223
Phone No.:800-236-0112
E-Mail: customerservice@tapconet.com

## SHIP TO

Guthrie County
William Rouse
221 215th Rd
GUTHRIE CENTER, IA 50115
United States of America

SALES QUOTE

## SALES QUOTE DATE <br> 7/12/2023 <br> SALES QUOTE NUMBER <br> Q22018203 <br> CUSTOMER NO.

C98339
Page: 1

BILL TO
Guthrie County
William Rouse
221 215th Rd
Guthrie Center, IA 50115
United States of America

| Ext. Document No. CURVE WARNING | SHIP VIA | TERMS | SALESPERSON |  | VALID UNTIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net 30 DAYS |  |  | 8/11/2023 |
| Item/Description |  | U/M | Quantity | Unit Price | Total Price |
| Advanced BlinkerSigns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller, 12V, 136921, Radio, 30W TOP, No Pushbutton, No Battery |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUAL 22AH BATTERY PACK HARNESSED AND FUSED |  |  |  |  | 841.70 |
| Blinkersign, W1-2L, 36", Left Curve, DG3, FY, Direct Fire, 8 Amb LEDs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2180-C00341DF <br> ElinkerSign, W1-2R, $36^{\prime \prime}$, Right Curve, DG3, FY, Direct Fire, 8 Amber LEDs <br> $1,330.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114830 <br> Post,Square,2.5"x2.5"x | unch Galvan | Each | 2 | 228.00 | 456.00 |
| Post,Square,3"x3"x3' 7 Gauge Omni Anchor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolt,Corner Bolt for for $2.5{ }^{\prime \prime}$ Square Posts or smaller |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3177-00001 |  | Each | 2 | 0.90 | 1.80 |

Nut,5/16-18 Heavy Hex Jam Nut

All prices are listed in US Dollar (USD)
For terms and conditions, please visit https://tapconet.com/terms-conditions

Traffic and Parking Control Co., Inc.
5100 West Brown Deer Rd
Brown Deer, WI 53223
Phone No.:800-236-0112
E-Mail: customerservice@tapconet.com

## BILL TO

Guthrie County
William Rouse
221 215th Rd
Guthrie Center, IA 50115
United States of America

SALES QUOTE DATE
7/12/2023

## SALES QUOTE NUMBER

## Q22018203

CUSTOMER NO.

## C98339

Page: 2

## SHIP TO

Guthrie County
William Rouse
221 215th Rd
GUTHRIE CENTER, IA 50115
United States of America

| Ext. Document No. | SHIP VIA | TERMS | SALESPERSON |  | VALID UNTIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free Shipping |  |  | Deidre Jones |  | 8/11/2023 |
| Item/Description |  | U/M | Quantity | Unit Price | Total Price |
| 2438-00004 |  | Each | 2 | 38.95 | 77.90 |
| Sign Mounting Kit, Square/U-Channel, Anti-Vandal For Mounting One Blinker Sign to $2.5{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Sq}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curve BlinkerSigns - Sequential |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500146 |  | Each | 6 | 1,900.00 | 11,400.00 |
| Controller, 12V, 136921, Radio, 30W TOP, No Pushbutton, No Battery |  |  |  |  |  |
| 137480 |  | Each | 6 | 420.85 | 2,525.10 |
| DUAL 22AH BATTERY PACK HARNESSED AND FUSED |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2180-00225DF |  | Each | 12 | 1,045.00 | 12,540.00 |
| BlinkerSign, W1-8, 24x30", Chevron, DG3, FY, Direct Fire, 10 Amb LEDs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2180-BRKT-DBCV-SQ-AV |  | Each | 6 | 997.50 | 5,985.00 |
| Chevron Back to Back Bracket Set, $24 \times 30$, Fits Sq Poles 2-1/2, Anti-Vandal Hardware and U-bolt |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3200-0000 |  |  | 1 | 675.00 | 675.00 |
| FREIGHT SALES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furnish only quote. Installation is not included. <br> Solar powered equipment requires no shading or obstructions |  |  |  |  |  |

All prices are listed in US Dollar (USD)
For terms and conditions, please visit https://tapconet.com/terms-conditions

Traffic and Parking Control Co., Inc. 5100 West Brown Deer Rd
Brown Deer, WI 53223
Phone No.:800-236-0112
E-Mail: customerservice@tapconet.com

## SALES QUOTE

## SALES QUOTE DATE

7/12/2023

## SALES QUOTE NUMBER

## Q22018203

CUSTOMER NO.
C98339
Page: 3

## BILL TO

Guthrie County
William Rouse
221 215th Rd
Guthrie Center, IA 50115
United States of America

## SHIP TO

Guthrie County
William Rouse
221 215th Rd
GUTHRIE CENTER, IA 50115
United States of America

| Ext. Document No. | SHIP VIA | TERMS | SALESPERSON |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CURVE WARNING | ABF | Net 30 DAYS | Deidre Jones |
|  | Free Shipping |  |  |
| Item/Description |  | U/M | Quantity | Unit Price $\quad$ Total Price

Thank you! Deidre Jones
Email: Deidre.jones@tapconet.com
Phone: 262-649-5227

## Subtotal:

Invoice Discount:
41355.70

Total Sales Tax:
Total:
$41,355.70$
All prices are listed in US Dollar (USD)
For terms and conditions, please visit https://tapconet.com/terms-conditions

## Guthrie County P28 Curve Sign Upgrade - TIME SCHEDULE

- TSIP Application Due:
- TSIP Award Notification:
- TSIP Funding Available:
- Purchase of Advanced Blinker Signs
- Installation of Advanced Blinker Signs

August 15 ${ }^{\text {th }} 2023$
Mid-January 2023
July $1^{\text {st }} 2023$
July 2023
Immediately after receiving them

```
TSIP P28 Curve Location
```

9:31 AM, Thu, Aug 10, 2023
Guthrie - ICEASB Easy Map


Feature Key

| III Earth | =- Gravel | [I Seal Coat |  | County Pavement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Pavement | =- Divided Hwy | Water |  | City |
| -----. Township | +1+ Railroad | - Bridge | E27 | County Hwy |
| (175) State Hwy | 6 Us Hwy |  |  |  |

Guthrie County P28 Curve Sign Upgrade - COLOR PICTURES

Existing Site: Advanced Warning Southbound


Existing Site: Advanced Warning Northbound


Proposed: BlinkerSign W1-8 Cheveron


It

Existing Site: Chevron Southbound


Existing Site: Curve Northbound


Proposed: BlinkerSign Advanced Warning*

*The Proposed sign in the picture above is not an exact replica of the Advance Warning sign we are proposing in this application it is simply a example of the LED Blinker Advanced Warning Sign.


## Guthrie County P28 Curve Sign Upgrade - TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The most recent and up to date traffic volumes for Guthrie County are from the Iowa DOT count from 2016. Guthrie County estimates that traffic volumes on the section of road in this application have increased significanly since 2016 due to rural developing along Wagon Road (P28)


# CMOMADOT <br> Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 



If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) N/A
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\quad$| (Area Code) |
| :--- |
|  |

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 40,150
\$ 40,150
\$ 40,150

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?

$\qquad$
$\triangle$ No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Hamilton County

Signed:


Attest:

$8 / 8 / 23$
Signature Date Signed

Printed Name

## RESOLUTION NO. 2023-39

## APPROVAL OF TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION FOR CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGNS

WHEREAS, The lowa Department of Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761Chapter 164, which created the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for eligible traffic' safety improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, Hamilton County has determined that providing information to the traveling public using changeable message signs at the below listed sites would enhance safety:

- Any county road used as a detour when a State route is closed due to maintenance, construction, or emergency road closure.
- Any road that requires temporary use of a changeable message signs due to detours, natural disasters, or maintenance/construction projects.
- Other related traffic incident management events within the County.

WHEREAS, the Hamilton County Engineer recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the lowa Department of Transportation for possible safety funding of the above mentioned traffic control devices.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Hamilton Count Board of Supervisors,

1. Supports the application for Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Improvement Program Funding.
2. Certifies that Hamilton County will provide continuous maintenance to these changeable message signs.
3. Authorize the Board of Supervisors Chairperson to sign application and supporting documents in relation to the TSIP funding.

Dated at Hamilton County, Iowa, this 8th day of August, 2023.
Board of Supervisors of Hamilton County, Iowa


## B. NARRATIVE

Hamilton County Secondary Road Department is applying for Transportation Safety Improvement (TSIP) funds for two of changeable message sign traffic signals. The primary purpose of the message sign would be warn the traveling public of upcoming work zones, changes in traffic patterns or access, or relay messages of special concern.

Hamilton County Secondary Roads is responsible for the engineering, construction, and maintenance of the county's Secondary Road System. This system includes 933 miles of rural roads, of which 215 miles are hard surfaced. Located on these roads are 106 bridges over 20 feet in length, and hundreds of smaller drainage structures.

Changeable message signs would be used at the following sites:

- Any county road used as a detour when a State route is closed due to maintenance, construction, or emergency road closure.
- Any road that require temporary use of a changeable message sign due to detours, natural disasters, or maintenance/construction projects.
- Other related traffic incident management events within the County.

The quotation from Street Smart is $\$ 36,500.00$ with the freight included. The quote from Patriot is $\$ 43,265.00$. These quotes include wireless functions from laptop or cell phone. Hydraulic rising included. Due to current instability in price quotations, we are requesting $10 \%$ additional funding from the low quoted price, to cover potential inflation from the time of application to the time of purchase.

Hamilton County is requesting TSIP funding for the cost of two of changeable message signs with hands-on and laptop/cell phone options included. These message boards will increase the safety of our work zones, for both the traveling public, and our Secondary Roads workers.

## C. ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF COSTS

Below is a cost quotation from Street Smart for the PCMS-548 Hydraulic Trailer-Mounted message sign from StreetSmart.com.

## Street Smart

Street Smart Rentals, LLC
6811 137th Ave NE
Columbus, MN 55025

## PREPARED FOR

Travis Elmore
Hamilton County Engineers Office
(515) 835-3036
telmore@hamiltoncounty.org

## Billing Address

Hamilton County Engineers Office
Hamilton County Courthouse
2300 Superior Street
Webster City, IA 50595

Shipping/Pick Up Address
Hamilton County Engineers Office
Hamilton County Courthouse 2300 Superior Street

```
Quote # Q-19345-2
    Date 6/13/2023
    Expires On 7/12/2023
Rep Name Ryan Kilpatrick
Rep Phone (612) 597-5547
Rep Email rkilpatrick@streetsmartrental.com
```

Webster City, IA 50595

Pricing provided on this quote is valid for up to 30 days after the printed date. Thank you for your business!

| PRODUCT CODE | DESCRIPTION | QTY | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCMS-548/HYD | Mini Full-Matrix CMS | 2 | \$16,500.00 | \$33,000.00 |
| OPT-2-BALL | $2^{*}$ Ball Hitch | 2 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| OPT-7-PIN-RV | 7-Pin Flat RV Plug | 2 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| OPT-MS-Modem | Modem for New Build CMS at Factory | 2 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| OPT-MS-STEALTH CHARGER | 15-Amp Charger for Stealth Batteries | 2 | \$500.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| OPT-MS-Tilt-Rotate | Tilt \& Rotate | 2 | \$500.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| OPT-MS-TWJ | Tongue Wheel Jack 548/320 | 2 | \$250.00 | \$500.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal* | \$35,500.00 |
|  |  |  | Est. Freight* | \$1,000.00 |
|  |  |  | Total* | \$36,500.00 |

*Totals do not include Tax. Taxes are applied on invoices if your account is not exempt.

## Below is a cost quotation from Patriot for the Vanco WVT3 Hydraulic Trailer-Mounted message sign from Patriot.



Both companies have had an 10\% average increase in quotes over the last three years. We would like to include a 5\% contingency for inflation.

## =D. TIME SCHEDULE

- TSIP Application Due
- TSIP Award Notification
- TSIP Funding Available
- Purchase of Signals
- Implementation of Signals

August 15, 2023
January, 2024
July 1, 2024
July, 2024
August, 2024

## E. Map



## F. Pictures

$\rightarrow$ PCMS-548 HYDRAULIC TRAILER-MOUNTED MESSAGE SIGN

Ver-Mac's PCMS-548 hydraulic is a mini full-matrix trailermounted portable changeable message sign. It features the NTCIP-compliant V-Touch Controller, V-Sync Wi-Fi Communication, Stealth Technology, JamLogic Fleet Management Software, and optional Tilt-and-Rotate Solar Panels. The PCMS-548 hydraulic combines energy-efficient design and high-quality construction to provide the most reliable and cost-effective message sign on the market. It is the perfect model for lower speed roadways and urban areas.


AT THE SIGN
Using our V-Touch Controller

NEAR THE SIGN
Using our V-SYNC Wi-Fi


## REMOTELY

Using JamLogic on your PC or Laptop


REMOTELY
Using JamLogic Web on your Smartphone or Tablet


JAMLOGIC* FLEET MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE
The PCMS-548 hydraulic is equipped with Ver-Mac's high-speed modem with GPS which maximizes your productivity, efficiency and profitability al remotely from your office or home! The JamLogic software is FREE and you get all the updates at no charge!

- Monitor, maintain and manage your signs from any PC, laptop, tablet or smartphone
- View your equipment in a list and GPS map view
- Change a message on one or more signs simultaneously with a simple click
- View your messages and battery voltages
- Group your signs in folders (by customer, location, project. you choosel)
- Receive e-mail or text alerts - optional (low battery cellular failure, etc)


## APPLICATIONS

- City and county (urban areas)
-School zones
- Special events


## POWER SUPPLY CONFIGURATION

## SOLAR PANELS

Provide maximum solar recharging during all four seasons.

- Designed to run 12 months in most regions without manual charging
- Various configurations of solar panels and batteries are available to meet your needs.

HIGH-QUALITY CONSTRUCTION
POWDER COATING SUPERIOR FINISH
Impact, humidity, salt spray and rust resistant

## 4 LEVELING JACKS

For stabilization and easy transportation
ELECTRO-HYDRAULIC LIFT MECHANISM
For a quick and effortless deployment
HEAVY-DUTY PLASTIC FENDERS
For durability and easy replacement

## PLASTIC BATTERY BOX

To minimize battery corrosion
LOCKABLE CONTROL BOX
For security
2-IN. (51 MM) COUPLER OR 3-IN. (76 MM) PINTLE EYE
For easy towing
STEALTH TECHNOLOGY
Ver-Mac's innovative Stealth Technology design will help you significantly reduce your battery maintenance and repair costs. This technology combines two great innovations:

LONG-LASTING SEALED BATTERIES
No maintenance required

DISPLAY

- Display panel: $45 \times 80 \mathrm{in}$. $(1146 \times 2027 \mathrm{~mm})$
- Full matrix of $30 \times 56$ pixels
- 2 LEDs per pixel
- $5 \times 7$ pixels ( 8.75 in .) characters (default)
- 3 lines of 9 characters per line (default $5 \times 7$ font)

Up to 3 lines of 12 characters per line ( $3 \times 7$ font)

- Display sign rotates 360 degrees for perfect setting
- Plug-and-play display modules for simplified maintenance

DIMENSIONS AND WEIGHTS

- Overall length: 131 in . ( 3338 mm )
- Overall width: 71 in . (1791 mm)
- Traveling height: 94 in . $(2393 \mathrm{~mm})$
- Operating height: 164 in . ( 4162 mm )
- Weight (approx.): 570 kg ( 1255 lb .)
- Axle/suspension: $909 \mathrm{~kg}(2000 \mathrm{lb}$.)

WARRANTY

- 1 year on complete trailer
- 2 years on electronic components manufactured by Ver-Mac

OPTIONS

- Battery charger
- Tongue wheel jack
- Radar
- Data logger (requires radar)
- Tilt-and-Rotate Solar Panels
- Fixed Camera
- PTZ Camera

Other options are avalable to meet your needs.


## G. Plan View

## Iowa Department of Transportation Office of Design

## 9B-8

## Changeable Message Sign

Design Manual<br>Chapter 9<br>Traffic Control<br>Originally Issued: 09-01-95

A changeable message sign (CMS) is a traffic control device with the flexibility to display a variety of messages. Thus a CMS can be adapted to the needs of work zone traffic control as conditions change. The CMS should be used in conjunction with conventional signs, pavement markings, and lighting. CMS's have a wide variety of applications in work zones, some of which are:

- Speed control,
- Warning of road closures,
- Accident management,
- Notice of width restrictions,
- Advisories on construction scheduling,
- Advisories on traffic delays, and
- Warning of adverse conditions.

A CMS should be used only when a conventional post-mounted or skid-mounted sign would not be adequate. Frequent and prolonged use of a CMS will diminish its effectiveness.
The message panels on the CMS usually contain room for 3 lines of eight characters each. The message panel is visible from about one-half mile. Individual characters can be seen from 850 feet ( 260 meters) under normal conditions. Drivers need approximately one second per word to comprehend a message.

## H. Aerial Photograph - N/A

## I. ICAT Crash Summary- N/A

J. Traffic Volumes - See 2019 IDOT Traffic Volumes for Hamilton County

K. TRAFFIC SIGN LAYOUT - Per 9B-8, see section G.
L. BENEFIT / COST RATIO - Not required as per instructions.

Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS


If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate, and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
\$ 13,596
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 13,596
\$ 13,596

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?

[^1]$\qquad$

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Hancock County Secondary Roads Department

Signed:


Attest:

$\qquad$
Printed Name

## A. RESOLUTION

## RESOLUTION NO. 2023-027

## APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES

WHEREAS, the Iowa Department of Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761 Chapter 164, which created the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow for funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for eligible traffic safety improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, Hancock County has determined that providing portable rumble strips for use during maintenance activities will aid in improving safety for flaggers, maintenance workers, and the traveling public in road work zones; and

WHEREAS, rumble strips are recognized traffic control devices in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2009 Edition; and

WHEREAS, the Hancock County Engineer recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible safety funding of the above-mentioned traffic control devices.

IT IS THEREFORE RESOLVED by the Hancock County Board of Supervisors to endorse the abovementioned project and hereby commits to accepting and maintaining these portable rumble strips;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chairperson of the Hancock County Board of Supervisors authorize and direct the County Engineer to submit the said funding application to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible Traffic Safety Improvement Funding.

Passed and approved this $12^{-n}$ day of dune, 2023.
Hancock County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:


## B. NARRATIVE

Hancock County is applying for Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds to be used for the purchase of portable rumble strips. TSIP funds are being sought to aid in improving safety in our roadwork zones. The portable rumble strips will be used in conjunction with our temporary traffic signals, and with flagger lane closures in work zones to help improve safety for our maintenance personnel. The traveling public will also move through the work zone safely as the portable rumble strips will alert drivers of the upcoming zone, and potentially reduce their speeds driving through it.

Hancock County maintain 1,012 miles of roads with 253 miles paved and they maintain 126 bridges. The traffic volumes on the paved road system ranges from 70 AADT to 2,290 AADT. The main methods of traffic control through work zones are flaggers and pilot car, temporary traffic signals, detour routes, or full road closures. All of which present safety risks to maintenance staff, traveling public, or both.

The portable rumble strips will conform to lowa DOT Materials IM 488.07 and be used according to Iowa DOT Road Standard TC-213 or TC-218. Their primary use will be to aid in traffic control on the paved road system and will aid in improving safety for maintenance staff and the traveling public.

## C. ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF ALL COSTS



Quote For:
Type of Sale: Portable Rumble Strips Quote Date:

Att.
Phone
Fax \#

| Bid <br> Item \# Description Quantity Units Per Unit Total <br>       |
| :--- |
|  |

Rental Rumble Strip price is picked up and delivered back to lowa Plains Slater lowa. New Rumble Strips will take $\mathbf{2}$ weeks ARO. Any and All damage to Rumble Strips will be billed to the customer.

|  | Date |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Signature |  |
| Derek Riley <br> Cell (515) $360-6729$ |  | Acceptance |
| Signature |  |  |

## D. TIME SCHEDULE

| TSIP Application Due | August 2024 |
| :--- | :---: |
| TSIP Award Notification | January 2024 |
| TSIP Funding Available | July 2024 |
| Purchase \& Use of Portable Rumble Strips | July 2024 |

## E. MAP

See lowa DOT maps for the latest data (link below).
https://iowadot.gov/maps/msp/pdf/hancock-co.pdf

## HANCOCK COUNTY



## F. COLOR PICTURES



## ROADQUAKE 2F TPRS BENEFIS:

Designed to reduce accidents and save lives, RoadOuake 2F TPRS alerts distracted drivers to changing road conditions like work zones and checkpoints.


Shawing RandCuake 2F IPFS (1/2 Srip Shown)

## TEMPDPA誛:

- Ideal for work zones where dally installation and removal is required.
- Quick installation and removal. No cleanup required.
- Does not require glue or fastners

PORTABLE

- Transport RoadOueks* 2F TPRS with handing equipment like RoadOueks* CRIB* Carrier or RAPTOF* Rumble Strip Handling Machine.
- Manually deploy and retrizve by a two-person crew. DUR最:
- $3-5$ year life under normal conditions.
- Suitable for use in the rain and in temperatures as low as $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and as high as $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
- For use in posted speed limits up to 80 MPH .
- 3 year limited warranty

US Pitart Na 7,73G, agr. Oihar Pitorts Pending

ROADQUAKE 2F
TEMPORARY PORTABLE RUMBLE STRIPS


## ROADQUAKE 2F TPRS FEATURES:

* RoadQuake 2F generates the same level of sound and vibration as milled strips.
* $13^{\circ} \mathrm{W} \times 3 / 4^{2} \mathrm{H} \times 132^{\prime \prime}$ L when unfolded. Covers the width of an entire lane.
* Folds to a compact $66^{\circ}$ length. Weighs 105 lbs .

3. Ergonomic handles make installation and storage easy. The non-slip textured surface helps to keep RoadQuake 2F TPRS in place.

* Bevels on both sides of RoadQuake take the guesswork out of a correct installation.
* Meets Section 6F. 87 of the MUTCD. 2009 Edition.


## G. PLAN VIEW

See lowa DOT Standard Road Plan TC-213 and TC-218 (link below) with optional use of portable rumble strips.
https://www.iowadot.gov/erl/current/RS/content eng/tc213.pdf https://www.iowadot.gov/erl/current/RS/content eng/tc218.pdf


## H. TRAFFIC VOLUMES

See lowa DOT transportation data for the latest data (link below).

https://iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/2019/counties/HANCOCK.pdf



Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS


If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate, and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

| Total Safety Cost | $\$ 25,141$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ \underline{25,141}$ |
| Safety Funds Requested | $\$ \underline{25,141}$ |

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
ØYes - Explain _TEAP study completed by Snyder \& Associates October 2022
$\square$ No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Hancock County Secondary Roads Department

Signed:


Attest:

$\qquad$
Printed Name

## A. RESOLUTION

## RESOLUTION NO. 2023-026

## APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES

WHEREAS, the lowa Department of Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761 Chapter 164, which created the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow for funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for eligible traffic safety improvement projects; and

WHEREAS, a study conducted at the intersection of Oak Avenue (R44) and 310 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (B16) has determined that the installation of new flashing beacon traffic control devices will improve the safety of the traveling public; and

WHEREAS, flashing beacons are recognized traffic control devices in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2009 Edition; and

WHEREAS, the Hancock County Engineer recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible safety funding of the above-mentioned traffic control devices.

IT IS THEREFORE RESOLVED by the Hancock County Board of Supervisors to endorse the abovementioned project and hereby commits to accepting and maintaining these flashing beacons;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chairperson of the Hancock County Board of Supervisors authorize and direct the County Engineer to submit the said funding application to the lowa Department of Transportation for possible Traffic Safety Improvement Funding.

Passed and approved this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of $10 \mathbb{M}, 2023$.
Hancock County Board of Supervisors

## ATTEST:



## B. NARRATIVE

Hancock County is seeking to improve the safety and traffic operation of the intersection of Oak Ave (R44) and $310^{\text {th }}$ Street (B16). The lowa Department of Transportation recently completed a traffic and safety study through the Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) for the intersection, and Hancock County wishes to implement the recommendations from the study. A copy of the study is attached with this TSIP application. This intersection has the highest ranking for a county road intersection in Hancock County on the lowa DOT's Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) site, with two fatal crashes in the last 10 years of crash history.

Both roadways are 2-lane rural cross section roadways with gravel shoulders that range in width from 2'-4'. 310th St has a federal functional classification as a major collector and Oak Ave is a major collector south of the intersection and a local roadway north of the intersection. There are no dedicated turn lanes at the study intersection. The posted speed limit through the study intersection is 55 mph .

The intersection is controlled by stop signs on the Oak Ave approaches. Both stopped approaches have three rumble strip panels on the approach, a 30" stop ahead warning sign (SB is a word message sign and NB is a symbol sign), intersecting county route marker junction assembly, a destination sign on the northbound approach, and 36 " stop signs on the right side of the road. The stop signs have "Cross Traffic Does Not Stop" plaques and red reflective strips on the signposts. There is a destination streetlight in the southeast corner of the intersection.

The north leg of Oak Ave serves as a connection to the industrial area on the south side of Forest City which is located to the northeast of the intersection. The industrial area is approximately 5 miles north and east of the intersection.

## CRASH HISTORY

Reviewing the lowa DOT PCR website for 2016-2020, the intersection is currently classified as a negligible PCR level intersection (PCR between 0 and 0.2 ) which means it is "performing better than expected". For all crash types, the intersection is ranked 385 of 27,975 (1.4 percent) of "Undivided High-Speed Partial Stop Control" intersections statewide. The higher the intersection rank, the worse the safety performance when compared to similar intersections across the state.

While the intersection is considered to be performing better than expected, the crash record shows moderate activity. 10 years of crash data was reviewed because of the lower volume of traffic and crashes. Over the 10 -year period starting in 2012, there have been 6 recorded crashes at and in advance of the study intersection. Two of the six crashes were fatalities, one in May 2014 and the other in December 2020. Five of the six collisions were right angle collisions, four involved southbound vehicles and four involved westbound vehicles. The two fatal crashes involved southbound vehicles, one with a westbound vehicle and the other with an eastbound vehicle. Five of the six crashes were the result of a driver running the stop sign (3) or failure to yield the right of way from a stop sign (2).

Table 1. Study Intersection Crash Summary (2012-2021)

| Crashes <br> (Injuries) | Crash Severity (Injuries) | Crash Types (\# Crashes) | Major Causes <br> (\# Crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 (9) | $\begin{gathered} 2-\text { Fatal (3) } \\ 1-\text { Suspected }(2 \text { serious, } 2 \text { minor) } \\ 2-\text { Possible (1) } \\ 1-\text { PDO }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | - Broadside (5) <br> - Non-collision (1) <br> - Unknown (1) | -Ran stop sign (3) <br> -FTYROW*: From stop sign (2) <br> -Driving too fast for conditions (1) |

## CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

Maintaining the existing two-way stop control is recommended as the intersection traffic volumes did not satisfy the MUTCD criteria for all-way stop control. In addition, the following low-cost improvements are recommended for consideration:

- 310th Street:
- Install oversized ( 36 ") advance intersection warning signs, fluorescent yellow sign sheeting and retroreflective strips installed on the posts on both the left and right side of the roadway. A "Oak Avenue" street name plaque should be installed below the warning sign.
- Extend the edge line pavement markings through the intersection using a dotted line.
- Consider adding directional guide signs to both approaches to provide additional awareness of the intersection.
- Additional countermeasure to consider - install red metal flags on top of the warning signs, or yellow flashing beacons above the signs.
- Oak Avenue:
- Install oversized ( 36 ") advance traffic control sign, stop ahead symbol, on both the left and right side of the roadway. The sign should be fluorescent yellow with fluorescent yellow retroreflective strips installed on the posts.
- Install a second STOP sign with "Cross Traffic Does Not Stop" plaque and retroreflective post on the left side of the road. Replace the damaged STOP sign.
- Existing signs are already oversized at 36 ", could be further oversized to 48 ".
- Re-apply the stop lines on both approaches.
- Replace the existing rumble strips with Portland cement concrete (PCC) patches/rumble strips. The PCC rumble strips hold up better to traffic than those placed in the hot-mix asphalt.
- Consider adding directional guide signs to the southbound approach to provide additional awareness of the intersection.
- Additional countermeasure to consider - install red metal flags on top of the STOP signs, or red flashing beacons above the signs.

Following installation of the low-cost improvements at the intersection, continued monitoring of the intersection should be accomplished. If safety concerns continue, additional countermeasures can be implemented, or an all-way stop control could be installed if the above recommendations do not adequately address the safety concerns.

Hancock County is seeking TSIP funding to install red flashing solar beacons above stop signs on Oak Ave (R44), and yellow flashing solar beacons above intersection warning signs on $310^{\text {th }}$ Street (B16).

## C. ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF ALL COSTS

## Customer: CONTRR4

Contractor Quote - Region 4 General Delivery
Davenport IA 52806-9999
United States

Quote
Quote Number:

Date: 11/03/2022
Quote

Expire Date: $12 / 3 / 2022$
Prepared By: Zank, Justin D.

Description: Hancock County Engineer / Jeremy Purvis / jeremy.purvis@hancockcountyia.org

| Part\# | Description | Quantity | Price | Extended |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| R247-E | 24-Hour Beacons | 8 | $\$ 2,857.00$ | $\$ 22,856.00$ |
| PMR09288-005 | ENGINE:SOLAR (R247-E),PNC | 8 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| PMR10679-001 | TOP MT:2-2.5"SQ.2.38-2.88"OD,ROUND,PNC | 8 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| CAR-67620 | BATTERY:7A/HR,STAND.(E SERIES) | 16 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| PMR10286-002 | LED MOD:12",YLW | 4 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| PMR10286-001 | LED MOD:12",RED | 4 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| PMR09044-002 | SIG-HEAD:12",POLY,VISR,STIFF PLT,BLK | 8 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| PBW10287-002 | MOD HARNESS:4',LED.(INTEGRATED HEAD) | 8 | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |


| Sale Amount: | $\$ 22,856.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Sales Tax: |  |
| Miec-Charges: | $\mathbf{4 , 5 9 9 . 9 2}$ |
| Fetal Ameunts | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |


| Contingency (10\%): | $\$ 2,285.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Amount Requested: | $\$ 25,141.00$ |

## Terms:

THIS QUOTE IS BASED ON THE ENTIRE VALUE AND VOLUME OF ALL LINE ITEMS - Prices listed on this quote are valid only in the event of purchase of all line items in the quantities listed, in their entirety. Purchases of individual line items will require a new quote prior to acceptance of any purchase orders.

Shipment of the material will be approximately 90 days after receipt of both an acceptable purchase order and approved submittal data if required. PAYMENT TERMS ARE NET 30 DAYS with prior approved credit. MoboTrex, Inc. retains title to material until paid in full. A service charge of $1.5 \%$ per month ( $18 \%$ annual rate) will be assessed against all past due accounts. Prices and delivery quoted are firm for 30 days from the data of bid. The above quote does not include installation of the products quoted. On-Site technical assistance is available and will be quoted upon request.

Quotation does not include sales tax. Sales tax will be added at time of invoice unless a valid Sales Tax Exempt certificate has been provided. Sales tax exempt certificate should accompany customer Purchase Order.

Limited Warranty: MoboTrex, Inc. only obligations shall be to replace such quantity of the product proven to be defective.
Warranty Period: The length of warranty manufacturers have conveyed to the seller and which can be passed on to the buyer.
Additional terms and conditions apply - See MoboTrex, Inc. Terms \& Conditions document at our website: www.mobotrex.com.
Thank you for the opportunity to provide this quote.

## D. TIME SCHEDULE

| TSIP Application Due | August 2024 |
| :--- | :---: |
| TSIP Award Notification | January 2024 |
| TSIP Funding Available | July 2024 |
| Purchase Flashing Solar Beacons | July 2024 |
| Improvement Installation Begins | August 2024 |
| Improvement Installation Completed | November 2024 |

## E. MAP



## F. COLOR PICTURES OF THE PROJECT SITE



Photo 1. West approach, looking west.


Photo 3. South approach, looking south.


Photo 2. West approach, looking east



Photo 5. East approach, looking east.


Photo 7. North approach, looking north.


Photo 6. East approach, looking west.


Photo 8. North approach, looking south.


North leg looking South (Expanded View)

## G. PLAN VIEW: PROPOSED



## H. TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND TURNING MOVEMENTS

The 1999-2022 annual average daily traffic (AADT) traffic counts for the study intersection as reported by the lowa DOT (1999 - 2019 volumes) and Snyder \& Associates ( 2022 volumes) are included in Figure 2. As shown below, traffic for the lowa DOT counts from 1999-2019 show stable traffic volumes, with little growth on the north/south approach legs and significant recent growth on the east/west approaches.

Figure 2: AADT History

*The north approach of Oak Avenue was gravel in 1999.

Intersection turning movement counts were collected by Snyder \& Associates on July 20, 2022, for 24 hours and are included in the appendix of the TEAP study. The counts were factored using the lowa DOT 2021 expansion factors for secondary roads to determine the 2022 AADT for the intersection approaches shown in Figure 2.

The 2022 Snyder \& Associates counts include approximately 13\% trucks/heavy vehicles entering the intersection through the day. A high percentage of trucks are southbound ( $22 \%$ ) with trucks making $54 \%$ of the left turns ( 36 trucks in the 24 -hour period). The westbound approach has similar numbers, $16 \%$ trucks with trucks making $55 \%$ of the right turns ( 36 trucks in the 24 -hour period).
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## OAK AVE/CO RD R44 \& $310^{\mathrm{TH}} \mathrm{ST} / \mathrm{CO}$ RD B16 INTERSECTION STUDY

October 14, 2022

## 1. Local Jurisdiction: Hancock County

2. Reason TEAP Study Originated: The County has been concerned about traffic operations and safety at the Oak Ave \& $310^{\text {th }}$ St intersection. This intersection has the highest ranking for a county road intersection in Hancock County on the Iowa DOT's Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) site, with two fatal crashes in the last 10 years of crash history. This study reviewed the existing conditions, recent crash history, and traffic operations for the intersection of Oak Ave \& $310^{\text {th }}$ St.
3. Scope of Services Provided: Performed field review of existing conditions, collected traffic count data for the intersection, reviewed relevant crash history, identified, and evaluated potential improvement options, prepared construction cost estimates, and developed recommendations per current standards.
4. The Engineer, Snyder \& Associates, submitted a final report dated October 14, 2022 with the following recommendations:
A. Maintaining the existing two-way stop control is recommended as the intersection traffic volumes did not satisfy the MUTCD criteria for all-way stop control. In addition, the following low-cost improvements are recommended for consideration:
B. $310^{\text {th }}$ Street:
a. Install oversized (36") advance intersection warning signs, fluorescent yellow sign sheeting and retroreflective strips installed on the posts on both the left and right side of the roadway. A "Oak Avenue" street name plaque should be installed below the warning sign.
b. Extend the edge line pavement markings through the intersection using a dotted line.
c. Consider adding directional guide signs to both approaches to provide additional awareness of the intersection.
d. Additional countermeasure to consider - install red metal flags on top of the warning signs, or yellow flashing beacons above the signs.
C. Oak Avenue:
a. Install oversized ( 36 ') advance traffic control sign, stop ahead symbol, on both the left and right side of the roadway. The sign should be fluorescent yellow with fluorescent yellow retroreflective strips installed on the posts.
b. Install a second STOP sign with "Cross Traffic Does Not Stop" plaque and retroreflective post on the left side of the road. Replace the damaged STOP sign. Existing signs are already oversized at 36 ", could be further oversized to 48 ".
c. Re-apply the stop lines on both approaches.
d. Replace the existing rumble strips with portland cement concrete (PCC) patches/rumble strips. The PCC rumble strips hold up better to traffic than those placed in the hot-mix asphalt.
e. Consider adding directional guide signs to the southbound approach to provide additional awareness of the intersection.
f. Additional countermeasure to consider - install red metal flags on top of the STOP signs, or red flashing beacons above the signs.

Following installation of the low-cost improvements at the intersection, continued monitoring of the intersection should be accomplished. If safety concerns continue additional countermeasures can be implemented, or the all-way stop control could be installed if the above recommendations do not adequately address the safety concerns.

Planning level probable costs for the recommendations found in this study are included below:

| Recommendations | Cost Estimate | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $310^{\text {th }}$ Street: <br> Oversized advance intersection warning sign. <br> Extend the edge line. | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | - Costs for 2 post mounted signs with retroreflective post on each approach. (4 signs) <br> - Dotted 4" line. (260 lineal feet) |
| Oak Avenue: <br> Oversized advance traffic control signs. <br> Install additional stop sign on left side of road. <br> Re-apply stop lines. Replace existing rumble strip panels with rumble strips in PCC patches. | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \$ 1,000 \\ \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 6,000-\$ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | - Costs for 2 post mounted signs with retroreflective post on each approach. (4 signs) <br> - Costs for 1 post mounted sign with retroreflective post on each approach on the left side. (2 signs) <br> - 15 lineal feet per stop line <br> - Two PCC patches per approach (4 patches) |
| Additional countermeasures to consider: <br> Install additional directional guide signs <br> Install red metal flags on top of signs. | $\$ 1,500$ $\$ 1,600$ | - Three directional guide signs, two on $310^{\text {th }}$ St and one on SB Oak Ave <br> - Two flags per sign intersection warning signs, stop ahead signs, and stop signs. (12 signs) |


| Install beacons on intersection <br> warning signs and stop signs. | $\$ 16,000-$ <br> $\$ 20,000$ | - One beacon per sign on <br> intersection warning signs and <br> stop signs. (8 signs) |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

Notes:

1. This opinion represents approximate construction costs only and does not provide a detailed list of project pay items. This opinion is to be used to as a planning number only.
2. Costs represent current dollars as of report date.
3. Potential Funding Sources:

Funding for traffic safety improvements on public roads under county, city, or state jurisdiction may be available through the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) or the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) - Secondary Program.

TSIP provides funding for traffic safety improvements on any public road under the jurisdiction of a public agency. There are two categories for project applications, sitespecific projects, and traffic control devices. The site specific projects are evaluated based on the traffic safety aspects of the project, benefit/cost ratio, the annual funding level, and other criteria. Funding for this category of project is limited to $\$ 500,000$ per site. The traffic control devices category is also evaluated based on the traffic safety benefits of the project, the annual funding level and other criteria. This category provides funding for the purchase of materials for the installation of new, or replacement of obsolete traffic control devices. This category is limited to a total of $\$ 500,000$ for all approved applications. Applications are due by August $15^{\text {th }}$ each year.

The HSIP-Secondary program is a county focused highway safety program to promote the installation of low-cost systemic improvements. The program has the goal of reducing two types of crashes, lane departure crashes and intersection crashes. The systemic approach installs countermeasures along an entire corridor or at multiple intersections with similar characteristics. Applications are due by November 30, 2022 for FY 2024 or later.

Prepared by:
Snyder \& Associates, Inc.

Prepared for:
Hancock County

In Cooperation with:

Iowa Department of Transportation

October 14, 2022

|  | I hereby certify that this engineering document was prepared by me <br> or under my direct personal supervision and that I am a duly <br> licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of lowa. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## BACKGROUND

At the request of Hancock County, this study reviewed the existing conditions, recent crash history, and traffic operations for the intersection of Oak Ave \& $310^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. This intersection has the highest ranking for a county road intersection in Hancock County on the Iowa DOT's Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) site, with two fatal crashes in the last 10 years of crash history. Refer to Figure 1 for the study location.

Figure 1. Study Intersection


## Existing Conditions

Both roadways are 2-lane rural cross section roadways with gravel shoulders that range in width from $2^{\prime}-4^{\prime} .310^{\text {th }}$ St has a federal functional classification as a major collector and Oak Ave is a major collector south of the intersection and a local roadway north of the intersection. There are no dedicated turn lanes at the study intersection. The posted speed limit through the study intersection is 55 mph .

The intersection is controlled by stop signs on the Oak Ave approaches. Both stopped approaches have three rumble strip panels on the approach, a 30 " stop ahead warning sign ( SB is a word message sign and NB is a symbol sign), intersecting county route marker junction assembly, a destination sign on the northbound approach, and 36 " stop signs on the right side of the road. The stop signs have "Cross Traffic Does Not Stop" plaques and red reflective strips on the signposts. There is a destination streetlight in the southeast corner of the intersection.

The north leg of Oak Ave serves as a connection to the industrial area on the south side of Forest City which is located to the northeast of the intersection. The industrial area is approximately 5 miles north and east of the intersection.

## EXISTING TRAFFIC DATA AND AADT HISTORY

The 1999-2022 annual average daily traffic (AADT) traffic counts for the study intersection as reported by the Iowa DOT (1999 - 2019 volumes) and Snyder \& Associates (2022 volumes) are included in Figure 2. As shown below, traffic for the Iowa DOT counts from 1999-2019 show stable traffic volumes, with little growth on the north/south approach legs and significant recent growth on the east/west approaches.

Figure 2: AADT History


Intersection turning movement counts were collected by Snyder \& Associates on July 20, 2022, for 24 hours and are included in the appendix. The counts were factored using the Iowa DOT 2021 expansion factors for secondary roads to determine the 2022 AADT for the intersection approaches shown in Figure 2.

The 2022 Snyder \& Associates counts include approximately 13\% trucks/heavy vehicles entering the intersection through the day. A high percentage of trucks are southbound (22\%) with trucks
making 54\% of the left turns ( 36 trucks in the 24 -hour period). The westbound approach has similar numbers, $16 \%$ trucks with trucks making $55 \%$ of the right turns ( 36 trucks in the 24 -hour period).

## FIELD OBSERVATIONS

The following observations and conditions were observed while deploying traffic counting equipment at the study intersection:

- Rumble strips, three panels, are located on Oak Ave in advance of the stop signs. The condition of the rumble strips is good; however, some wearing was noticed in the wheel paths.
- Existing stop signs are 36 " signs with "Cross Traffic Does Not Stop" plaques installed below them. Red reflective strips have been installed on the signposts. The northbound sign has been damaged and is bent and the sheeting has been scrapped.
- The stop line pavement markings on the stop approaches are worn and not visible to the driver.
- The southbound stop ahead warning sign is a word message sign and is faded. In the northbound direction the warning sign is a symbol sign and appears to be newer with fluorescent yellow sheeting. Both signs are 30 " warning signs.
- There is a directional guide sign in advance of the intersection on the northbound approach, but not the southbound.
- All intersection approaches are flat and sight distance is good. On the southbound approach there is a slight dip north of the intersection, but the stop sign is visible from the stop ahead warning sign.
- There is a embankment on the west side of the southbound approach to the intersection. And a smaller embankment on the east side of the road. The embankments limit the ability of drivers to see approaching traffic on the eastbound and westbound approaches.
- A streetlight is installed on a utility pole in the southeast corner of the intersection.
- While conducting the field review, a truck in the southbound direction did not stop for the stop sign. It appeared the driver did not see the stop sign in time to stop, as they slowed, but were not able to stop before entering and proceeding through the intersection.

Below are pictures taken of each of the intersection approaches and intersection signing.


Photo 1. West approach, looking west.


Photo 3. South approach, looking south.


Photo 2. West approach, looking east


Photo 4. South approach, looking north


Photo 5. East approach, looking east.


Photo 7. North approach, looking north.


Photo 6. East approach, looking west.


Photo 8. North approach, looking south.


Photo 9. Damaged NB stop sign.


Photo 11. Tire path wear in rumble strip.


Photo 10. SB stop ahead warning sign.


Photo 12. Tire path wear in rumble strip.


Photo 13. Stop Line for NB stop condition.


Photo 14. Stop Line for SB stop condition.


Photo 15. Berm on the east side of the $S B$ approach.

## CRASH HISTORY

The Iowa DOT uses a safety performance functions (SPF) methodology to prioritize high crash intersections in Iowa. "A safety performance function (SPF) is an equation used to predict the average number of crashes per year at a location as a function of exposure and, in some cases, roadway or intersection characteristics. Generally, SPFs more realistically demonstrate the relationship between crashes and traffic volume." ${ }^{1}$

Reviewing the Iowa DOT PCR website for 2016-2020, the study intersection is currently classified as a negligible PCR level intersection (PCR between 0 and 0.2 ) which means it is "performing better than expected". For all crash types, the study intersection is ranked 385 of 27,975 (1.4 percent) of "Undivided High-Speed Partial Stop Control" intersections statewide. The higher the intersection rank, the worse the safety performance when compared to similar intersections across the state.

While the intersection is considered to be performing better than expected, the crash record shows moderate activity. For this study we reviewed 10 years of crash data because of the lower volume of traffic and crashes. Over the 10-year period starting in 2012, there have been 6 recorded crashes at and in advance of the study intersection. Two of the six crashes were fatalities, one in May 2014 and the other in December 2020. Five of the six collisions were right angle collisions, four involved southbound vehicles and four involved westbound vehicles. The two fatal crashes involved southbound vehicles, one with a westbound vehicle and the other with an eastbound vehicle. Five of the six crashes were the result of a driver running the stop sign (3) or failure to yield the right of way from a stop sign (2).

A summary of the intersection crash history obtained from the Iowa DOT's Iowa Crash Analysis Tool (ICAT) is included in Table 1, and additional details are provided in the appendix.

Table 1. Study Intersection Crash Summary (2012-2021)

| Crashes <br> (Injuries) | Crash Severity (Injuries) | Crash <br> Types (\# <br> Crashes) | Major Causes <br> (\# Crashes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 (9) | $\begin{gathered} 2-\text { Fatal (3) } \\ 1-\text { Suspected (2 serious, } 2 \text { minor) } \\ 2-\text { Possible (1) } \\ 1-\text { PDO* }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | - Broadside (5) <br> - Non-collision (1) <br> - Unknown (1) | - Ran stop sign (3) <br> -FTYROW*: From stop sign (2) <br> - Driving too fast for conditions (1) |

*Property Damage Only/Failure to Yield Right of Way

## SIGHT LINE OBSTRUCTIONS AND SIGHT DISTANCES

Required stopping distance is a function of motorist reaction time, vehicle travel speed, and roadway grade, which can increase or decrease the needed stopping distance depending on a positive or negative grade. The table below is a summary of stopping distances at different speeds

[^2]and roadway grade, as recommended by the American Association of State and Highway Traffic Engineers.

## Table 2. Stopping Sight Distance ${ }^{2}$

| Travel | Grade \% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Speed | $\mathbf{- 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| 55 mph | 520 ft | 495 ft | 469 ft |
| 60 mph | 598 ft | 570 ft | 538 ft |

Critical to avoiding vehicular conflict at intersections for vehicles stopped at the intersection is the ability for motorists to see approaching vehicles or pedestrians and ensure that they have adequate time to react before or while making a turn. The amount of time needed to complete a safe turning movement varies with the speed of approaching traffic as the faster an approaching vehicle is moving, the less time available to react. Additionally, motorists turning left require additional time/distance to safely complete a turn due to the increased distance they must travel as they cross the road to the opposite lane.

Table 3. Departure Sight Triangle Distances by Travel Speed for Minor Leg Turns

| Approach Speed on Major Leg | Required Distance from Stop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left Turn (ft) $^{\mathbf{3}}$ | Right Turn (ft) ${ }^{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| 55 mph | 610 | 530 |
| 60 mph | 665 | 575 |

The southbound approach of Oak Ave has a slight dip in elevation southbound approach. However, this dip does not impact the sight distance to the intersection, and the stopping sight distance exceeds the values in Table 2. The departure sight distance for vehicles stopped at the stop signs on Oak Ave also exceed the sight distance values in Table 3. The northbound approach sight distances also exceed the required distances shown in Tables 2 and 3.

There is a drainage ditch on the west side of Oak Ave north of the intersection. The embankment on the west side of the ditch blocks the southbound driver's view of eastbound traffic approaching the intersection. Since Oak Ave has the stop condition this sight obstruction does not restrict the sight distance to the stop sign, but drivers may not see approaching traffic from the west and make assumptions on the need to completely stop.

[^3]
## ALL WAY STOP CONTROL WARRANT ANALYSIS

An All-Way Stop Warrant Analysis was performed for the intersection. The warrant analysis was conducted according to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), 2009 Edition, Section 2B.07, Multi-way Stop Application. The criteria are included in the MUTCD guidance statement and includes the following:

- Five or more reported crashes in a 12 -month period that are susceptible to correction by a multiway stop (i.e. right- and left- turn collisions and right-angle collisions),
- Minimum AADT volumes of at least 210 vehicles per hour for an 8 -hour period on the major legs of the intersection, and
- The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic from both minor segments of the intersection averages at least 140 users per hour during the same 8 -hour period with an average delay of at least 30 seconds per vehicle.
- The minimum vehicular volume warrants are $70 \%$ of the values included in the MUTCD because the approach speed of the major street traffic is greater than 40 mph .

The intersection traffic volumes collected for this study and the intersection crash history do not satisfy the MUTCD warrants for an all-way stop. The multi-way stop analysis worksheet is included in the appendix.

While meeting or not meeting a warrant is not the only qualifying criteria for placing traffic control devices, the study intersection does not meet the established warrants for an all-way stop traffic control measure.

## INTERSECTION TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Intersection capacity analysis was completed following the methods outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition (HCM), incorporated into the traffic analysis software Highway Capacity Software, Version 7.7 (HCS). Intersection delay is a function of traffic factors such as traffic volume, turning vehicles, vehicle types and arrival patterns as well as geometric factors such as number of lanes and type of traffic control. Intersection operations are categorized by equating ranges of average vehicular delay in seconds per vehicle ( $\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) to level of service (LOS) criteria.

LOS A is considered the most desirable level, with the least delay, while LOS F experiences the most delay. LOS C is often considered the acceptable goal for intersection delay, while urban side street approaches often experience LOS D due to two-way stop operation and LOS E or F is operating over capacity. Table 4 below shows LOS criteria as defined by the HCM for unsignalized intersections.

Table 4 : Level of Service (LOS) Definition

|  | Average Delay per Vehicle (sec/vehicle) <br> Unsignalized <br> Intersection |
| :---: | :---: |
| LOS | Less than 10 |
| A | $10-15$ |
| B | $15-25$ |
| C | $25-35$ |
| D | $35-50$ |
| E | Greater than 50 |
| F |  |

Capacity analysis was completed for existing conditions with existing traffic and roadway geometry for the intersection. The intersection operations were determined to be at a LOS A with the Oak Ave stop approaches experiencing $9.2 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ of delay. For comparison, the traffic operations for an all-way stop were evaluated as well. The intersection would operate at LOS A under all-way stop control with an average delay of $7.2 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ for all approaches. The HCS reports are included in the appendix.

## LOW-COST SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS FOR RURAL INTERSECTIONS

Rural intersection safety can be improved by using low-cost safety improvements that improve visibility of traffic control devices, provide additional signs, and improve pavement markings. The FHWA has several publications on low-cost safety improvements, one of which is the Local and Rural Road Safety Briefing Sheet, Low-Cost Safety Improvements for Rural Intersections. A copy of this briefing sheet is included in the appendix.

The low-cost safety improvements for rural intersections includes several potential measures to improve intersection recognition by enhancing the conspicuity of the intersection signing for both the stop approaches and the through approaches and improving pavement markings to reduce the crash risk at the intersection. These improvements are intended for use at spot locations or in a systemic approach across the roadway network.

Low-cost countermeasures for stop-controlled intersection generally consist of the following treatments:

- On the through approach -
- Oversized advance intersection warning signs with street name plaques installed on both the left and right side of the road.
- Extension of the edge lines (dotted line) through the intersection.
- On the stop approach -
- Oversized stop ahead warning signs installed on the right and left side of the road.
- Oversized STOP signs installed on the left and right side of the road with CROSS TRAFFIC DOES NOT STOP plaque.
- Properly placed and maintained stop line at the intersection.
- Removal of any vegetation or obstruction that limits sight distance to the intersection or sight distance of opposing traffic while at the stop line.
- Add transverse rumble strips in advance of the intersection.
- Add STOP AHEAD pavement markings.
- General improvements to enhance sign conspicuity and awareness of the intersection -
- Use a prismatic retroreflective sheeting, ASTM Type IV or Type XI.
- Use fluorescent yellow sign sheeting for the warning signs.
- Retroreflective sheeting on the signposts.
- Add red flags to the signs.
- Add beacons on the intersection warning sign and/or the STOP signs, or use of flashing LEDs in the borders of the signs.
- Double yellow center line at the intersection on all approaches.
- Install or improve intersection lighting.

Figure 3 and the following photos illustrate many of these low-cost improvements.
Figure 3. Example of Intersection Safety Improvements

*Modified from Iowa DOT District Road Safety Plan


Photo 16. Oversize STOP signs on the right and left and no passing zone markings on approach.


Photo 17. Oversize stop ahead warning signs on the right and left, with reflective posts.


Photo 18. Intersection warning signs with reflective posts, flashing beacons, advisory speed limit and street name plaques.


Photo 19. Edge line extension through the intersection and no passing zone markings on the approaches.

## CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

Maintaining the existing two-way stop control is recommended as the intersection traffic volumes did not satisfy the MUTCD criteria for all-way stop control. In addition, the following low-cost improvements are recommended for consideration:

- $310^{\text {th }}$ Street:
a. Install oversized ( 36 ") advance intersection warning signs, fluorescent yellow sign sheeting and retroreflective strips installed on the posts on both the left and right side of the roadway. A "Oak Avenue" street name plaque should be installed below the warning sign.
b. Extend the edge line pavement markings through the intersection using a dotted line.
c. Consider adding directional guide signs to both approaches to provide additional awareness of the intersection.
d. Additional countermeasure to consider - install red metal flags on top of the warning signs, or yellow flashing beacons above the signs.
- Oak Avenue:
a. Install oversized ( 36 ") advance traffic control sign, stop ahead symbol, on both the left and right side of the roadway. The sign should be fluorescent yellow with fluorescent yellow retroreflective strips installed on the posts.
b. Install a second STOP sign with "Cross Traffic Does Not Stop" plaque and retroreflective post on the left side of the road. Replace the damaged STOP sign. Existing signs are already oversized at 36 ", could be further oversized to 48 ".
c. Re-apply the stop lines on both approaches.
d. Replace the existing rumble strips with portland cement concrete (PCC) patches/rumble strips. The PCC rumble strips hold up better to traffic than those placed in the hot-mix asphalt.
e. Consider adding directional guide signs to the southbound approach to provide additional awareness of the intersection.
f. Additional countermeasure to consider - install red metal flags on top of the STOP signs, or red flashing beacons above the signs.

The above recommendations are shown in Exhibit 1.
Following installation of the low-cost improvements at the intersection, continued monitoring of the intersection should be accomplished. If safety concerns continue, additional countermeasures can be implemented, or the all-way stop control could be installed if the above recommendations do not adequately address the safety concerns.

## OPINION OF PROBABLE COSTS

Planning level probable costs for the recommendations found in this study are included below.

Table 5: Opinion of Probable Project Costs (Planning Level)

| Recommendations | Cost Estimate | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $310^{\text {th }}$ Street: <br> Oversized advance intersection warning sign. <br> Extend the edge line. | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | - Costs for 2 post mounted signs with retroreflective post on each approach. (4 signs) <br> - Dotted 4" line. (260 lineal feet) |
| Oak Avenue: <br> Oversized advance traffic control signs. <br> Install additional stop sign on left side of road. <br> Re-apply stop lines. Replace existing rumble strip panels with rumble strips in PCC patches. | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \$ 1,000 \\ \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 6,000-\$ 8,000 \end{gathered}$ | - Costs for 2 post mounted signs with retroreflective post on each approach. (4 signs) <br> - Costs for 1 post mounted sign with retroreflective post on each approach on the left side. (2 signs) <br> - 15 lineal feet per stop line <br> - Two PCC patches per approach (4 patches) |
| Additional countermeasures to consider: <br> Install additional directional guide signs <br> Install red metal flags on top of signs. <br> Install beacons on intersection warning signs and stop signs. | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,500 \\ \$ 1,600 \\ \$ 16,000- \\ \$ 20,000 \end{gathered}$ | - Three directional guide signs, two on $310^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ and one on SB Oak Ave <br> - Two flags per sign intersection warning signs, stop ahead signs, and stop signs. (12 signs) <br> - One beacon per sign on intersection warning signs and stop signs. (8 signs) |

Notes:

1. This opinion represents approximate construction costs only and does not provide a detailed list of project pay items. This opinion is to be used to as a planning number only.
2. Costs represent current dollars as of report date.

## POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES

Funding for traffic safety improvements on public roads under county, city, or state jurisdiction may be available through the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) or the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) - Secondary Program.

TSIP provides funding for traffic safety improvements on any public road under the jurisdiction of a public agency. There are two categories for project applications, site-specific projects, and traffic control devices. The site specific projects are evaluated based on the traffic safety aspects of the project, benefit/cost ratio, the annual funding level and other criteria. Funding for this
category of project is limited to $\$ 500,000$ per site. The traffic control devices category is also evaluated based on the traffic safety benefits of the project, the annual funding level, and other criteria. This category provides funding for the purchase of materials for the installation of new, or replacement of obsolete traffic control devices. This category is limited to a total of $\$ 500,000$ for all approved applications. Applications are due by August $15^{\text {th }}$ each year.

The HSIP-Secondary program is a county focused highway safety program to promote the installation of low-cost systemic improvements. The program has the goal of reducing two types of crashes, lane departure crashes and intersection crashes. The systemic approach installs countermeasures along an entire corridor or at multiple intersections with similar characteristics. Applications are due by November 30, 2022 for FY 2024 or later.


## Appendix

- Intersection Turning Movement Counts
- Crash History (2012-2021)
- Multi-way Stop Control Warrant Worksheet
- FHWA Low-Cost Safety Improvements for Rural Intersections Briefing Sheet

Turning Movement Count

Oak Ave \& 310th St
Hancock County TEAP
Forest City, IA
122.1000.01D

File Name : CNT_TMC_Oak-310th_24HR_2022-07-20 Site Code :
Start Date : 7/20/2022
Page No :1

Groups Printed- Cars - Heavy Vehicles

|  | Oak Ave SB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Oak Ave NB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { EB } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 02:15 AM } \\ & \text { 02:30 AM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 1 | 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 1 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 03:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 04:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 04:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 |
| 04:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
| 04:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 34 |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 25 |
| 05:30 AM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 25 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| Total | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 20 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 77 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 19 |
| 06:45 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 17 |
| Total | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 53 |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 21 |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 23 |
| Total | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 75 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 27 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 |
| 08:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Total | 9 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 73 |
| 09:00 AM | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 09:45 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| Total | 4 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 50 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| 10:15 AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 12 |

Oak Ave \& 310th St
Hancock County TEAP
Forest City, IA
122.1000.01D

File Name : CNT_TMC_Oak-310th_24HR_2022-07-20 Site Code :
Start Date : 7/20/2022
Page No :2

Groups Printed- Cars - Heavy Vehicles

|  | Oak Ave SB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 310th St } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Oak Ave NB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { EB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 10:30 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Total | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 41 |
| 11:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 11:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 16 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 11:45 AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 |
| Total | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 47 |
| 12:00 PM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 12:45 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| Total | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 40 |
| 01:00 PM | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 16 |
| 01:15 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| 01:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Total | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 60 |
| 02:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 02:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| 02:45 PM | 1 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 34 |
| Total | 3 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 75 |
| 03:00 PM | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 32 |
| 03:15 PM | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 28 |
| 03:30 PM | 1 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 35 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| Total | 4 | 21 | 27 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 112 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| 04:15 PM | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 28 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 |
| Total | 5 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 81 |


| $05: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 28 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| Total | 3 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 84 |


| 06:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| Total | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 45 |


| $07: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| $07: 30$ PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| $07: 45$ PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| Total | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 33 |



# Turning Movement Count 

Oak Ave \& 310th St
Hancock County TEAP
Forest City, IA
122.1000.01D

File Name : CNT_TMC_Oak-310th_24HR_2022-07-20 Site Code :
Start Date : 7/20/2022
Page No : 3

|  | Oak Ave SB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 310th St } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Oak Ave NB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { EB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 27 |
| 09:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 09:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 09:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 09:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 31 |


| $10: 00 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| $10: 30 ~ P M ~$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |


| $11: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |


| Grand Total | 67 | 132 | 70 | 0 | 269 | 36 | 202 | 65 | 0 | 303 | 20 | 148 | 39 | 0 | 207 | 59 | 206 | 29 | 0 | 294 | 1073 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 24.9 | 49.1 | 26 | 0 |  | 11.9 | 66.7 | 21.5 | 0 |  | 9.7 | 71.5 | 18.8 | 0 |  | 20.1 | 70.1 | 9.9 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.2 | 12.3 | 6.5 | 0 | 25.1 | 3.4 | 18.8 | 6.1 | 0 | 28.2 | 1.9 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 0 | 19.3 | 5.5 | 19.2 | 2.7 | 0 | 27.4 | 27 |
| Cars | 31 | 113 | 67 | 0 | 211 | 35 | 192 | 29 | 0 | 256 | 20 | 132 | 37 | 0 | 189 | 57 | 194 | 28 | 0 | 279 | 935 |
| \% Cars | 46.3 | 85.6 | 95.7 | 0 | 78.4 | 97.2 | 95 | 44.6 | 0 | 84.5 | 100 | 89.2 | 94.9 | 0 | 91.3 | 96.6 | 94.2 | 96.6 | 0 | 94.9 | 87.1 |
| Heavy Vehicles | 36 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 138 |
| \% Heavy Vehicles | 53.7 | 14.4 | 4.3 | 0 | 21.6 | 2.8 | 5 | 55.4 | 0 | 15.5 | 0 | 10.8 | 5.1 | 0 | 8.7 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 0 | 5.1 | 12.9 |

Turning Movement Count

Oak Ave \& 310th St
Hancock County TEAP
Forest City, IA
122.1000.01D

File Name : CNT_TMC_Oak-310th_24HR_2022-07-20
Site Code :
Start Date : 7/20/2022
Page No : 4


Turning Movement Count

Oak Ave \& 310th St
Hancock County TEAP
Forest City, IA
122.1000.01D

File Name : CNT_TMC_Oak-310th_24HR_2022-07-20
Site Code :
Start Date : 7/20/2022
Page No : 5

|  | Oak Ave SB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Oak Ave NB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { EB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 23 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 27 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 |
| Total Volume | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 82 |
| \% App. Total | 57.1 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 4.3 | 78.3 | 17.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 0 |  | 6.7 | 80 | 13.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 667 | . 500 | . 500 | . 000 | . 700 | . 250 | . 750 | . 500 | . 000 | . 719 | . 000 | . 550 | . 500 | . 000 | . 536 | . 500 | . 600 | . 500 | . 000 | . 625 | . 759 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 07:45 AM <br> Cars <br> Heavy Vehicles |  |
|  |  |  |

Turning Movement Count

Oak Ave \& 310th St
Hancock County TEAP
Forest City, IA
122.1000.01D

File Name : CNT_TMC_Oak-310th_24HR_2022-07-20 Site Code :
Start Date : 7/20/2022
Page No : 6

|  | Oak Ave SB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Oak Ave NB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { EB } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thr u | Rgh t | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 01:00 PM

| 01:00 PM | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:15 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| 01:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| Total Volume | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 60 |
| \% App. Total | 35.3 | 47.1 | 17.6 | 0 |  | 6.2 | 68.8 | 25 | 0 |  | 18.2 | 63.6 | 18.2 | 0 |  | 12.5 | 75 | 12.5 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 667 | . 750 | . 000 | . 708 | . 250 | . 458 | . 500 | . 000 | . 571 | . 500 | . 438 | . 500 | . 000 | . 550 | . 500 | . 750 | . 250 | . 000 | . 800 | . 882 |



Turning Movement Count

Oak Ave \& 310th St
Hancock County TEAP
Forest City, IA
122.1000.01D

File Name : CNT_TMC_Oak-310th_24HR_2022-07-20 Site Code :
Start Date : 7/20/2022
Page No : 7

|  | Oak Ave SB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { WB } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Oak Ave NB |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 310th St } \\ \text { EB } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thr u | Rgh t | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Left | Thru | Rght | Other | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 11:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 02:45 PM

| 02:45 PM | 1 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:00 PM | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 32 |
| 03:15 PM | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 28 |
| 03:30 PM | 1 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 35 |
| Total Volume | 5 | 29 | 32 | 0 | 66 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 129 |
| \% App. Total | 7.6 | 43.9 | 48.5 | 0 |  | 15.4 | 76.9 | 7.7 | 0 |  | 7.7 | 69.2 | 23.1 | 0 |  | 8.3 | 75 | 16.7 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 625 | . 659 | . 615 | . 000 | . 750 | . 500 | . 833 | . 500 | . 000 | . 813 | . 250 | . 750 | . 750 | . 000 | . 650 | . 250 | . 750 | . 500 | . 000 | . 750 | . 921 |




$\longleftarrow$ Straight
$\leftarrow$ Stopped
$\leftarrow$ Unknown
$\leftrightarrow$ Backing
$\leftrightarrow$ Overtaking
$\leftrightarrow$ Sideswipe

Parked
\＆n Erratic
\＆Out of control
$r$ Right turn Left turn U－turn

Pedestrian
$\chi$ Bicycle
－Injury
－Fatality
$\Rightarrow$ Nighttime
$\mapsto$ DUI

Fixed objects：

| $\square$ | General | $\square$ | Pole |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ | Signal | 『 | Curb |
| 囚 | Tree | 员 | Animal |


| Crash Severity | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fatal Crash | 2 |
| Suspected Serious Injury Crash | 1 |
| Suspected Minor Injury Crash | 0 |
| Possible/Unknown Injury Crash | 2 |
| Property Damage Only | 1 |


| Property/Vehicles/Occupants |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Property Damage Total (dollars): | $117,000.00$ |
| Average (per crash dollars): | $19,500.00$ |
| Total Vehicles: | 12.00 |
| Average (per crash): | 2.00 |
| Total Occupants: | 19.00 |
| Average (per crash): | 3.17 |

Average Severity

| Injury Status Summary | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fatalities | 3 |
| Suspected serious/incapacitating | 2 |
| Suspected minor/non-incapacitating | 2 |
| Possible (complaint of pain/injury) | 1 |
| Unknown | 1 |



| Major Cause |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Animal | 0 | Ran traffic signal | 6 |
| Ran stop sign | 3 | Failed to yield to emergency vehicle | 0 |
| FTYROW: At uncontrolled intersection | 0 | FTYROW: Making right turn on red signal | 0 |
| FTYROW: From stop sign | 2 | FTYROW: From yield sign | 0 |
| FTYROW: Making left turn | 0 | FTYROW: From driveway | 0 |
| FTYROW: From parked position | 0 | FTYROW: To pedestrian | 0 |
| FTYROW: Other | 0 | Drove around RR grade crossing gates | 0 |
| Disregarded RR Signal | 0 | Crossed centerline (undivided) | 0 |
| Crossed median (divided) | 0 | Traveling wrong way or on wrong side of road | 0 |
| Aggressive driving/road rage | 0 | Driving too fast for conditions | 0 |
| Exceeded authorized speed | 0 | Improper or erratic lane changing | 0 |
| Operating vehicle in an reckless, erratic, ca... | 0 | Followed too close | 0 |
| Passing: On wrong side | 0 | Passing: Where prohibited by signs/markings | 0 |
| Passing: With insufficient distance/inadequa... | 0 | Passing: Through/around barrier | 0 |
| Passing: Other passing | 0 | Made improper turn | 0 |
| Driver Distraction: Manual operation of an e... | 0 | Driver Distraction: Talking on a hand-held d... | 0 |
| Driver Distraction: Talking on a hands free ... | 0 | Driver Distraction: Adjusting devices (radio... | 0 |
| Driver Distraction: Other electronic device ... | 0 | Driver Distraction: Passenger | 0 |
| Driver Distraction: Unrestrained animal | 0 | Driver Distraction: Reaching for object(s)/f... | 0 |
| Driver Distraction: Inattentive/lost in thou... | 0 | Driver Distraction: Other interior distracti... | 0 |
| Driver Distraction: Exterior distraction | 0 | Ran offroad - right | 0 |
| Ran off road - straight | 0 | Ran off road - left | 0 |
| Lost control | 0 | Swerving/Evasive Action | 0 |
| Over correcting/over steering | 0 | Failed to keep in proper lane | 0 |
| Failure to signal intentions | 0 | Traveling on prohibited traffic way | 0 |
| Vehicle stopped on railroad tracks | 0 | Other: Vision obstructed |  |
| Other: Improper operation | 0 | Other: Disregarded warning sign | 0 |
| Other: Disregarded signs/road markings | 0 | Other: Illegal off-road driving | 0 |
| Downhill runaway | 0 | Separation of units | 0 |
| Towing improperly | 0 | Cargo/equipment loss or shift | 0 |
| Equipment failure | 0 | Oversized load/vehicle | 0 |
| Other: Getting off/out of vehicle | 0 | Failure to dim lights/have lights on | 0 |
| Improper backing | 0 | Improper starting | 0 |
| Illegally parked/unattended | 0 | Driving less than the posted speed limit | 0 |
| Operator inexperience | 0 | Other | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | Not reported | 0 |
| Other: No improper action | 0 | 0 |  |

## Time of Day/Day of Week

| Day of Week | 12 AM to 2 AM | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{AM} \\ \text { to } \quad 4 \\ \quad \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4 AM to 6 AM | 6 AM to 8 AM | 8 AM to 10 AM | $10 \mathrm{AM}$ | Noon | 2 PM to 4 PM | 4 PM to 6 PM | 6 PM to 8 PM | 8 PM to 10 PM | $\begin{gathered} 10 \mathrm{PM} \\ \text { to } \\ 12 \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Not reporte | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sunday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Tuesday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Wednesday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Thursday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Friday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Saturday | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |


| Manner of Crash Collision | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Non-collision (single vehicle) | 1 |
| Head-on (front to front) | 0 |
| Rear-end (front to rear) | 0 |
| Angle, oncoming left turn | 0 |
| Broadside (front to side) | 4 |
| Sideswipe, same direction | 0 |
| Sideswipe, opposite direction | 0 |
| Rear to rear | 0 |
| Rear to side | 0 |
| Not reported | 0 |
| Other | 0 |
| Unknown | 1 |


| Surface Conditions | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dry | 3 |
| Wet | 1 |
| lce/frost | 1 |
| Snow | 0 |
| Slush | 0 |
| Mud, dirt | 0 |
| Water (standing or moving) | 0 |
| Sand | 0 |
| Oil | 0 |
| Gravel | 0 |
| Not reported | 0 |
| Other | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 |


| Fixed Object Struck |  | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bridge overhead structure | 0 | Bridge pier or support |
| Bridge/bridge rail parapet | 0 | Curb/island/raised median |
| Ditch | 2 | Embankment |
| Ground | 0 | Culvert/pipe opening |
| Guardrail - face | 0 | Guardrail - end |
| Concrete traffic barrier (median or right sid... | 0 | Other traffic barrier |
| Cable barrier | 0 | Impact attenuator/crash cushion |
| Utility pole/light support | 0 | Traffic sign support |
| Traffic signal support | 0 | Other post/pole/support |
| Fire hydrant | 0 | Mailbox |
| Tree | 0 | Landscape/shrubbery |
| Snow bank | 0 | Fence |
| Wall | 0 | Building |
| Other fixed object | 0 | None (no fixed object struck) |

Iowa Crash Analysis Tool
Quick Report
2012-2021

| Driver Age/Driver Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Driver Age - 5 year Bins | Female | Male | Not reported | Unknown | Total |
| < 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $=14$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $=15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $=16$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $=17$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $=18$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $=19$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $=20$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=21$ and $<=24$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=25$ and $<=29$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| $>=30$ and $<=34$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=35$ and $<=39$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=40$ and $<=44$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>=45$ and $<=49$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=50$ and <= 54 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>=55$ and <= 59 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>=60$ and $<=64$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>=65$ and $<=69$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=70$ and $<=74$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>=75$ and $<=79$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>=80$ and $<=84$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=85$ and <= 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>=90$ and <=94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| >= 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not reported | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 12 |


| Alcohol Test Given | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| None | 10 |
| Blood | 0 |
| Urine | 1 |
| Breath | 0 |
| Vitreous | 0 |
| Refused | 0 |
| Not reported | 1 |
| Drug Test Given | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| None | 10 |
| Blood | 0 |
| Urine | 1 |
| Breath | 0 |
| Vitreous | 0 |
| Refused | 0 |
| Not reported | 1 |
| Drug Test Result | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Negative | 0 |
| Cannabis | 0 |
| Central Nervous System depressants | 0 |
| Central Nervous System stimulants | 0 |
| Hallucinogens | 0 |
| lnhalants | 0 |
| Narcotic Analgesics | 0 |
| Dissociative Anesthetic (PCP) | 0 |
| Prescription Drug | 0 |
| Not reported | 0 |
| Other | 0 |
|  | 0 |


| Drug/Alcohol Related | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drug | 0 |
| Alcohol (< Statutory) | 0 |
| Alcohol (Statutory) | 0 |
| Drug and Alcohol (< Statutory) | 0 |
| Drug and Alcohol (Statutory) | 0 |
| Refused | 0 |
| Under Influence of Alcohol/Drugs/Medications | 0 |
| None Indicated | 6 |

Iowa Crash Analysis Tool
Quick Report
2012-2021

| Crash Severity - Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Year | Fatal Crash | Suspected Serious Injury Crash | Suspected Minor Injury Crash | Possible/Unknown Injury Crash | Property Damage Only | Total |
| 2012 | 0 | 1 | -0 | - 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 |



Iowa Crash Analysis Tool
Quick Report
2012-2021

| Injury Status - Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Year | Fatalities | Suspected serious/incapac itating | Suspected minor/nonincapacitating | Possible (complaint of pain/injury) | Unknown | Total |
| 2012 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 |

Injury Status/Year


## Meeting the following criteria

Jurisdiction: Statewide
Year: 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021
Map Selection: Yes
Filter: None

Analyst Information

| HCS7 TWO-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Tim Crouch |  |  |
| Analyst | Snyder \& Associates | Information |  |
| Agency/Co. | $8 / 11 / 2022$ | Jurisdiction | Oak Ave \& 310th St |
| Date Performed | 2022 | East/West Street | Hancock County |
| Analysis Year | PM Peak Hour | North/South Street | Oak Ave |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | Oak Ave \& 310th St | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.13 |  |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |  | 7.13 | 6.53 | 6.23 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.23 |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |  | 3.53 | 4.03 | 3.33 |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



HCS7 All-Way Stop Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | Tim Crouch |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | Snyder \& Associates |
| Date Performed | $8 / 31 / 2022$ |
| Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak Hour |
| Project Description | Oak Ave \& 310th St |

Site Information

| Intersection | Oak Ave \& 310th St |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Hancock County |
| East/West Street | 310th St |
| North/South Street | Oak Ave |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |

Lanes


Vehicle Volume and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume | 2 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 29 | 32 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L1 | L2 | L3 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 26 |  |  | 28 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 72 |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |

## Departure Headway and Service Time



Capacity, Delay and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 26 |  | 28 |  | 14 |  | 72 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity | 884 |  | 870 |  | 898 |  | 946 |  |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.2 |  | 7.3 |  | 7.1 |  | 7.1 |  |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  | 7.2 |  | 7.3 |  | 7.1 |  | 7.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh \| LOS | 7.2 |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |

# Low-Cost Safety Improvements for Rural Intersections 

## Introduction

The slowing, stopping, crossing, and turning of traffic at intersections represents potential vehicle conflicts, which may result in crashes at local and rural intersections. More than 80 percent of rural intersection fatalities occur at unsignalized intersections. ${ }^{1,2}$ Furthermore, rural unsignalized intersections often have high-speed approaches, which contributes to the increased severity of any crashes that do occur.

The most severe crash type at unsignalized intersections is a right-angle crash, which typically occurs when two vehicles approaching at a perpendicular angle collide due to one vehicle failing to stop or yield the right-of-way. Out of every 100 reported angle crashes at unsignalized intersections, it is estimated that between 1 to 3 fatalities and 5 to 15 serious injuries result. Therefore, it is important that local and rural road owners understand and know how to identify both the safety concern and the types of countermeasures that address unsignalized intersection crashes.

## Rural Intersection Characteristics and Identifying Opportunities

Intersections along rural roadways and intersections owned by local road agencies often have the following characteristics:

- Low traffic volumes on minor or all approaches;
- Unsignalized and mainly stop-controlled;
- Lack of turn lanes and lighting; and
- Skewed angle or limited sight distance.

Local and rural road owners need roadway information and crash data to help identify intersections with the potential for safety improvement. This information can come from sources such as project plans, aerial photos, and State or local crash databases populated by crash reports completed by law enforcement. If crash databases are not accessible, local and rural road agencies can often use the crash reports themselves, including the crash narrative descriptions, to identify certain risk factors and attributes, such as:

- Crash locations or approaches;
- Crash dates and times;
- Crash types and severity;
- Driver and vehicle characteristics;
- Environmental conditions; and
- Sequence of events and contributing circumstances.


## Countermeasure Options

Rural intersection safety can be improved by implementing low-cost improvements that address sight distance, intersection recognition, visibility and conspicuity of traffic control devices, and roadway geometry issues. For example, adding or enhancing signs, pavement markings, delineators, channelizing islands, and flashing beacons at intersections can reduce crash risk. Sightlines should be evaluated with respect to vegetation and roadside features in order to establish adequate stopping and intersection sight distances. Intersections that are skewed (e.g., not perpendicular) may be modified to intersect at a more desirable angle (closer to 90 degrees) or controlled with regulatory signs or signals.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) encourages agencies to consider the following treatments, either on a systemic basis or at spot locations, although the systemic approach may have a greater cumulative impact on reducing fatal and serious injury crashes. The table below shows treatments for stop-controlled intersections, the associated crash modification factor (CMF), ${ }^{3}$ suggested crash thresholds in which the treatments should be applied, typical cost of implementation, and additional considerations. For example, the figure on the following page shows a basic set of sign and marking improvements that has the potential to reduce crashes by 30 percent.

Low-Cost Safety Treatments for Stop-Controlled Intersections

| Countermeasure | Safety Issue <br> Addressed | Crash Modification Factor | Typical <br> Minimum Rural Crash Threshold (All Severities) | Additional Implementation Factors | Typical Implementation Cost Range per Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic set of sign and marking improvements (as shown in Figure 3) | Recognition of stop-controlled intersection during day or night conditions | 0.70 | 4-5 crashes in 5 years | None | \$5,000 to \$8,000 |
| Either: a) flashing solar powered LED beacons on advance intersection warning signs and STOP signs, or b) flashing overhead intersection beacons | Recognition of stop-controlled intersection during day or night conditions | 0.90 ( 0.87 for right angle crashes) | $8-10$ crashes in 5 years | None | \$5,000 to \$15,000 |
| Dynamic warning sign which advises through traffic that a stopped vehicle is at the intersection and may enter the intersection. Dynamic warning sign activated by vehicle presence or excessive approach speed. | Limited sight distance as a result of geometry and/or vehicle speed | Unknown | 10-20 crashes in 5 years | 5 angle crashes in 5 years and inadequate sight distance from the stop approach | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 25,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Transverse rumble strips across the stop approach lanes in rural areas where noise is not a concern and running STOP signs is a problem ("Stop Ahead" pavement marking legend if noise is a concern) | Recognition of stop-controlled intersection | 0.72 <br> (transverse rumble strips) <br> 0.85 ("Stop Ahead" pavement markings) | 3 running STOP sign crashes in 5 years | Inadequate stopping sight distance on the stop approach | \$3,000 to \$10,000 |
| Dynamic warning sign on the stop approach to advise high-speed approach traffic that a "stop" condition is ahead | Limited sight distance as a result of geometry or vehicle speed | Unknown | 5 running STOP sign crashes in 5 years | Inadequate stopping sight distance on the stop approach | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 25,000 \end{aligned}$ |


| Countermeasure | Safety Issue <br> Addressed | Crash <br> Modification Factor | Typical Minimum Rural Crash Threshold (All Severities) | Additional Implementation Factors | Typical <br> Implementation Cost Range per Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extension of the through edge line using short skip pattern to assist drivers to stop at the optimum point | Recognition of stop location | Unknown | 5 crashes in 5 years | Wide throat and observed vehicles stopping too far back from the intersection | Less than \$1,000 |
| Retroreflective strips on sign posts may increase attention to the sign, particularly at night | Recognition of stop-controlled intersection, especially at night | Unknown | 5 crashes in 5 years | Sign visibility or conspicuity significantly degraded, particularly at night | Less than \$1,000 |

Source: Federal Highway Administration, Intersection Safety Implementation Plan Process, November 2009.
Available at: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/resources/intersaf_ipp0709/fhwasa10010.pdf


Examples of Basic Low-Cost Countermeasures for Stop-Controlled Intersections Double Up Oversize Warning Signs, Double Stop Signs, Traffic Island on Stop Approach (if feasible),

Street Name Signs, Stop Bars, and Double Warning Arrow at the Stem of T-Intersections

## Resources

The following resources provide more details related to intersection safety:


Federal Highway Administration, Intersection Safety webpage: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/ intersection/

Federal Highway Administration, Rural Intersection Resources webpage: http://safety.fhwa.dot. gov/intersection/rural/

In addition, the following publications can be consulted:


Federal Highway Administration, Signalized Intersections: Informational Guide, FHWA-SA-13-027 (Washington, DC: July 2013).
Available at: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/signalized/13027/fhwasa13027.pdf

Federal Highway Administration, Intersection Safety: A Manual for Local Rural Road Owners, FHWA-SA-11-08 (Washington DC: January 2011).
Available at: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/local_rural/training/fhwasa1108/index.cfm


Transportation Research Board, NCHRP Report 500: Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan, Volume 12: A Guide for Reducing Collisions at Signalized Intersections, National Cooperative Highway Research Program (TRB: Washington, DC, 2005). Available at: http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_500v12.pdf


Transportation Research Board, NCHRP Report 500: Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan, Volume 05: A Guide for Addressing Unsignalized Intersection Collisions, National Cooperative Highway Research Program (TRB: Washington, DC, 2003). Available at: http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_500v5.pdf

[^4]
# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

| GENERAL INFORMATION | DATE: July 21, 2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Location / Title of Project | Humboldt County / Temporary Traffic Signals |
| Applicant Humboldt County |  |
| Contact Person Ben Loots | Loots Title County Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | 2221 220 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ street |
|  | Humboldt IA 50548 |
| Phone 515-332-2366 | E-Mail bloots@humboldtcounty.iowa.gov |
| (Area Code) |  |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 55,400
\$ 55,400
Safety Funds Requested
$\$ \quad 55,400$

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain $\qquad$区No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Humboldt County Engineer's Office

Signed:


Attest:


## Resolution 2023-21 Transportation Safety Improvement Program Grant Application

WHEREAS the Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program operates under the rules of Iowa Administrative Code 761-Ch. 164; and

WHEREAS said program allows for the distribution of traffic safety funds to cities, counties, and the Iowa DOT for roadway safety improvements, research, studies, or public information initiatives; and

WHEREAS the Humboldt County Engineer has determined that materials funded by this grant would improve the roadway safety in Humboldt County.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF HUMBOLDT COUNTY, IOWA that this County does hereby support the attached application for Traffic Safety Improvement Program Funding.

Roll Call Vote:




ATTEST:


Trish Erickson, Humboldt County Auditor
B. Narrative

Humboldt County Secondary Road Department is applying for Transportation Safety Improvement (TSIP) funds for one set of portable temporary traffic signals. The primary purpose of the temporary traffic signals would be to replace flagging operations using personnel in an effort to provide safer work zones for county maintenance crews and to move traffic through the work zone more safely and efficiently.

Humboldt County Secondary Roads is responsible for the engineering, construction, and maintenance of the county's Secondary Road System. This system includes 721 miles of rural roads, of which 205 miles are hard surfaced. Located on these roads are 73 bridges over 20 feet in length, and hundreds of smaller drainage structures.

Portable traffic signals would primarily be used on two-lane paved roads during construction \& maintenance projects that would require one lane to be closed, per standard road plan TC-215. Typical projects would include PCC and HMA patching, culvert and drainage repairs, and bridge inspection. Safety benefits of using portable traffic signals include:

- Minimize of eliminate the risk to flaggers from distracted drivers
- Increasing the number of workers available to complete the task, thus reducing closure time
- Ability to leave traffic control in place overnight, or conduct nighttime operations
- Signals convey a visible and clear message to motorists

Humboldt County is requesting TSIP funding for the cost of one set of portable traffic signals with wireless traffic control solar power and vehicle detection for options. These signals will increase the safety of our work zones, for both the traveling public, and our Secondary Roads workers.
c.

COST
OMJCSig̈ñäl
PO Box 1594
Waterloo, 1 A 50704
403 Chestout St.
Waterloo, IA 50703
800.776 .5999

Fax: 319.236 .1554
Email: sales@omjcsignal.com omjcsignal.com

## Quotation

SHIP TO:
HumboldtCountyIA

Quote Number
Quote Number
Quote Date
July 18, 2023
Page:
1

ATTN: Ben Loots HumboldtCountyIA

PH: 15153322366
FAX:

D. Time Schedule

- TSIP Application Due
- TSIP Award Notification
- TSIP Funding Available
- Purchase of Signals
- Implementation of Signals

August 15, 2023
January, 2024
July 1, 2024
July, 2024
August, 2024
E. Map


## F. Pictures

OMjC

## Pop-Up LD

## QPNW-234-2070

## 1 PERSON, 1 MINUTE

The Pop-Up LD is designed to control a single lane closure, but it is capable of for more. The 9 'arm meets MUTCD requirements. Two, 3 section signal heads with 12 " RYG that comply with ITE standards, can quickly be in positions mandated by the MUTCD at the mere push of a button. Because the footprint is only $6^{\prime \prime}$ wide (the narrowest in the industry), it can fit almost anywhere. The LD features the Intelight 2070 ATC Controller running MAXTIME software. The custom radio system allows wireless communication between OMJC Pop-Up units along with complex phasing ability. The LD comes standard with a 385 watt solar panel (adjustable on 2 axes) and $440-660 \mathrm{Ah}$ of $A G M$ batteries.


CAN FIT ALMOST ANVWHERE
HVDRAULIC LIFT MECHANI\&M $\longrightarrow=$ DEPLOY IN 1 MINUTE, UTILIZING ONLY 1 PERSON

## A TRUSTED ALTERNATIVE TO THE "FLAGGER"



## Pop-Up LD

## Pop-Up LD. STANDARD FEATURES

| Vertical \| Hydraulic with remote pendant |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Horizontal \|Manual slide out |  |
| ARM EXTENSION |  |
|  | $9 '$ |
| SIGNAL HEADS |  |
| 3 section overhead |  |
| 3 section side of mast |  |
| 12" RYG LED's, ITE compliant |  |
| $180^{\circ}$ rotation |  |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT |  |
| Intelight 2070 ATC with MAXTIME software |  |
| Actuated 8 phase, dual ring, with pedestrian movements |  |
| Encrypted wireless connection between master and secondaries |  |
| EDI real time conflict monitor |  |
| CHARGING SOURCE |  |
| DC\|MPPT solar charge controller |  |
| $\mathrm{AC} \mid 120 \mathrm{~V}$ plug-in charger |  |

$9^{\prime}$
SIGNAL HEADS

Intelight 2070 ATC with MAXTIME software

## SPECIFICATIONS

| CHASSIS LENGTH | $112.0^{\prime \prime}$ (removable hitch adds $56^{\prime \prime}$ for $168^{\prime \prime}$ total) |
| :--- | ---: |
| CHASSIS WIDTH | $72.0^{\prime \prime}$ (narrowest in the industry) |
| TRAVEL HEIGHT | $114.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{w} /$ solar |
| STANDARD WEIGHT | $2,7001 \mathrm{bs}$. |
| CLEARANCE (UNDER ARM) | $17^{\prime}$ (meets MUTCD requirements) |
| BATTERIES | 440-660 Ah of AGM batteries, no-spill, no-maintenance |
| SOLAR | (1) -385 watt solar panel, adjustable on 2 axes |

## Pop-Up LD.ADDITIONAL OPTIONS

DETECTION

| ם Microwave |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Video |
| $\square$ Loop |
| KNOCKDOWN AVAILABILITY (EMERGENCY POLE REPLACEMENT) |
| $\square$ Wireless Knockdown Kit (AC to DC from existing infrastructure) |
| $\square$ Wired Knockdown Kit (AC to DC from existing infrastructure) |
| PREEMPTION |
| $\square$ Audible |
| $\square$ Strobe |
| $\square$ GPS |
| COORDINATION |
| $\square$ GPS time based |
| REMOTE MANAGEMENT \& ALERTING |
| $\square$ Cellular wireless router (Verizon, AT\&T, or Sprint Certified Device) |
| WIRELESS MANUAL CONTROL |
| $\square$ Push button control with long range antenna (pilot car remote) |
| ADDITIONALADD-ONS |
| $\square$ Pedestrian signalization |
| $\square$ Auto-start generator for on-board ancillary power |
| $\square$ Work zone lighting |
| $\square$ Countdown timer |



## G. Plan View


G. Plan View (See TC-215)
H. Aerial Photograph - N/A
I. ICAT Crash Summary - N/A
J. Traffic Volumes - See 2019 IDOT Traffic Volumes for Humboldt County (next page)
K. Traffic Sign Layout - Per TC-215 (see Section G)
L. Benefit/Cost Ratio - Not required as per instructions


## Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Location / Title of Project
Applicant
Humboldt County
Contact Person Ben Loots Title County Engineer
Complete Mailing Address 2221 220 th street

Phone 515-332-2366
(Area Code)

Humboldt IA 50548
DATE: July 21, 2023

Humboldt County / Solar flashing beacons

E-Mail bloots@humboldtcounty.iowa.gov

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
\$ 19322.50
Total Project Cost
\$ 19322.50
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 19322.50

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain $\qquad$
இNo

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Humboldt County Engineer's Office

Signed:


Ben Loots
Printed Name

Attest:


Printed Name

## HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Resolution \#2023-23

## A RESOLUTION ENDORSING A GRANT APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDING (TSIP).

WHEREAS, the Iowa Department of Transportation through the Traffic Safety Improvement Program provides funding for various TSIP eligible projects; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Humboldt County hereby endorses the proposed project Flashing Stop sign beacons at multiple locations in Humboldt County, Iowa and;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THAT:

1. The County hereby commits initial funds as required by the Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program.
2. The County hereby commits to adequately maintain the completed project for its intended public use for a minimum of 10 years following project completion.
3. The County Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes Ben Loots, Humboldt County Engineer to sign the project agreement on behalf of Humboldt County and carry the project to completion.
PASSED AND APPROVED THIS $14^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF August, 2023
AYES:


NAYS: $\qquad$
OTHER:



Humboldt County Board of Supervisors


Trish Erickson, Humboldt County Auditor

## B. Narrative

Humboldt County Secondary Road Department is applying for Transportation Safety Improvement (TSIP) funds for fifteen solar powered flashing beacons. The proposed installation locations are stop sign locations with multiple accidents, fatalities, as well as major and minor injuries. The primary purpose of the beacons is to enhance visibility and draw more attention to the driver.

Humboldt County Secondary Roads is responsible for the engineering, construction, and maintenance of the county's Secondary Road System. This system includes 721 miles of rural roads, of which 205 miles are hard surfaced. Located on these roads are 73 bridges over 20 feet in length, and hundreds of smaller drainage structures.

Humboldt County is requesting TSIP funding for the cost of fifteen solar powered flashing beacons. Humboldt County staff will install the signs at their own expense. The flashing red lights would help facilitate safe and efficient stopping conditions. Most importantly would improve visibility and draw more attention to the driver.
C. Cost breakdown (see attachment)
D. Time Schedule

- TSIP Application Due
- TSIP Award Notification
- TSIP Funding Available
- Purchase of Beacons
- Implementation of Beacons

August 15, 2023
January, 2024
July 1, 2024
July, 2024
August, 2024
E. Map


## G. Plan View (See TC-215)

## H. Aerial Photograph - N/A

I. ICAT Crash Summary - (See Attachment)
J. Traffic Volumes - See 2019 IDOT Traffic Volumes for Humboldt County (next page)
K. Traffic Sign Layout - N/A

## L. Benefit/Cost Ratio - Not required as per instructions



Freight estimates are only valid for 14 days.
A quote not accepted within thirty (30) days is subject to review. Custom products are NOT returnable, refundable or cancelable.

I. ICAT

| Intersection |  | Owner | accidents | Fatality | Major Injury | Minor Injury | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { property } \\ \text { Only } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ran Stop } \\ & \text { Sign } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Failure to <br> Yield | B/C Ratio | \# signs needed | Installed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P33 | C26 | County | 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | - |
| P56 | Lone Tree Rd | County | 4 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | installed |
| P29 | C49 | County | 3 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 | $\theta$ |
| P33 | C20 in Bode | County | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | - |
| C48 | P59 | County | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | installed |
| P66 | C54 | County | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | installed |
| P29 | C44 | County | 2 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | $\bigcirc$ |
| P33 | C44 | County | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $\bigcirc$ |
| C48 | Lone Tree Rd | County | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | $\infty$ |
| P56 | C26 | County | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | $\square$ |
| P19 | C20/ HWY15 | County | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | $\bigcirc$ |
| P56 | C12 | County | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | recent fatality |
| P20 | C20 | County | 1 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 5 |
| P19 | C26 | County | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P19 | C49 | County | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P23 | C49 | County | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| P33 | C29 | County | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\theta$ |
| P56 | C20 | County | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P56 | C29 | County | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P60 | C20 | County | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P66 | C12 | County | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P66 | C20 E | County | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P66 | C48 | County | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| P20 | C26 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P29 | 280th st | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P29 | C46 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P30 | C20 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P33 | C18 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P33 | C29 in rutland | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Gotch Park Rd | C49 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P56 | C20 Livermore | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| p56 | 210th st | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P60 | C12 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P63 | C26 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P66 | C20 W | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P66 | C26E | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P66 | C26 W | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P66 | C30 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Colorado | C49 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| P19 | C26 Bradgate | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P23 | 274th st | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P20 | C18 | County | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | Hwy 3 | State | 29 |  |  | 1 | 27 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | C44 | State | 21 |  |  | 12 | 15 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| P56 N | Hwy 3 | State | 13 |  |  | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | C29 | State | 8 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | C46 | State | 5 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| P19 | Hwy 3 | State | 4 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| P33 | Hwy 3 | State | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P66 | Hwy 3 | State | 4 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P56 S | Hwy 3 | State | 3 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | C20 | State | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | C49 | State | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | C26 | State | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| P29 | Hwy 3 | State | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hwy 169 | C12 | State | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P63 | Hwy 3 | State | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | * |  |  |  |

## JEFFERSON COUNTY SECONDARY ROADS 901 North $8^{\text {th }}$ Street <br> P.O.Box 817

Fairfield, Iowa 52556

## JEFFERSON COUNTY

# TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION 

## TEMPORARY TRAFFIC SIGNALS

FY 2025

# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: August 15, 2023
Location / Title of Project
Jefferson County - Temporary Traffic Control Devices


Complete Mailing Address
$901 \mathrm{~N}^{\text {th }}$ Street
Fairfield, IA 52556
Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail engineer@jeffersoncountyia.com (Area Code)
If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)
PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested $\qquad$

## Additional Project Safety Documentation (when available):

Project information sheet(s) or "Risk Score">50\% from County/City's Local Road Safety PlanFHWA SS4A Safety Action Plan or similar comprehensive transportation safety planIowa DOT TEAP Study or similar analysis and concept
$\square$ Project intersection or segment with High or Medium PCR Level (PCR-All or PCR-Severe) from the lowa DOT Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) web-based map tool https://pcr.iowadot.gov/

> Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) Information

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency. I understand the attached resolution(s), where applicable, binds the participating public agency to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Signed:


## SUSIE DRISH



Printed Name
. $\cdot$.

## RESOLUTION NO.

## APPROVAL OF TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY TRAFFIC SIGNALS

WHEREAS the Iowa Department ol'Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761-Chapter 164, which create the Traffic Safely Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow funding to be provided to local jurisdictions for cligible trallic safety improvement projects: and

WHEREAS, Jeflerson County has determined that providing temporary traflic signals will aid in improving the safety of flaggers, road crews and the travelling public during road maintenance activitics; and

WHEREAS, portable temporary trallic signals are recognized as temporary traffic control devices in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Deviccs (MUTCD), 2009 edition; and

WHEREAS, the Jeflerson County Engincer recommends a TSIP application be submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation for possible salely funding of the above-mentioned tralfic control devices.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Jelferson County Board of Supervisors,

1. Supports the application for Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program Funding.
2. Certilies that Jellerson County will provide continuous maintenance to these temporary traflic control signals.
3. Authorize the Board of Supervisors Chair to Sign application and supporting document in relation to the TSIP funding.

Dated the $15^{\text {b }}$ Day of August 2023
ROLL CALL VOTE


AYE NAY ABSTAIN ABSENT

## B. NARRATIVE

The Jefferson County Secondary Road Department is applying for the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds to be used for the purpose of purchasing a Portable Traflic Signal System. This system will be used to control traflic through pavement maintenance work zoncs. The use of automated signals will free up crew nembers for other activities.

Jefferson County has approximately 140 miles of paved roads to maintain with 23 road employees. $95 \%$ of the paved roads in the county are on the FM system. The traffic counts on the paved roads varies between a low of 230 to above 2,000 vpd. The topography in Jelferson County is hilly and many of the paved roads are winding routes laid out by plow and oxen. The hills and curves make a "low volume" alternating traffic set-up unsafe due to limited sitc distance. The Department has 23 employees to perform maintenance, so everybody is cross-trained for multiple roles. Utilizing highly trained employees as flaggers is not an optimal use of resources.

Jefferson County requested budget quotes for a mobile signal system from different vendors. Two of the quotes were in the $\$ 59,000.00$ range. Should the county be successful in attaining TSIP funding a formal request for proposal will be sent out. The two quotes are detailed below.

In summary, Jefferson County is requesting TSIP funding for the amount of $\$ 59,000.00$ to put towards the purchase of a temporary signal system. The county will cover any costs above this amount.

## C. ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF COST

One set of trailer mounted lights with wircless traffic control and solar power. Vehicle Detector Freight.

## D. TIME SCHEDULE

August 15, 2023
January, 2024
January, 2024.
February, 2024
July, 2024

TSIP application due.
TSIIP Notice of Award
Request formal Quotes
Award contract for system
Projected delivery of system

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:

Blake Balzart [bbalzart@jititraffic.com](mailto:bbalzart@jititraffic.com)
Friday, August 4, 2023 9:12 AM
Engineer
*EXTERNAL*RE: JTI Portable Traffic Signal--Jefferson County, IA
JTI-358 PTS-2000 Product Sheet.pdf; JTI-382 PTS-2000 Spec Sheet.pdf

Hello DeWayne,
Current list pricing for PTS $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ Portable Traffic Signal equipped with Doppler Radar is about \$58,400 plus freight. Freight approximately $\$ 600$. Please contact me with any questions.

Thank you,

## BLAKE BALZART

Central Regional Manager

P: 888-447-7263 Ext 400 C: 651-788-0587
bbalzart@jititraffic.com
www.JTISignals.com
www.REACT350.com
A proud registered supporter of

## 园 Toward Zero Deaths

From: Engineer [engineer@jeffersoncountyia.com](mailto:engineer@jeffersoncountyia.com)
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 8:28 AM
To: Blake Balzart [bbalzart@jtitraffic.com](mailto:bbalzart@jtitraffic.com)
Subject: RE: JTI Portable Traffic Signal
Good morning Blake,

I am putting together my TSIP application.

Please update your pricing for Summer of 2024 purchase if possible.

Thank you.
DeWayne A. Heintz, P.E.
County Engineer
Jefferson County, IA
(641)472-6528
engineer@jeffersoncountyia.com

From: Blake Balzart [bbalzart@ititraffic.com](mailto:bbalzart@ititraffic.com)
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2023 9:23 AM
To: Engineer [engineer@jeffersoncountyia.com](mailto:engineer@jeffersoncountyia.com)
Subject: JTI Portable Traffic Signal
February 3, 2023
DeWayne Heintz
Jefferson County
901 N. 8th Street
Fairfield, IA 52556
DeWayne,
As a follow up to our conversation, I included a procurement specification, Clinton County Iowa 2019 Specification and links describing the features and benefits and advantages of the PTS 2000 Portable Traffic Signal. This link really shows the ease of PTS operation and deployment. The PTS-2000, operated by the Galaxy Controller, performs basic to complex functions including support of up to 16-phase intersection control (up to 30 trailers), storage of twenty preset traffic programs, wireless set-up and programming, Dynamic Clearing Time Calculator and "Watch Dog" monitoring feature.

## Price of the new PTS 2000 set with Doppler Vehicle Sensors is $\mathbf{\$ 5 7 , 0 0 0}$ FOB Dixon, IL (see options sheet).

JTI recently added optional Galaxy Direct a unique and comprehensive Real-Time Remote Monitoring System that lets you proactively monitor and manage your portable traffic signals 24/7 from your office (see attached). These features and others are why the PTS 2000 is recognized by traffic safety professionals as the premier solar powered traffic signal system in roadway safety, performance and value. Please contact me with any questions or comments.

PTS 2000
https://vimeo.com/313244991
ADOT--Post Office Canyon Video Link---
https://vimeo.com/626661663
Thank you,

## BLAKE BALZART

Central Regional Manager

P: 888-447-7263 Ext 400 C: 651-788-0587
bbalzart@jtitraffic.com
www.JTISignals.com
www.REACT350.com

## PTS-2000

## NEMA TS5-TR1 Portable Traffic Signal

The PTS-2000 combines advanced Galaxy ${ }^{\mathbb{®}}$ signal controller technology with quality USA-MADE construction.



## STANDARD FEATURES


" Heavy-duty, ASTM A500B, structural steel tubing
» Fenders bolt-on heavy-duty 12-gauge steel
" 2 5/16" ball hitch
» Drop axle and electric brakes
»Heavy-duty drop leg jacks
»Hydraulic actuators for mast/arm
" 9' overhead signal arm
" Retractable and lockable tongue
» Lifting eye for trailer placement
" Designed to tow in tandem or individually
» Non-slip treads
»Completely self-contained power supply
POWER
" Eight (8) 6-volt batteries standard (one battery box)
" Approximately 900 Amp-hours capacity at 12 V
" Two (2) 160W solar panels standard (320W total)
» External battery voltage meter
" Onboard auxiliary 110/120V AC charger
» Weatherproof and lockable battery enclosure
" Two signal heads per trailer (one high and one low)
" 3-section polycarbonate signal heads
" Fixed arm support
" $12^{\prime \prime}$ diameter, standard ITE approved LEDs
»Signal heads rotate $180^{\circ}$ in $10^{\circ}$ increments

" Includes the Galaxy ${ }^{\text {r }}$ Controller
" Wireless programming and operation
»Run up to 16 traffic phases
» Radio-interconnect up to 30 signal trailers
»Embedded conflict monitor
"Store up to 10 pre-set signal programs
" Weatherproof and lockable metal control cabinet

## AVAILA日LE DPTIONS

Custom colorsGalvanized finishHitch style and size (2" Ball Hitch or Pintle Hitch)- 15' extended overhead signal armUpper auxiliary swivel mount (for extension arm)Toolbox (with single battery box configuration only)External security lightAdditional battery box with eight (8) 6 v batteriesAGM batteriesAdditional solar configurations from 320W to 640 WBack platesCountdown timerSignal head visor/color/material
Signal head configuration to fit your specification:
$\square$ Third signal head with static arm mount
- 3-Section Head
$\square$ 5-Section Doghouse Head
- 5-Section Signal HeadGalaxy G16 Advanced ControllerGalaxy Intersection ControllerGalaxy Flagger Remote
$\square$ Galaxy Station Controller

WE'VE GOT YOUR BACK

## PTS-2000

NEMA TS5-TR1 Portable Traffic Signal

For more detailed specifications contact JTI.

## SPEEIFIAATIDNS



WEIGHT
STANDARD
$3,020 \mathrm{lbs}$

```
OMJCSig̈ñäl
Waterloo, IA 50704
403 Chestnut St.
Waterloo, IA 50703
800.776 .5999
Fax: 319.236 .1554
Email: sales@omjcsignal.com
omjcsignal.com
```


## Quoted to:

ATTN: DEWAYne heintz
JEFFERSONCOUNTYIA

## Quotation

## Quote Number

8666
Quote Date
February 2, 2023

Page:
SHIP TO:
JEFFERSONCOUNTYIA

PH: 6414726528
FAX:


## оміс

## Pop-Up LD

## QPNW-234-2070

## 1 PERSON, 1 MINUTE

The Pop-Up LD lis designed to control a single lane closure, but it is capable of far more. The 9 arm meets MUTCD requirements: Two, 3 section signal heads with 12" RYG that comply with ITE standards, can quickly be in positions mandated by the MUTCD at the mere push of a button. Because the footprint is only 6 ' wide (the narrowest in the industry), it can fit almost anywhere. The LD features the Intelight 2070 ATC Controller running MAXTIME software. The custom radio system allows wireless communication between OMJC Pop-Up units along with complex phasing ability. The LD comes standard with a 385 watt solar panel (adjustable on 2 axes) and 440-660Ah of AGM batteries.

MAXIMUM VITIBILTTY $\longrightarrow$
$180^{\circ}$ SIGNAL ROTATION STANDARD


HYDRAULIC LIFT MECHANTSM $\longrightarrow$ DEPLOY IN 1 MINUTE, UTILIZING ONLY 1 PERSON

## A TRUSTED ALTERNATIVE TO THE "FLAGGER"

## Pop-Up LD

## Pop-Up LD • STANDARD FEATURES

DEPLOYMENT

|  | Vertical \| Hydraulic with remote pendant |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Horizontal \| Manual slide out |
| ARM EXTENSION |  |
|  | $9 '$ |
| SIGNAL HEADS |  |
|  | 3 section overhead |
|  | 3 section side of mast |
|  | 12" RYG LED's, ITE compliant |
|  | $180^{\circ}$ rotation |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL EQUIPMENT |  |
|  | Intelight 2070 ATC with MAXTIME software |
|  | Actuated 8 phase, dual ring, with pedestrian movements |
|  | Encrypted wireless connection between master and secondaries |
|  | ED\| real time conflict monitor |
| CHARGING SOURCE |  |
|  | DC \| MPPT solar charge controller |
|  | $\mathrm{AC} \mid 120 \mathrm{~V}$ plug-in charger |

## SPECIFICATIONS

| CHASSIS LENGTH | $112.0^{\prime \prime}$ (removable hitch adds $56^{\prime \prime}$ for $168^{\prime \prime}$ total) |
| :--- | ---: |
| CHASSIS WIDTH | $72.0^{\prime \prime}$ (narrowest in the industry) |
| TRAVEL HEIGHT | $114.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{w} /$ solar |
| STANDARD WEIGHT | $2,700 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| CLEARANCE (UNDER ARM) | 17 (meets MUTCD requirements) |
| BATTERIES | 440-660 Ah of AGM batteries, no-spill, no-maintenance |
| SOLAR | (1) -385 watt solar panel, adjustable on 2 axes |

## Pop-Up LD.ADDITIONAL OPTIONS

| DETECTION |
| :--- |
| Microwave |
| Video |
| $\square$ Loop |
| KNOCKDOWN AVAILABILITY (EMERGENCY POLE REPLACEMENT) |
| $\square$ Wireless Knockdown Kit (AC to DC from existing infrastructure) |
| Wired Knockdown Kit (AC to DC from existing infrastructure) |
| $\square$ Audible |
| $\square$ Strobe |
| $\square$ GPS |
| COORDINATION |
| $\square$ GPS time based |
| REMOTE MANAGEMENT \& ALERTING |
| $\square$ Cellular wireless router (Verizon, AT\&T, or Sprint Certified Device) |
| WIRELESS MANUAL CONTROL |
| $\square$ Push button control with long range antenna (pilot car remote) |
| ADDITIONAL ADD-ONS |
| $\square$ Pedestrian signalizakion |
| Wuto-start generator for on-board aneillary power |
| Countdown tigher |

DETECTION


# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: 06/09/2023
Location / Title of Project
Sign Replacement Program for Cities/Counties
Applicant lowa Department of Transportation
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title
Reports Specialist
Complete Mailing Address 800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010
Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail marybeth.sprouse@iowadot.us

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Phone
E-Mail
$\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 200,000
\$ 200,000
\$ 200,000

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain

## A. APPLICATION CERTIFICATION OR RESOLUTION <br> Not applicable

B. NARRATIVE

Continued funding of the Department's Sign Replacement Program for Cities and Counties (SRPFCC) is being sought. This program is operated by the Local Systems Bureau and provides funding for the replacement of damaged, worn out, obsolete, or substandard signs and signposts by cities and counties in lowa. Under the current program, replacement sign eligibility is limited to regulatory, warning, and school area signs. These signs are critical to providing a safe environment for both motorists and pedestrians.

Each city/county is allowed to submit one application per year for the replacement of signs eligible within the program guidelines. The applications are limited to a maximum of $\$ 10,000$ per county and $\$ 5,000$ per city. The popularity of this program is demonstrated by the consistent and continual receipt of funding applications each year from a number of county and city jurisdictions. In 2020, 15 counties and 10 cities received funding from this program, and over the past 4 years this program has averaged over $\$ 160,000$ in applications. Additional counties and cities have applied for funding if it becomes available. Continued funding is needed in order to meet the expected demand for the program. To date, the over $\$ 730,000$ this program has provided to cities and counties has been a tremendous aid in improving the safety of the transportation system.

## C. ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF COST

Approval of this application will provide funding that will allow the program to continue into the next fiscal year.

## D. TIME SCHEDULE

Approval of this application will provide funding that will allow the program to continue into the next fiscal year.
E. MAP

This program will be applicable to all counties and cities in lowa.

## F. COLOR PICTURES


G. PLAN VIEW

This program will be applicable to all counties and cities in lowa.
H. TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND/OR TURNING MOVEMENT

This program will apply to signs on routes with a wide variety of traffic volumes and movement patterns.
I. SIGNALS

Not applicable

## J. B/C WORKSHEET

Not applicable

# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIIP FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORIMATION
DATE: 8/01/23

Location / Title of Project
Mills County Temporary Traffic Signals
Applicant
Mills County Secondary Roads
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title County Engineer
Complete Mailing Address 305 Railroad Ave
Glenwood, lowa, 51534
Phone $\frac{712-527-4873}{\text { (Area Code) }} \quad$ E-Mail_jferro@millscountyiowa.gov

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone
E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMIATION:

## Funding Amount

Total Safety Cost
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 62,200.00
\$ 62,200.00
\$ 62,200.00

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?

## $\square$ Yes - Explain <br> 区No

$\qquad$

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the County of Mills

Signed:

$8 / 112023$
Date Signed

Attest:


Mills County Board of Supervisors
Richard Crouch • Carol Vinton - Lonnie Mayberry

## RESOLUTION 23-37

## RE: Authorize the submittal of a Traffic Safety Improvement Program Application

WHEREAS, the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) allows for the award of traffic safety funds to cities, counties, and the IDOT for roadway safety improvements, research studies, and traffic control devices; and

WHEREAS, Mills County has determined that providing temporary traffic control signals at work zone sites will improve the safety of employees of Mills County and to the traveling public; now

Therefore BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Mills County, lowa, that the County Engineer, Jacob Ferro, be and is herby designated, authorized, and empowered on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of Mills County to submit a Traffic Safety Improvement Program application to the lowa Department of Transportation for portable and temporary traffic signal trailers; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Mills County, lowa, that the chairperson be authorized to sign the grant application and should funding be awarded, that Mills County will assume responsibility and ensure proper maintenance of any new or improved installations.

Dated at Mills County, lowa, this $1^{\text {st }}$ day of August, 2023.

## Board of Supervisors, Mills County, lowa




Richard Crouch

Carol Vinton



Attest:


Mills County Courthouse • 418 Sharp Street • Glenwood, IA 51534
Phone: (712) 527-4729 • Fax: (712) 527-1579 • Website: www.millscountyiowa.gov

Mills County is applying for Transportation Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds for the purchase of two portable temporary traffic signals. The main purpose of the temporary traffic signals would be to reduce flagging operations and overnight lane closures. With the Loess Hills taking up a large portion of Mills County and issues with the water table, the subbase for some of our road repairs can become unpredictable. The county's last project on Gaston Avenue had two unplanned lane closures due to this unpredictability, which was hazardous and extremely inconvenient for the residents of Mills County. Flooding has been another big issue for Mills County. The river floods we had just a few years ago created massive hazards for public travel and road conditions. With temporary traffic signals we would be able to quickly close off a lane and perform the repairs much quicker, without having to use personnel as flaggers.

Part 6F. 84 of the MUTCD and the lowa DOT's Standard Road Plans TC215 and TC216 offer guidance for the use of traffic signals in work zones. The primary use of the traffic signals would be for single lane closures on two-way roads, with a massive benefit to safety for overnight lane closures. Our county crews would utilize these signals in the manner provided in these references to ensure safe set up and operations.

Our secondary road crews routinely close down lanes for maintenance. This includes roadway patching, replacement and repairs of culverts, bridge repairs, and clearing of vegetation. The temporary traffic signals would greatly alleviate the work demand of our smaller county. With smaller employment numbers, having the signals to alleviate workers can help speed up the process for our workers accomplishing their intended task. This would then lead to opening roadways sooner. Another issue we run into without traffic signals is overnight lane closures. The visibility of traffic signals is far superior to regular road signs, especially at night.

The replacement of flaggers with temporary traffic signals has so many benefits for our county workers. Flagging can be a dangerous job, with some drivers having extremely unpredictable behaviors, any moment can be detrimental for our county employees. Unfortunately, in May 2022, a flagger was killed during construction near Red Oak IA, which is only a few minutes outside of our county. Flagging can be a very demanding job; it doesn't get the respect it deserves. Staying at attention for extended periods, and the weather conditions of the Midwest can be very demanding.

```
Street Smart Rentals, LLC
6811 137th Ave NE
Columbus, MN 55025
```


## PREPARED FOR

## Jacob Ferro

```
Mills County Secondary Roads Department
(712) 527-4873
jferro@millscountyiowa.gov
```


## Billing Address

Mills County Secondary Roads Department
305 Railroad Avenue
Glenwood, IA 51534

Quote \# Q-21118-1
Date 7/26/2023
Expires On 8/25/2023
Rep Name Ryan Kilpatrick
Rep Phone (612) 597-5547
Rep Email rkilpatrick@streetsmartrental.com

## Shipping/Pick Up Address

Mills County Secondary Roads Department
305 Railroad Avenue
Glenwood, IA 51534

Pricing provided on this quote is valid for up to $\mathbf{3 0}$ days after the printed date. Thank you for your business!

| PRODUCT CODE | DESCRIPTION | QTY | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 00723-F | SQ3TS Horizon PTS | 2 | $\$ 30,000.00$ | $\$ 60,000.00$ |
| SS400-MBD | Houston Radar Doppler Speed Sensor w/black <br> enclosure | 2 | $\$ 500.00$ | $\$ 1,000.00$ |


| Subtotal* | $\$ 61,000.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Est. Freight* | $\$ 1,200.00$ |
| Total* | $\$ 62,200.00$ |

*Totals do not include Tax. Taxes are applied on invoices if your account is not exempt.

[^5]| TIME SCHEDULE |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| TSIP Application Due | August 15, 2023 |
| TSIP Award Notification | December 15, 2023 |
| TSIP Funding Available | July 1, 2024 |
| Quote Review | July 31, 2024 |
| Place Order | July 31, 2024 |
| Delivery and Deployment Availability | September 1, 2024 |

Temporary traffic signals will be utilized on all secondary roads throughout Mills County, depending on projects and needed repairs.



# The most advanced portable traffic signal, ever. 

## NEMA TS-5 Type TR1 Portable Traffic Signal System

## DESIGNED FOR THE REAL WORLD WORK ZONE

The SQ3TS Trailer-Mounted PTS is the most dynamic and dependable portable traffic signal available today. With an industry-leading 100-mph wind load, and a 25-year design life, the SQ3TS Portable Traffic Signal is the temporary traffic control workhorse that you can
"WE COULD NOT BE HAPPIER WITH THE SQ3TS."

TAD BROOKS
Vice President - LMC
Safety Barricade Corp. rely on year after year. From a simple one-lane bridge repair project, to complete intersection control, the SQ3TS System has you covered, under even the most demanding conditions.

The SQ3TS Portable Traffic Signal exceeds NEMA TS-5 specifications for Type TR1 PTS, and is available with a wide range of add-on components to meet any project requirements.

## SQ3TS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Portable Traffic Signal

## SPECIFICATIONS

| Signal Lamp | $12 \prime \prime(300 \mathrm{~mm})$ diameter LED |
| :--- | :--- |
| Signal Arm Extension | 68 to $109 \prime \prime(173$ to 277 cm$)$ |
| Solar Charge | 520 W min |
| Power Source | $12 \mathrm{~V} /(16) 6 \mathrm{~V}$ batteries |
| Tow Height | $89 \prime \prime(226 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Trailer Width | $85^{\prime \prime}(216 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Trailer Weight | $3000 \mathrm{lb} .(1361 \mathrm{~kg})$ |

## SQ3TS FEATURES

- Heavy-duty trailer with 25-year design life
- Dual-Processor Malfunction Management System
- Withstands sustained winds of 100 mph , gusts up to 110 mph
- 10-year structural warranty on trailer
- Lifting Ring for easy signal placement
- Hydraulic lift system
- 30 days run time on batteries alone
- Up to 14 phases of traffic per system
- Tandem-tow trailers
- Exceeds NEMA TS-5 requirements for Type TR1 PTS
- MUTCD Compliant


## AVAILABLE OPTIONS

TILTING SOLAR PANELS \| Allows for solar panel adjustment on SQ3TS for maximum sun exposure.

15-FOOT EXTENSION ARM | Longer extension arm for greater horizontal reach on SQ3TS trailer. Ideal for 2-lane applications. ADVANCED REMOTE MONITORING | Recieve text and/or email alert notifications of signal operation and battery voltage levels.

WIRELESS KNOCKDOWN \| Allows signal to operate in conjunction with a standard street corner control cabinet.

PRE-EMPTION SYSTEM | Recognizes emergency vehicles and provides earliest safe green indications.

WAIT TIME \& FAULT DISPLAY | Informs motorists of wait time before next green indication.

和


## EASY TO DEPLOY

The SQ3TS Portable Traffic Signal is equipped with a one-touch, easy-up hydraulic lifting system to make deployments simple.


DISTRIBUTED BY

Sections G and H are not applicable.

# POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY 

## TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION

FY 2025

## A. APPLICATION AND RESOLUTION

# Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS 



## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Pottawattamie County Secondary Roads Department

Signed:

$\qquad$
Printed Name

Attest:


John A Rasmussen
Printed Name

## RESOLUTION NO. 56-2023

## APPROVAL OF TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION FOR VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT ACTIVATED WARNING BEACONS

WHEREAS, the Iowa Department of Transportation has adopted Administrative Rule 761 - Chapter : 64, which created the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) to allow funding to be provided to local jerisdictions for eligible traffic safety improvement projects; and,

WHEREAS, Pottawattamie County has determined that providing activated warning beacons for the Volunteer Fire Departments will aid in improving the safety of the traveling public as well as the volunteers responding to an emergency; and,

WHEREAS, activated beacons are recognized traffic control devices in the Manual of Uniform Traffis Control Devices (MUTCD), 2009 edition; and,

WHEREAS, a traffic study conducted by FHU dated March 29, 2022 recommends activated beacons to be installed on Hwy 92 and Cypress Avenue to warn traffic to provide for a safer and faster emergency response of the Lewis Township Fire and Rescue; and,

WHEREAS, the Volunteer Fire Departments in Crescent, Minden, Neola, Oakland, Treynor, Underwood and Walnut also have a desire for the activated warning beacons; and,

WHEREAS, the Pottawattamie County Engineer recommends TSIP applications be submitted to the Iowa Department of Transportation for safety funding of the above mentioned traffic control devices.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Pottawattamie County Board of Supervisors,

1. Supports the applications to the Iowa Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Improvement Program.
2. Certifies that Pottawattamie County will provide continuous maintenance to these activated warning signs.
3. Authorizes the Board of Supervisors Chairperson to sign the applications and supporting documents in relation to the TSIP funding.

Dated this 8th Day of August, 2023.
ROLL CALL VOTE


## B. NARRATIVE

Pottawattamie County is applying for the Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds to be used to purchase Activated Warning Lights (beacons) for eight Volunteer Fire Departments in Pottawattamie County. The Volunteer Fire Departments included are Crescent, Lewis, Minden, Neola, Oakland, Treynor, Underwood, and Walnut.

These Volunteer Fire Departments serve the unincorporated areas within the County, and the installation of the warning beacons would improve the safety and traffic operations during emergency response.

Lewis Fire department is located adjacent to Highway 92, a four-lane highway with a center left turn lane and an East Bound right turn lane. The speed limit on Highway 92 is 50 mph making the entry onto the roadway difficult to judge and the time for driver reaction short. The traffic volume on Highway 92 is 6200 VPD in the 2016 count.

The Lewis VFD had a traffic study conducted by FHU in 2022 where it was determined that a stop light was not warranted. It was recommended that advanced warning beacons be installed and monitored before an emergency stop signal be installed. This VFD serves the unincorporated area south of Council Bluffs to include the Risen Son retirement community and has an extremely high call volume for a Volunteer Fire Department. The Fire Department reports cross traffic often fails to stop or yield to the lights and sirens of the emergency vehicles placing the emergency workers and equipment at risk. Their specific concerns are due to the speed of oncoming traffic, traffic volume, the width of the intersection and driver inattentiveness.

In summary, Pottawattamie County is requesting TSIP TCD funding for the amount equal to the cost of materials needed to place activated beacon lights and signs for the Volunteer Fire Departments. The ability to activate the warning beacons will help alert motorists to watch for emergency vehicles and make emergency response safer for the members of the Volunteer Fire Departments.

## C. ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF COST

Quote received was for one (1) Activated Warning Lights. The quote includes all material items, intent is to purchase eight of these Activated Warning Light systems, one for each Volunteer Fire Department.

| Date of Quote | Vendor | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 18 / 2023$ | TAPCO | $\$ 12,072.40$ |


| VFD | Materials | Installation | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crescent | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
| Lewis | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
| Minden | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
| Neola | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
| Oakland | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
| Treynor | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
| Underwood | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
| Walnut | $\$ 12,072.40$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 15,072.40$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 120,579.20$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 96,579.20$ | $\$ 24,000.00$ |  |
| Materials with $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Contingency |  |  |  |

Quote with detailed breakdown of material costs can be found in Appendix A.

## D. TIME SCHEDULE

| TSIP Application Due | August 15, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| TSIP Award Notification | Mid-January 2024 |
| TSIP Funding Available | July 1, 2024 |
| Purchase Activated Warning Lights | July 2024 *estimate |
| Begin Installation and Use of AWL | September 2024 *estimate |

## E. MAP



Image Source: Pottawattamie County GIS

## LEGEND

\author{

* Fire Department <br> $\square$ Fire Districts <br> ェ-1 County Boundary
}


## F. PICTURES, G. PLAN VIEW \&, H. TRAFFIC VOLUMES COMBINED

Proposed Activated Warning Beacon Light(s).


## Crescent VFD



Roadway Old Lincoln Highway (L20)

| Speed Limit | 25 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Traffic Count | 2770 |
| Traffic Study | No |

## Lewis Township VFD



Roadway
Speed Limit
Traffic Count
Traffic Study

Highway 92
50
6200 Yes

## Minden VFD



Roadway
Tamarack Road (G18)
Speed Limit
30
Traffic Count
Traffic Study
1330
No

Neola VFD


Roadway
Speed Limit
25
Traffic Count
Traffic Study
1780
No

Oakland VFD


Roadway
Speed Limit
Traffic Count
Traffic Study

Highway 6 / Highway 59
45
4810
No

Treynor VFD


Roadway
Speed Limit
Traffic Count
Traffic Study

Highway 92
30
4990
No

## Underwood VFD



Roadway Railroad Highway (G8L)
Speed Limit
Traffic Count
35

Traffic Study
2080
No

Walnut VFD


Roadway
Speed Limit
Traffic Count
Traffic Study

Highway 83
30
830
No


Image Source: https://iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/2016/counties/POTTAWATTAMIE.pdf

## I.TRAFFIC SIGNAL LAYOUT

Refer to combined sections F. (Color Pictures) G. (Plan View) and H. (Traffic Volumes) for the activated warning beacons signal layout information.

## APPENDIX A <br> ACTIVATED WARNING LIGHTS QUOTE

## Safe travels:

Traffic and Parking Control Co., Inc.
5100 West Brown Deer Rd
Brown Deer, WI 53223
Phone No.:800-236-0112
E-Mail: customerservice@tapconet.com

# SALES QUOTE DATE 7/18/2023 <br> SALES QUOTE NUMBER <br> Q23000992 <br> CUSTOMER NO. 

C41783
Page: 1

## BILL TO

Pottawattamie County
John Rasmussen
223 S 6th St
COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA 51501
United States of America

## SHIP TO

Pottawattamie County
John Rasmussen
21901 340th St
Oakland, IA 51560
United States of America

| Ext. Document No. | SHIP VIA | TERMS | SALESPERSON | Deidre Jones |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| EVWS | BEST RATE | Net 30 DAYS |  |  |
|  | Prepaid \& Add |  | Q/17/2023 |  |
| Item/Description |  | U/M | Quantity | Unit Price |

*** INSIDE ACTIVATION ***

| 2180-C00133-C1 | Each | 1 | 2,049.30 | 2,049.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activation Control Panel, 120VAC, Push Button, Radio, \& Keyfob Receiver, Add Antenna Kit To Order |  |  |  |  |
| 150295 | Each | 1 | 891.00 | 891.00 |
| Omni-Directional Antenna Kit, RP-BNC Connector, Includes 55' of Cable |  |  |  |  |
| *** OUTSIDE WARNING *** *** NO OUTSIDE ACTIVATION *** |  |  |  |  |
| 500146 | Each | 2 | 2,000.00 | 4,000.00 |
| Controller, 12V, 136921, Radio, 30W TOP, No Pushbutton, No Battery |  |  |  |  |
| 137480 | Each | 2 | 443.00 | 886.00 |
| DUAL 22AH BATTERY PACK HARNESSED AND FUSED |  |  |  |  |
| 2180-BBSAYP-C1 | Each | 4 | 633.00 | 2,532.00 |
| BlinkerBeacon, Single, AMBER, Yellow Housing, Yellow Poly Arms, Conduit Grip On Side |  |  |  |  |
| 300478 | Each | 2 | 235.00 | 470.00 |
| W11-8,36"x36" DG3 Yellow,Fire Truck (Symbol) Fed Spec |  |  |  |  |
| 2438-00001 | Each | 2 | 19.00 | 38.00 |

Sign Mounting Kit, Square/U-Channel, Anti-Vandal For Mounting One Blinker Sign to 2 " Sq \& U-Channel

All prices are listed in US Dollar (USD)
For terms and conditions, please visit https://tapconet.com/terms-conditions

## Safe travels:

Traffic and Parking Control Co., Inc.
5100 West Brown Deer Rd
Brown Deer, WI 53223
Phone No.:800-236-0112
E-Mail: customerservice@tapconet.com

## SALES QUOTE DATE 7/18/2023 <br> SALES QUOTE NUMBER <br> Q23000992 <br> CUSTOMER NO.

C41783
Page: 2

## BILL TO

Pottawattamie County
John Rasmussen
223 S 6th St
COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA 51501
United States of America

## SHIP TO

Pottawattamie County
John Rasmussen
21901 340th St
Oakland, IA 51560
United States of America

| Ext. Document No. | SHIP VIA | TERMS | SALESPERSON | DALID UNTIL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| EVWS | BEST RATE | Net 30 DAYS | Deidre Jones |  |
|  | Prepaid \& Add |  |  |  |
| Item/Description |  | U/M | Quantity | Unit Price |



All prices are listed in US Dollar (USD)
For terms and conditions, please visit https://tapconet.com/terms-conditions

## Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TSIP FUNDS



## Additional Project Safety Documentation (when available):

Project information sheet(s) or "Risk Score">50\% from County/City's Local Road Safety PlanFHWA SS4A Safety Action Plan or similar comprehensive transportation safety planlowa DOT TEAP Study or similar analysis and concept$\square$ Project intersection or segment with High or Medium PCR Level (PCR-All or PCR-Severe) from the lowa DOT Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) web-based map tool https://pcr.iowadot.gov/

| Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intersection ID } \\ & \text { (1234567890) } \\ & \text { or Segment ID (1234) } \end{aligned}$ | Intersection or Segment | PCR <br> Level <br> High | PCR Level Medium | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCR- } \\ & \text { All } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | PCRSevere value |
|  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the County of Story

Signed:


Darren Moon
Printed Name

Attest:


Tyler Sparks
Printed Name

Prepared by and return to: The Story County Engineer's Office, 837 N Ave, Nevada Iowa 50201 Phone 515-382-7355

## RESOLUTION \#24-10

## Story County Board of Supervisors

## RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE SUBMITTAL OF A TRAFFIC SAFETY FUND APPLICATION TO THE IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

WHEREAS, the Iowa Department of Transportation is accepting applications for Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds to be used for the purchase of temporary traffic signals; and

WHEREAS, Story County Secondary Roads has determined that portable traffic signals at work zones will be more visible, improving safety to flaggers, work crews, and the traveling public; and

WHEREAS, portable temporary traffic signals are recognized traffic control devices in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD).

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors for Story County, Iowa, that Darren Moon, the County Engineer of Story County, Iowa be and is hereby designated, authorized, and empowered on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of said County to submit a Traffic Safety Improvement Program application to the Iowa Department of Transportation for a pair of portable traffic signal trailers.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Story County Secondary Roads Department shall be responsible for maintaining said traffic control signals.

Adopted this $8^{\text {th }}$ Day of August, 2023
Recommended Approval by:


$$
8-2-23
$$

Darren R. Moon, P.E Date
County Engineer

Chairperson, Board of Supervisors


County Auditor

ROLL CALL FOR ALLOWANCE

Latifah Faisal Yea_ $\downarrow$ Nay__ Absent
Lisa Heddens Yea $\sqrt{ } /$ Nay___Absent_ Linda Murken Yea $\_$Nay___Absent__


## B. Narrative

The Story County Secondary Roads Department is applying for Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) funds to be used for the purchase of a pair of portable temporary traffic control signals. The signals would be used to replace flaggers for Secondary Roads work zone lane closures, and to be essential in situations where overnight lane closures are necessary. Story county would also be able to loan out these signals to cities in the county or surrounding counties and cities.

The Story County Secondary Roads Department is responsible for the maintenance, construction and engineering of the county's secondary road system. The secondary road system consists of 932 miles, ( 202 miles of paved roads, 706 miles of granular surfacing, and 24 miles of dirt roads) and 284 bridges. Typical paved route daily traffic counts range from 100 to 5,100 vehicles per day.

Part 6F. 84 of the MUTCD provides standards, guidance, and support for the use of traffic signals in work zones. There is also additional information regarding signal use located in Part 4. The primary use of the temporary traffic signals would be in single lane closures on a two-way roadway and would be especially beneficial for nighttime closures which is currently not an option.

Traffic control is of paramount importance, currently requiring the use of trained and certified flaggers to safely direct traffic through work zones. Flagging can be stressful and dangerous on busy routes, and boring and monotonous on roads with very little traffic. Roads crews often close lanes for a variety of maintenance work such as: HMA patching, PCC patching, culvert repair and replacement, bridge repair and replacement, guardrail repair and replacement, bridge rail repair, tile repair and installation, and slope repairs.

The use of temporary traffic signals with traffic control plan 6H-12 in work zones would reduce the number of employees exposed to the traveling public, which reduces risk of injury, heat stress, extreme cold, fatigue and conflicts with drivers. As well as provide a safer and more familiar and more visible method of traffic control to the motorists driving through projects.

The purchase of temporary traffic signals would remove the burden of flagging and make safer conditions for everyone involved. They are easily moved and set up, very little training and instruction is necessary, could be used on long-term closures or overnight, and could be rapidly deployed in emergency situations. Overall, they provide safe and effective traffic control while removing employees from high-risk situations with an evergrowing inattentive driving population.

## C. Itemized Breakdown of Cost

Quotes listed here are for a set of two signals, with vehicle detection and pilot car remote for Temporary Traffic Control. These preliminary quotes are attached in appendix A.

| DATE | VENDOR | PRICE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7-27-23$ | OMJC Signal | $\$ 61,100.00$ |
| $7-31-23$ | Iowa Plains Signing, Inc. | $\$ 67,630.00$ |
| $7-31-23$ | Astro Optics, LLC | $\$ 79,507.25$ |

## D. Time Schedule

| TSIP Application Due | August 15, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| TSIP Award Notification | Mid - January 2024 |
| Final Quote Comparison | June - July 2024 (estimated) |
| TSIP Funding Available | July 1, 2024 |
| Purchase of Traffic Signals | July - August 2024 (estimated) |
| Use of Portable Traffic Signals | August 2024 (after purchase) |

## E. Map



Image Source: https://iowadot.gov/maps/Digital-maps/pdfview/story\#25672717-city-and-county-maps (view the link for higher quality map)

## F. Color Pictures



Image Source: OMJC Signal Quote


Image Source: OMJC Signal Quote

## G. Plan View

Figure 6H-10. Lane Closure on a Two-Lane Road Using Flaggers (TA-10)


## Typical Application 10

Image source: MUTCD 2009, page 655

## H. Traffic Volumes



Image souce: https://iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/2019/counties/STORY.pdf (view the link for higher quality map)

## I. Traffic Signal Layout

Figure 6H-12. Lane Closure on a Two-Lane Road Using Traffic Control Signals (TA-12)


Image source: MUTCD 2009, page 659

## J. Cost/ Benefit Worksheet

Not Applicable

## Appendix A

QUOTES

OMJCSig̈ñäl
Waterloo, IA 50704
403 Chestnut St.
Waterloo, IA 50703
800.776 .5999

Fax: 319.236 .1554
Email: sales@omjcsignal.com
omjcsignal.com

Quote Number
8846
Quote Date
July 27, 2023
Page:
SHIP TO:

STORYCOUNTYIOWA

PH: $\quad 515.382 .7355$
FAX:



1110 W. $6^{\text {TH }}$ AVENUE (HWY. 210W) P.O. BOX 654

SLATER, IOWA 50244-0654

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { TELEPHONE: } & (515) 685-3536 \\
\text { FAX: } & (515) 685-3530
\end{aligned}
$$

| Quote For: | Story County | Att. | Tyler |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type of Sale: | SQ3 | Phone |  |
| Quote Date: | July 31,2023 | Fax \# |  |


| Bid Item \# | Description | Quantity | Units | Per Unit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SQ3TS System | 1 | 5 | \$ 58,000.00 | \$ 58,000.00 |
| 2 | Motion Sensors | 2 | EA | \$ 1,035.00 | \$ 2,070.00 |
| 3 | Back Plate | 4 | EA | \$ 165.00 | \$ 660.00 |
| 4 | Pilot Car/ Flagger Module | 1 | EA | \$ 3,400.00 | \$ 3,400.00 |
| 5 | Freight |  | LS | \$ 3,500.00 | \$ 3,500.00 |
| 年 $\quad$ Total \$ 67,630.00 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Conditions or Notes:

This price does not include any shipping incured. This price does not include sales tax.


Astro Optics, LLC
www.astrooptics.com
... a TAPCO (Traffic \& Parking Control) company
5100 W. Brown Deer Road, Brown Deer, WI 53223
P (847) 488-9151 | sales@astrooptics.com | F (847) 488-9154

DATE:

Quote For:

July 31, 2023
Tyler Sparks
Story County Road Dept.
837 N Avenue
Nevada, IA 50201

## Comments or Special Instructions:

| SALESPERSON | P.O. NUMBER | SHIP DATE | SHIP VIA | FREIGHT | TERMS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heather Lopez | Quote |  | PPA | PPA |  |
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## IOWADOT

## Application for TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE TRIP FUNDS

GENERAL INFORMATION
DATE: 8/14/2023

Location / Title of Project Van Buren County FM/HWY Intersection Lights
Applicant Van Buran County
Contact Person Tyne Thornburg Title County Engineer

| Complete Mailing Address | 20554 Highway 1, PO Box 494 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Keosauqua, IA 52565 |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone
$\overline{\text { (Area Code) }}$
$\qquad$

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Funding Amount

> Total Safety Cost
> Total Project Cost
> Safety Funds Requested
\$ 40,084.00 (No Labor) 48,258.00 (Project Including \$ Labor)
$\$ \quad 40,084.00$

Does this project appear on a Safety Improvement Candidate List or is there a safety study recommendation for this project?
$\square$ Yes - Explain
$\boxtimes$ No

## APPLICATION CERTIFICATION FOR PUBLIC AGENCY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by the participating public agency(ies). I understand the attached resolutions), where applicable, binds the participating public agency(ies) to assume responsibility for any additional funds, if required, to complete the project. In addition, the participating public agency(ies) agrees to maintain any new or improved public streets or roadways for a minimum of five years.

I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, a firm contract between the applicant and the Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds.

Representing the Van Buren County Board of Supervisors

Signed:


Alex Richards, Chairman, Van Buren County
Board of Supervisors
Printed Name

Attest:


Lisa Plecker, Van Buren County Auditor
Printed Name

# RESOLUTION FOR <br> SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR A TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES GRANT FOR FY25 

Van Buran County<br>Resolution No.28-14-2023A

WHEREAS, Iowa Code Chapter 164 establishes the Traffic Safety Improvement Program which provides funding for traffic safety improvements on public roads in Iowa and

WHEREAS, Van Buren County is an eligible jurisdiction in the State of Iowa for use of the established program and

WHERAS, the Van Buren County Board of Supervisors and Van Buren County Engineer have identified various intersections where additional traffic control devices can be installed for the improvement of traffic safety.

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED by the Van Burn County Board of Supervisors that application be made to the Traffic Safety Improvement Program for a Traffic Control Devices Grant for FY25 for intersection improvements.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that should Van Buren County be awarded such grant that the Board of Supervisors ensures that funding be in place for the maintenance of the proposed installations for the expected service life of the devices.

Adopted this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of August 2023.


Alex Richards, Chairman County Board of Supervisors


## Project Narrative

## Project Background

In the past decade Van Buren County has experienced a number of crashes at our paved intersections seemingly due to distracted driving. This has included a fatality, a near fatality of a child and a pair of serious injury accidents. While our traffic volumes are less than many other locations in the state the value of a life is the same.

The Board of Supervisors and the County Engineer have recently taken steps to mitigate these accidents with installation of intersection approach rumble strips at all intersections of paved county roads and state Highways and at the intersections of select paved county roads along with the installation of reflective strips to the posts of stop signs at county road paved intersections. The Board and County Engineer would like to take yet another step to attempt to mitigate these accidents though the use of the TSIP Traffic Control Device Program.

## Proposal

We propose installing solar powered red flashing beacons above stop signs at various intersections throughout the County as well as installing yellow solar powered beacons above stop ahead signs at locations where roadway geometry prevents red beacons above stop signs from being effective. These installation locations are primarily at the intersections of paved county routes with state highways but also includes the intersection of a County gravel FM with a State Highway, and two intersections of paved FM route with paved FM route. Locations listed may only include one leg of the intersection as the other leg may be speed restricted or roadway geometry does not benefit from the installation of a beacon.

Proposed red beacon installation locations are:

> Northbound County Route V56 at Highway 2
> Northbound County Route V56 at County Route J40
> North and Southbound County Route V64 at State Highway 16
> Southbound County Route V64 at State Highway 2
> Northbound County Route W20 at State Highway 2
> North and Southbound County Route W30 at State Highway 16
> Northbound County Route W40 at State Highway 16
> Westbound County Route J40 at County Route W40
> Southbound County Route W40 at State Highway 2

Proposed yellow beacon installation locations are:

Westbound County Route J40 approaching Highway 1
Northbound Gold Avenue approaching Highway 2

Additionally, we propose to install red reflective strips on the signposts of all stop signs at the intersection of all Area Service Level "A" county roads with an ADT greater than 10 vpd and State

Highways. Finally, we propose the installation of yellow reflective strips on the signposts of all stop ahead signs approaching the selected beacon installation locations. It is intended that the County would order the traffic control devices and install as per the MUTCD using County Highway Department staff.

The purpose of these installations is a relatively low-cost attempt at reducing the number of accidents at our intersections.

Beacon installations shall be made in accordance with MUTCD Chapter 4L.
Installations at the intersections of State Highways will require permitting through the lowa Department of Transportation, this is not expected to be problematic as they have permitted such installations within other Iowa Counties.

We are seeking $80 \%$ participation in the purchase of the proposed traffic control devices.

## Itemized Breakdown of Cost

| Item | Units | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Flashing Red Beacon | EA | 12 | $\$ 2,500.00$ | $\$ 30,000.00$ |
| Flashing Yellow Beacon | EA | 2 | $\$ 2,500.00$ | $\$ 5,000.00$ |
| Red Retroreflective Strips | EA | 94 | $\$ 12.00$ | $\$ 1,128.00$ |
| Yellow Reflective Strips | EA | 26 | $\$ 12.00$ | $\$ 312.00$ |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  |

Time Schedule

August 2023 Make TSIP Application
January 2024 Receive Award of TSIP Funds

February 2024
April 2024
Receive Authorization from lowa DOT for Installation of Traffic Control

Devices

July 2024
Fall 2024

Purchase Traffic Control Devices
Installation of Traffic Control Devices

(89)

## Red Beacon Locations

V56 \& Highway 2


## Location Photos

V56 \& J40



V64 \& Highway 2


W20 \& Highway 2


W30 \& Highway 16


W40 \& Highway 16


W40 \& J40


## Location Photos

W40 \& Highway 2


W46 \& J40


## Yellow Beacon Locations

Gold Approaching Highway 2


J40 Approaching Highway 1





$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Other Notes } \\
& \text { Install Red Strip on Stop Sign Post. } \\
& \text { Install Yellow Strips on Stop Ahead Sign Post. }
\end{aligned}
$$




## Intersection Traffic Volume Links

## V56 \& Highway 2

https://www.iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/turning movements/2018/89213221099.pdf

V64 \& Highway 16
https://www.iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/turning movements/2018/89414981099.pdf

W40 \& Highway 2
https://www.iowadot.gov/maps/msp/traffic/turning movements/2018/89244123099.pdf

Locations \& Quantities (Page 1/2)

| Road | Approaching | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \# Red } \\ \text { Beacons } \end{array}$ | \# Yellow Beacon | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \# Red } \\ \text { Strip } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \# \\ \text { Yellow } \\ \text { Strip } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old Highway 2 | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| V56 | Highway 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | Northbound Beacon Only |
| Chestnut Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Deer Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Elk Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | NB Only, SB Level B |
| V64 | Highway 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | Southbound Beacon Only |
| Gold Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 265th | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 260th/Gray | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Hickory Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Jersey Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Lark Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| W20 | Highway 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Nickel Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | SB only, NB Level B |
| Osage Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Peach Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | NB Only |
| Quail Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Redbud Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | SB Only, NP Closed |
| Robin Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Teal Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | NB Only, SB Level B |
| W40 WB | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| W40 SB | Highway 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Southbound Beacon Only |
| Timber Ave | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Eagle Drive | Highway 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Willow Ave | Highway 81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 285th | Highway 81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 295th | Highway 81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| V56 | J40 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | NB Tee |
| Acorn | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Bridge Street | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Deer Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Dove | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| V64 | Highway 16 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Gray Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Hickory Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Iowa Oak Grove Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Jasmine Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Jewel Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| King Trail | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Osage Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Peach Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Quail Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Page 1 Subtotal |  | 7 | 1 | 61 | 17 |  |

Locations \& Quantities (Page 2/2)

| Road | Approaching | \# Red Beacons | \# Yellow Beacon | \# Red Strip |  | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Queen Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| W30 | Highway 16 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Teal Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| W40 | Highway 16 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Willow Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Yellow Ave | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| W46 | Highway 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| J40 | W40 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | WB Only, EB Level B |
| W46 | J40 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Cedar | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Washington Street | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Tee |
| 122nd | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Tee |
| King Trail | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Tee |
| Lick Creek Road/150th | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 165th | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Tee |
| 170th | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | EB Only |
| 180th | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Tee |
| Maple Ave | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Tee |
| 195th | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | EB Only |
| 205th | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Tee |
| J40 | Highway 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Tee |
| 240th | Highway 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Page 2 Subtotal |  | 5 | 1 | 33 | 9 |  |
| Page 1 Subtotal |  | 7 | 1 | 61 | 17 |  |
|  | Totals | 12 | 2 | 94 | 26 |  |


[^0]:    Typed Name

[^1]:    $\square$ Yes - Explain
    】No

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iowa DOT. Potential for Crash Reduction (PCR) of Intersections Study. Online available at: https://iowadot.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=6920b9b36fa54caa90c25bd6dcdd0c7e

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, $7^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Table 3-1 Stopping Sight Distance on Level Roadways \& 3-2 Stopping Sight Distance on Grades.
    ${ }^{3}$ American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, $7^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Table 9.7 - Design Intersection Sight Distance - Case B1, Left Turn From Stop.
    ${ }^{4}$ American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, $7^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Table 9.9 - Design Intersection Sight Distance - Case B2, Right Turn From Stop.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ University of Minnesota, Center for Transportation Studies website, accessed February 4, 2014:
    http://www.its.umn.edu/Research/FeaturedStudies/intersections/
    ${ }^{2}$ Federal Highway Administration, Intersection Safety: A Manual for Local Rural Road Owners, FHWA-SA-11-08 (Washington DC: January 2011). Available at: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/local_rural/training/fhwasa1108/index.cfm
    ${ }^{3}$ A crash modification factor (CMF) is a measure of the safety effectiveness of a particular treatment or design element. A CMF less than 1.0 indicates that a treatment has the potential to reduce crashes, while a CMF greater than 1.0 indicates that a treatment has the potential to increase crashes. A CMF is determined by dividing the estimated number of crashes with a safety treatment by the estimated number of crashes without a safety treatment. For example, if an intersection experiences 10 crashes per year before a treatment is applied and 8 crashes per year after a treatment is applied, the CMF for the treatment is 0.8 , netting a 20 percent reduction in crashes.

[^5]:    This quote and any attachments originated from Street Smart Rentals, LLC may contain information that is proprietary, privileged client communications, or work product. If you are not the intended recipient, you are not authorized to read, retain, or distribute this information. If you received this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies.

