# Traffic Safety Improvement Program 

Applications for Traffic Control Devices

FY 2012


## TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE APPLICATION FY 2012

| Page No. | Applicant | Title/Subject | \$ \$ \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Project | Request |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Decatur County | Install overhead beacon at the intersection of US Highway 69 and County Route J-66 (Dale Miller Road) | \$3,147 | \$3,147 |
| 13 | Clinton County | Replace horizontal alignment and intersection signs on aggregate roads in Clinton County | \$71,400.40 | \$51,400.40 |
| 21 | Boone County | Replace warning signs along County Road $\mathrm{E}-41$ from Ogden to Boone and along County Road R-27 from Boone SCL to County Road E-57 | \$2,792.50 | \$2,792.50 |
| 29 | Guthrie County | Replace old signs with Chevrons along F65 (Hwy 6) corridor (5+ miles) | \$8,430.50 | \$8,430.50 |
| 41 | Polk County | Install yellow flashing beacons on Hwy 141 and red flashing beacons on NW 121 in Polk County | \$55,000 | \$55,000 |
| 53 | Polk County | Install new traffic signals to the intersection of NE 56 and NE Oak Hill Drive in eastern Polk County | \$200,000 | \$85,000 |
| 67 | Polk County | Install oversized Speed Limit sign with Limited Site Distance warning sign, and a Solar powered yellow flashing beacon on each approach of NW 66th Ave. to the Hwy 415 ramps. | \$26,000 | \$26,000 |
| 81 | Webster County | Upgrade Signage on paved road throughout Webster County with traffic volumes under 1000 VPD, Phase II | \$45,339.20 | \$27,099.20 |
| 89 | Webster County | Placing signs at locations of changes in horizontal alignment at locations without existing signs, Phase II | \$37,392 | \$10,032 |
| 97 | Montgomery County | Replacing 65 old W1-8 Chevron signs with new updated W1-8 Chevron signs County wide | \$5,778.50 | \$5,778.50 |
| 103 | City Of Des Moines | Install New Mast Arm-mounted traffic signals @ the intersection of 7th St. \& Laurel Street with pedestrian countdown signals @ all approaches | \$150,000 | \$75,000 |

## Continued on next page

## TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE APPLICATION (Continued)

| Page No. | Applicant | Title/Subject | \$ \$ \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Project | Request |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | City of Cedar Rapids | Installation of solar powered programmable flashers and install speed feedback signs in conjunction with the beacons on the school zone signs | \$46,836 | \$42,436 |
| 131 | City of Waterloo | Low Cost Safety Countermeasures at Six High Hazard Intersections in Waterloo | \$71,000 | \$71,000 |
| 151 | Iowa D.O.T. Local Systems | City Sign Replacement Program, FY2012 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 |
| 153 | Iowa D.O.T. Office of Traffic \& Safety | Improved Signing at High Crash County Horizontal Curves | \$70,000 | \$70,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Totals | 15 Projects | \$1,043,116.10 | \$783,116.10 |

## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

GENERAL INFORMATION

$\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount

Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 3147.00
\$ 3147.00

Richard McKnight County Engineer

1306 S. Main St.
Leon, Iowa 50144
641-446-7131
voice
641-446-3518
fax

Keith Hinds
Assistant to the Engineer
Doug Thiel
Office Manager
26 April 2010

Mr. Tom Welch, P.E.
Office of Traffic Safety
Iowa Department of Transportation
800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010
Dear Tom:
RE: TSIP Application - Decatur County
The Decatur County Engineer and the Decatur County Board of Supervisors request review and approval of a Traffic Safety Improvement Program (TSIP) project to install an overhead beacon at the intersection of US Highway 69 and County Route J-66, also called Dale Miller Road.

This intersection is located in Section 1 of New Buda Township (T-67N; R-26W) southwest of Davis City in Decatur County. Although there have been no fatal accidents at this intersection in several years, this is the primary access route to Nine Eagles State Park. All lake traffic from Highway 69 and I-35 use this intersection and Dale Miller Road for the last six miles of their trip to Nine Eagles Park. There have been several property damage accidents here over the years, many of which are not reported because people are "on vacation." The trees have been cleared from the right-of-way, where possible. We have overlaid the intersection and approach on Dale Miller Road to eliminate a depressed area that held water, to match the slope of the recently overlaid Highway 69 and to widen the southwest radius; however, visibility can still be reduced by low lying fog in the early spring and fall.

The proposed beacon will be suspended over the center of the intersection and flash red for traffic on the secondary road (Dale Miller Road) and yellow for traffic on the primary road (Highway 69). It will be similar to the beacon installed on Highway 69 north of Leon in 2003. TSIP funds will be used for the initial purchase and installation. County funds will be used thereafter for operation and maintenance. Estimated completion date is 90 days after approval.

Your review and favorable consideration will be appreciated.

cc: Decatur County Board of Supervisors
Richard D. McKnight
Decatur County Engineer

# OFFICE OF <br> DECATUR COUNTY ENGINEER 

| Richard McKnight | 1306 S. Main St. | Keith Hinds |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| County Engineer | Leon, Iowa 50144 | Assistant to the Engineer |
|  | $641-446-7131$ |  |
|  | voice | Doug Thiel |
|  | $641-446-3518$ | Office Manager |

20 April 2010
Memo for Record
Subject: Cost Estimate for Intersection Caution Light at J-66 and Hwy 69

The following cost estimate is provided for a proposed Red/Yellow flashing light suspended over the intersection of County Route J-66 and US Highway 69. The light will show flashing red for the north/south traffic on J-66 and flashing yellow for the east-west traffic on US Highway 69. A similar installation was placed at the intersection of County Route J-20 and US Highway 69 a few years ago.

| Item | Description | Unit | Unit Cost | Quantity | Extended Cost |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 001 | Utility Pole w/guy wire | each | $\$ 225.00$ | 2 | $\$ 450.00$ |
| 002 | Pole Installation | each | $\$ 75.00$ | 2 | $\$ 150.00$ |
| 003 | Support Cable | foot | $\$$ | .65 | 140 |
| 004 | $\$ 1.00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | Anti-sway Cable | foot | $\$$ | .65 | 140 |
| 005 | Misc. Hardware | pound | $\$$ | .75 | 10 |
| 006 | Cabinet | each | $\$ 275.00$ | 1 | $\$ 275.00$ |
| 007 | 4-Way Bracket | each | $\$ 120.00$ | 1 | $\$ 120.00$ |
| 008 | Luminares |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\quad$ Red | each | $\$ 195.00$ | 2 | $\$ 390.00$ |
|  | $\quad$ Yellow | each | $\$ 195.00$ | 2 | $\$ 390.00$ |
| 009 | Electric Meter Base | each | $\$ 65.00$ | 1 | $\$ 65.00$ |
| 010 | Electrician | hour | $\$ 85.00$ | 6 | $\$ 510.00$ |
| 011 | Utility Labor \& Equipment |  |  |  |  |
|  | Costs | hour | $\$ 135.00$ | 4.50 | $\$ 607.50$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | $\$ 3147.00$ |





INSET FROM COUNTY MAP


Naw Buda Twp
(T-67N: R-26W)
Section 1


Hwy 69 J-b6 intersection looking south. I-35 traffic going to "Nine Eagles State Park" comas east (from right in photo) From I-35 on Us 69, turning to their right (South) onto County Route J-66 (Dale Miller Rd). This route leads to the main entrance to the park. Hwy 69 was overlayed and widened last fall, and the speed limit was raised from 50 mph to 55 mph . The county improved this intersection by widening the radius to the south and overlaying the county route to match the new overlay of Hwy 69. This was funded with County funds.


This view, looking north from County Route. J-lel, shows the area repaired and overlayed by the county. The widened radius is on the left. The area can become foggy in early spring or late fall. I hadreguested two stop signs here, but was told by the state that another stopsign would not be allowed, unless the intersection was to include an island. Hence the Flog pennant on the stopsign.


This photo was taken approaching the intersecton of us 69 and 1566 (on J-66) from the west on us 69. The small building on the left is the Post Office for Davis City. This is a high volume traffic area. The access to the Post office is from. US. How 69 just east of this intersection. The machine on the right (Just east oof that) is sitting in the drive of Davis City Coop and Grainry.


This photo shows the same intersection looking waste from us HWY 69. The post office is off to the left.


## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

## GENERAL INFORMATION

| Location / Title of Project | Replacement of horizontal alignment and intersection signs on unpaved roads |
| :---: | :---: |
| Applicant Clinton Cou | Clinton County Secondary Roads Department |
| Contact Person Todd Kinney | ey Title Clinton County Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | 1900 N 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street |
|  | Clinton, IA 52732 |
| Phone 563-244-0564 | E-Mail tkinney@clintoncounty-ia.gov |
| (Area Code) |  |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxtimes$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount
Total Project Cost
\$ 71,400.40
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 51,400.40

# REQUEST FOR TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS (TSF) PROJECT NARRATIVE 

Location: The project is located throughout the Clinton County Secondary Road system on unpaved roads where there exists horizontal alignment change signs (W1-1, Wl-2, W1-3, W1-4, W1-5 and W1-6) and intersection warning signs (W1-7).

Existing Conditions: The existing Clinton County roadway signage is in the process of being inventoried via a GPS software inventory system. The existing sign retro-reflectivity is in a varied state of degradation. In order to comply with the FHWA retro-reflectivity requirements, Clinton County has implemented a sign replacement policy (see attached policy) that implements the "Expected Sign Life Method" of compliance. All signs in the county will be inventoried by January 2012. Those signs older than the specified warranty period will be scheduled for replacement. Signs scheduled for replacement will be replaced on or before January 2015.

According to information produced by the IDOT in the "Iowa Comprehensive Highway Safety Plan, One Death is Too Many", $21 \%$ of all fatal and severe injury single vehicle run off the road (ROR) type crashes occur on unpaved public roads. Replacing low retro-reflectivity signs with new higher grade (fluorescent) sheeting signs will give the traveling public better advanced warning of horizontal alignment changes in the roadway and of intersections.

The paved road system warning signage was upgraded in conjunction with the High Risk Rural Roads Phase 1 sign replacement program.

Proposed Improvements: The improvements proposed for this traffic signage project are to replace all of the horizontal alignment change and intersection warning signs located on unpaved roads in Clinton County. The replacement sign sheeting will be Diamond Grade Cubed (fluorescent) sheeting (12-year warranty).

## TIME SCHEDULE

Clinton County proposes to begin installing the signs as soon as they can be delivered from the supplier. The sign installation will be completed by our sign crew. The installation of the new signs should be complete in approximately one year after delivery.
Clinton County Horizontal Alignment and Intersection Signage Replacement TSF Application

$\$ 51,400.40$
Total 863 Total
Signs 04/18/2010
Signs

# CLINTON COUNTY SECONDARY ROAD DEPARTMENT 

## POLICY AND PROCEDURES

FOR

## TRAFFIC SIGNAGE REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT

SUBJECT: Establish department procedures and policies for traffic sign inspection and replacement in accordance with applicable state and federal requirements.

GENERAL: The Clinton County Board of Supervisors recognizes the fact that traffic signage within the county road right-of-way may be damaged or destroyed outside normal secondary road crew working hours. It is the policy of Clinton County to replace or repair within a reasonable period of time traffic signs that are destroyed or damaged. This policy statement will cover the procedures to be followed in accordance with this objective and establish regular inspection and replacement procedures. This policy will be subject to change in order to comply with periodic revisions to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) as approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Iowa Department of Transportation.

## PROCEDURES:

## A. Replacement of Signs Required Due to Damage or Theft

The Maintenance Superintendent or designated representative will evaluate all reports and claims regarding traffic sign damage or theft.

The evaluation of all such reports and claims shall be conducted as promptly as possible and a determination made by the Maintenance Superintendent or designated representative as to the validity and extent of damage. The following types of signs shall be replaced within 24 hours of verification of damage.

1. Stop Signs and Stop Ahead Signs
2. Horizontal Alignment Warning Signs
3. No Shoulder Signs
4. Advisory Speed and Speed Limit Signs
5. Two-Direction Large Arrow Signs
6. Yield Signs

The replacement or repair of these signs shall be completed under an overtime call-in situation as required to facilitate repair or replacement within 24 hours of verification of damage. If the Maintenance Superintendent determines that the missing sign is an immediate hazard to the traveling public he/she may install temporary signage before calling Secondary Road Department personnel to permanently repair or replace the sign. Repair or replacement within 24 hours is subject to manpower, equipment and material availability. If conditions exist where these signs cannot be replaced or repaired within 24 hours temporary signage shall be installed until permanent repairs can be completed.

Other types of signs damaged that will not normally be replaced or repaired until normal Secondary Road Department working hours include: 911 address signs, no passing signs, crossing signs, street and avenue signs, warning signs not previously listed, and various other traffic signs used throughout the county not previously listed in items 1-6. The Maintenance Superintendent or designated representative may call Secondary Road Department personnel in under an overtime situation to repair or replace a sign not listed in items 1 through 6 at his/her discretion.

## B. Replacement of Signs in Accordance with Minimum Retro-reflectivity Requirements

In order to comply with the FHWA minimum retro-reflectivity levels as adopted by the MUTCD 2003 Revisions 1 and 2, Clinton County will implement the Expected Sign Life Management Method for maintaining sign retro-reflectivity. This method is an approved method by the FHWA for maintaining sign retro-reflectivity. The Expected Sign Life Method requires monitoring the age of signs and that signs are replaced before they reach their expected sign life age. The expected sign life is based on the experience of sign retro-reflectivity degradation in a geographic area compared to minimum levels. Clinton County will use the warranty period given by the sign manufacturer of each type of sign sheeting as the expected sign life.

1. All applicable signs will be inventoried on or before January 2012. Those signs identified with service periods greater than the warranty period will be replaced on or before January 2015. After January 2015, signs will be replaced before their warranty period has expired.
2. Street and avenue signs older than their applicable warranty period will be identified and replaced on or before January 2018.
3. Visual inspections will also be conducted to evaluate sign positioning, cleanliness, legibility and overall general condition.

MINIMUM MAINTAINED RETROREFLECTIVITY LEVELS

| Sign <br> Color | Sheeting <br> Type | Required Retro- <br> reflectivity | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White on Green | Prismatic | $\mathrm{W}=120 \mathrm{G}=15$ | Ground Mounted |
| Black on Orange | Prismatic | $\mathrm{Y}=50 \mathrm{O}=50$ | For text and symbols $>48$ in and <br> all bold symbols <br> For text and symbols $<48$ in |
| Black on Yellow | Prismatic | $\mathrm{Y}=75 \mathrm{O}=75$ | Min sign contrast $>3: 1(\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{R})$ |
| White on Red | Prismatic | $\mathrm{W}=35 \mathrm{R}=7$ | None |
| Black on White | Prismatic | $\mathrm{W}=50$ |  |

$\mathrm{W}=$ white sheeting, $\mathrm{G}=$ green sheeting, $\mathrm{Y}=$ yellow sheeting, $\mathrm{O}=$ orange sheeting Minimum contrast ratio $=3: 1$ (white reflectivity reading divided by red retro-reflectivity) Units are $\mathrm{cd} / \mathrm{lx} / \mathrm{m} 2$ measured at an observation angle of 0.2 and entrance angle of -4.0 .
4. The following signs are excluded from minimum retroreflectivity requirements: parking, standing and stopping signs (R7-R8 series), walking/hitchhiking/crossing signs (R9 series
and R10-1 thru R10-4b), Adopt-A-Highway signs and all signs with blue or brown backgrounds.
5. Signs located on paved roadways shall be replaced with "Diamond Grade" reflective sheeting (VIP-fluorescent) signs. Warranty period specified by the manufacturer is 12 years.
6. Signs located on non-paved roadways (including all street and avenue signs) shall be replaced with "High Intensity Grade" reflective sheeting signs. Warranty period specified by the manufacturer is 10 -years.
7. Installation and location of signs shall be in accordance with the current version of the "Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices" (Chapter 2A) as approved by the Iowa Department of Transportation.

## APPROVED BY THE CLINTON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

## GENERAL INFORMATION

Location / Title of Project Warning Sign Replacement on E-41 and R-27

| Applicant | Boone County Secondary Roads |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Scott H. Kruse | Title Assistant County Engineer |

Complete Mailing Address Courthouse, 201 State Street
Boone, IA 50036

Phone 515-433-0530
E-Mail scottk@boonecounty.iowa.gov (Area Code)

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:
Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount
Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 2,792.50
\$ 2,792.50

# Iowa Traffic Safety Funds Application 

Boone County Roads E-41, and R-27

## Replacement of Warning Signs

Narrative

Boone County Roads E-41 and R-27 have segments of roadway that are deserving of lowa Traffic Safety Funds for the replacement of warning signs that queue the driver of upcoming geometric changes in the roadway. These segments can be seen on the attached map of Boone County.

The Segments of E-41 are all $22^{\prime}$ wide pavement with a minimum $6^{\prime}$ earth shoulder and 3:1 foreslopes. These segments have traffic volumes ranging from 1680 VPD to 4080 VPD.

The segments of R-27 are all $22^{\prime}$ wide pavement with varying shoulder widths. From E-57 north to E-52 the roadway has $6^{\prime}$ shoulders and $3: 1$ foreslopes. From E-52 north to Hwy 30 the shoulders are $3^{\prime}$ wide with 2.5:1 foreslopes. Traffic Volumes on this route range from 530 VPD to 890 VPD.

In 1985 Boone County conducted a county-wide roadway signing update. Throughout the county faded and non-reflective signs were replaced. A sign inventory was performed and entered into a computer. The roads in this application were included in that activity. Since that time routine sign maintenance, inspection, and upgrades have been conducted. All sign data is now integrated into our GIS system with a program developed in house, to manage our sign inventory.

Boone County met with Robert Sperry with the Institute for Transportation at lowa State. In conversation he advised Boone County to apply for funding to replace the warning signs that aid motorists in reacting to the geometric changes in the roadway. In order to keep uniformity of signage on these routes Boone County is proposing to replace all warning signs.

Boone County is requesting funding for all or a portion of the signs shown on the quote.

Iowa Traffic Safety Funds Application
Boone County Roads E-41, and R-27
Replacement of Warning Signs
Itemized Breakdown of Costs

Boone County has attached the Quote for sign materials from lowa Prison Industries. The costs break down for each segment of roadway is also attached. Below is a listing of costs broken down by general category of signs inside the warning signs.

Cost For Deer Crossing Signs - \$144.20

Cost For No Passing Signs - \$1,034.80
Cost For Curve Signs and Speed Plates -- \$1,613.50

Total Cost for all Signs - \$2,792.50
The cost of materials purchased for replacement of obsolete traffic control devices shall comply with the applicable warrants in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) adopted in rule 761-130.1(321) of the lowa Administrative Code.
TSIP Eligible Sign Quote from IPI

Quoting regular yellow Diumond Grade
(not fluorescent yellow)
1OWA PRISON INDUSTRIES
400 North High Street
An Box 430
Anasa, IA 5220500430


## Sign Listing Eligible for Traffic Safety Improvement Program

| Route Number | MUTCD Code | Description | Qty | Unit Price |  | Total Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W7-3A | Next 4 Miles | 2 | \$ | 23.40 | \$ | 46.80 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W11-3 | Deer Crossing | 2 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 97.40 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W14-3 | No Passing Zone | 12 | \$ | 39.80 | \$ | 477.60 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W1-4R | Reverse Curve Rt | 4 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 194.80 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W1-5R | Winding Rd. Rt. | 2 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 97.40 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W1-5L | Winding Rd. Lt. | 2 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 97.40 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W1-2L | Curve Lt. | 1 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 48.70 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W1-2R | Curve Rt. | 2 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 97.40 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W13-1 | Advisory Spd Plt. 45 mph | 6 | \$ | 17.60 | \$ | 105.60 |
| E-41 Ogden to Boone | W13-1 | Advisory Spd Plt. 35 mph | 3 | \$ | 17.60 | \$ | 52.80 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total |  | \$ | 1,315.90 |
| E41 Boone to HWY 17 | W14-3 | No Passing Zone | 2 | \$ | 39.80 | \$ | 79.60 |
| E41 Boone to HWY 17 | W1-2R | Curve Rt. | 2 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 97.40 |
| E41 Boone to HWY 17 | W1-2L | Curve Lt. | 2 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 97.40 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total |  | \$ | 274.40 |
| R-27 US Hwy 30 to E-57 | W3-1 | Stop Ahead (Message) | 2 | \$ | 70.10 | \$ | 140.20 |
| R-27 US Hwy 30 to E-57 | W14-3 | No Passing Zone | 12 | \$ | 39.80 | \$ | 477.60 |
| R-27 US Hwy 30 to E-57 | W1-4L | Reverse Curve Lt. | 4 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 194.80 |
| R-27 US Hwy 30 to E-57 | W1-4R | Reverse Curve Rt | 2 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 97.40 |
| R-27 US Hwy 30 to E-57 | W1-2L | Curve Lt. | 3 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 146.10 |
| R-27 US Hwy 30 to E-57 | W1-2R | Curve Rt. | 3 | \$ | 48.70 | \$ | 146.10 |

Total Signs 68 @ $\$ 2,792.50$

Iowa Traffic Safety Funds Application
Boone County Roads E-41, and R-27
Replacement of Warning Signs
Time Schedule

If the Office of Traffic and Safety reviews the application and appropriates funds to Boone County, the signs would be ordered and installed as quickly as possible.
ITEME


# Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS 

GENERAL INFORMATION
Location / Title of Project Guthrie County F65 Sign Upgrade Project
Applicant Guthrie County, IA Road Department
Contact Person Josh Sebern Title Engineer
Complete Mailing Address $\quad 2211-215^{\text {th }}$ Street, Guthrie Center, IA 50115

Phone $\frac{641-747-2274}{\text { (Area Code) }}$
E-Mail engr39@netins.net

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) N/A
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title
Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\square$ E-Mail $\qquad$

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

## Funding Amount

Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ $8,430.50$

Safety Funds Requested

$$
\$ \quad 8,430.50
$$

# F65 Sign Upgrade Project Guthrie County, IA 

## NARRATIVE


#### Abstract

All of Guthrie County's signs along F65 have deteriorated in condition, and along with the changing retro-reflectivity standards are overdue for replacement. This paved road is our most heavily traveled road with ADT ranging from 820 on the west end to 1890 on the east section. The highway design dates back to the 30 's and is the old highway 6 which the county took over in 2004. According to our sign inventory, we have 192 signs that we would like to upgrade to the new florescent standard. A listing of number and type of signs is included in Section C of this application. This replacement would provide compliance with the new standard, and provide EXCELLENT reflectivity to the motorists of the County.


The safety benefits of using these high visibility florescent signs will be realized after installation by demanding the motorist's attention and increasing their awareness to upcoming hazards. It will also allow us to get started on the conversion path dictated by the federal MUTCD timeline.

Guthrie County Road Department 2211 215th Street, Guthrie Center, IA 50115

| sign type | number of signs |  | Description | unit price | sign cost |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| W1-2L | 6 | Left Curve | $\$ 52.90$ | $\$ 317.40$ |  |
| W1-2R | 7 | Right Curve | $\$ 52.90$ | $\$ 370.30$ |  |
| W1-4L | 5 | Left Curve | $\$ 52.90$ | $\$ 264.50$ |  |
| W1-4R | 1 | Right Curve | $\$ 52.90$ | $\$ 52.90$ |  |
| W14-3 | 38 | No Passing | $\$ 42.80$ | $\$ 1,626.40$ |  |
| W1-7 | 7 | Double Arrow | $\$ 67.60$ | $\$ 473.20$ |  |
| S1-1 | 2 | School Designation | $\$ 52.90$ | $\$ 105.80$ |  |
| S3-1 | 2 | School Bus Stop Ahead | $\$ 58.20$ | $\$ 116.40$ |  |
| H-1L | 34 | Object Marker | $\$ 25.40$ | $\$ 863.60$ |  |
| H-1R | 34 | Object Marker | $\$ 25.40$ | $\$ 863.60$ |  |
| W1-8 | 46 | Chevron | $\$ 1.90$ | $\$ 2,847.40$ |  |
| W3-1 | 2 | Stop Ahead | $\$ 52.90$ | $\$ 105.80$ |  |
| W13-1 | 6 | Specify Speed | $\$ 52.90$ | $\$ 317.40$ |  |
| W8-5 | 2 | Slippery Road | $\$ 2.90$ | $\$ 105.80$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total sign cost | $\$ 8,430.50$ |

# F65 Sign Update Project <br> Guthrie County Road Department <br> Time Schedule 

This project would include replacing 192 signs along F65.
It is expected to take our sign man a total of 10 days once we have the signs.
We would start ASAP but definitely need to have the work done prior to November 1, 2010.







Guthrie County F65 Sign Upgrade Guthrie County Road Department

There are no signals proposed along this route.
$\qquad$ Prepared by: $\qquad$ Date Prepared: $\qquad$ Jun 10, 2010

Location: F65 Sign Upgrade - Traffic Control Device Application

## Improvement

Proposed Improvement(s):
Sign Upgrade along F65 throughout Guthrie County

| \$ | 8,431 | Estimated Improvement Cost, EC |  | 6 | Est. Improvement Life, years, Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | - | Other Annual Cost (after initial year), AC |  | 7 | Crash Reduction Factor (integer), CRF |
| \$ | - | Present Value Other Annual Costs, OC |  | 4.0\% | Discount Rate, INT |
|  |  | $O C=\frac{A C}{I N T}\left(1-\frac{1}{(1+I N T)^{Y}}\right)$ | \$ | 8,431 | Present Value All Costs, $\operatorname{cosT}=E C+O C$ |

Traffic Volume Data

Source: $\quad$| 2008 IDOT Traffic Study |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Two-way |  |
| Length (mi.) | veh/day Description |  |
| 21.50 | 1,355 | From Adair East to County Lin |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 20.50 | miles total |  |

4.0\% Projected Traffic Growth (0\%-10\%), G
2008 Date of traffic count

29,133 Current Vehicle Miles / Day, VM
36,862 End of Life Veh. Miles / Day
10,633,363 Current Veh. Miles / Year, AM
70,530,833 Total Projected Veh. Miles Over Life of Project, TVMT

$$
T V M T=\frac{A M}{-G}\left(1-\left(\frac{1+G}{1}\right)^{Y}\right)
$$

## Crash Data

2004 First full year --> $\qquad$ Last full year
5.0 years, Time Period, T
0 Additional months
$\qquad$ Fatal Crashes
 Fatalities @ values as of Dec. 2007

| $\$ 3,500,000$ | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 240,000$ | $\$$ |
| $\$ 48,000$ | $\$$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ | $\$$ |
| $\$ 2,700$ | $\$$ |

$\qquad$ Property Damage Only

Total Crashes, TA
ned per crash)
Total \$ Loss, LOSS \$ 1,183,700

$$
\begin{array}{lrl} 
& 2.20 & \text { Current Crashes } / \text { Year, AA = TA / T } \\
\$ 107,609 & \text { Cost per Crash, AVCR }=\text { LOSS / TA } \\
& 14.6 & \text { Total Expected Crashes, TCR }=\text { CR } \times \text { TVMT/10^8 } \\
& 0.15 & \text { Crashes Avoided First Year AAR = AA } \times \text { CRF / } 100 \\
\$ 16,572 & \text { Crash Costs Avoided in First Year, AAR x AVCR } \\
\text { 1.0 } & \text { Total Avoided Crashes, TCR } \times \text { CRF / } 100
\end{array}
$$

20.7 Crashes / HMVM, Crash Rate, CR $C R=T A \times 10^{\wedge} 8 /(A M \times T)$
$\$ \quad 95,607$ Present Value of Avoided Crashes, BENEFIT

$$
B E N .=\frac{A V C R \times A A R}{(I N T-G)}\left(1-\left(\frac{1+G}{1+I N T}\right)^{Y}\right)
$$

# Iowa Department of Transportation Request for Traffic Safety Funds 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

## Location/Title of Project:

## Applicant:

Contact Person:
Title:
Complete Mailing Address:

Upgrade Signs / Add Beacons at the intersection of NW $121^{\text {st }}$ Street and Highway 141

County of POLK
Kurt D. Bailey, P.E.
County Engineer
5885 N.E. 14th Street
Des Moines, IA 50313

Daytime Telephone: (515) 286-3705 Fax Number: (515) 286-3437

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate the contact person(s), mailing address(es), and telephone number(s) of the additional highway authority.

Mr. Tony Gustafson
Asst. District 1 Engineer
Iowa Department of Transportation 1020 S. $4^{\text {th }}$ Street, Ames, IA 50010

515-239-1430
tony.gustafson@dot.iowa.gov

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Nature of Application:
Site Specific

- Traffic Control Device

Safety Study

| Funding: | Total Cost of the Proposed Project | $\$ 55,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Safety Funds Requested for the Project | $\$ 55,000$ |

## NARRATIVE

The Polk County Secondary Road system is constantly subjected to increasing traffic volumes due to the population growth and economic expansion occurring in the Des Moines metropolitan area. The rapid traffic growth in the northern and northwestern sections of the Metro area, and shift of job opportunities to the metro area, has resulted in increased traffic at the intersection of Iowa Highway 141 and NW 121 Street in Polk County. These high traffic levels, the configuration of the intersection, and the apparent difficulty motorists seem to have with at-grade intersections on 4-lane expressways, has resulted in several accidents over the last five years, with high severity.

The Polk County Public Works Department regularly monitors traffic accident trends and changing conditions for possible improvements if sufficiently justified. This location is one we believe is worthy of consideration for improvements. This intersection is located about 1.5 miles east of the Hwy $17 / \mathrm{Hwy} 141$ Interchange, and $1 / 2$ mile west of the Hwy $415 / \mathrm{Hwy} 141$ Interchange in Jefferson Township. This section of Hwy 141 is a four-lane roadway with a Principal Arterial federal functional classification, and a posted speed limit of 65 mph . This route serves as a major north-south corridor between Des Moines and the Grimes, Granger, and NW Polk County area, including the Interchange with $1-35 / 80$.

Although turn lanes have been added to this intersection, as well as oversized approach signing and Stop signs with red flags on NW 121 Street, we are still experiencing several failure to yield accidents at this intersection.

NW 121 Street is a 24' wide HMA roadway with the stop conditions at Hwy 141 has Major and Minor Collector federal functional classifications. NW 121 Street is the main access road to Jester Park and the Jester Park Golf Course, as well as the north side of the City of Grimes. Due to the residential growth that has occurred over the past 5 years, NW 121 Street especially north of Hwy 141, has become a significant commuter route to access Hwy 141.

As you can see on the Section G plan sheet, we propose to install yellow flashing beacons on Hwy 141 and red flashing beacons on NW 121 St. to add additional warning to motorists, especially those on Hwy 141, that traffic may be entering Hwy 141 unexpectedly. We believe the addition of these beacons will not only reduce the number of accidents, but also reduce the severity of the accidents. A detailed cost estimate can be found in Section "C".

The Polk County Public Works Department thanks the Iowa Department of Transportation for their consideration of this project.

PROJECT TIME SCHEDULE Proposed N.W. 121st Street / Highway 141 Advanced Signing with Solar Powered Beacons IOWA DOT TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDING APPLICATION

| PROJECT PHASE | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (YEARS 2011-2012) | J A N | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{Y} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | J U N | J U L | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & U \\ & \text { G } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{Y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { G } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline S \\ & E \\ & P \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | N O V | D |
| IDOT Funding Approval/Agreement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Design and Project Approvals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bid Letting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Closeout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Figure 1 Northbound IA Hwy 141 Approaching Intersection.


Figure 2 Southbound IA Hwy 141 Approaching Intersection.


Figure 3 Northbound NW 121 Street Approaching Intersection.


Figure 4 Southbound NW 121 Street Approaching Intersection


# TRAFFIC VOLUME INFORMATION <br> NW 121ST ST/ HWY 141 <br> NODE: 31-6025 



ALL TRAFFIC COUNTS
I.D.OT. 2008 ANNUAL

AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC MAPS

TRAFFIC COUNTS AT INTERSECTION of NW 121st St/ HWY 141


## ACCIDENT HIST

NW 121 Street and Hwy 141

Revised: 6/3/10

| Acc. <br> No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Node } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Date of Accident | Type of Accident | Type of Injury |  | roperty <br> amage | Accident Description |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 31-6025 | 6/21/2005 | PDO |  | \$ | 1,500 | Failure to Stop Safe/Sure Distance |  |
| 2 | 31-6025 | 10/19/2005 | PI | 1 possible | \$ | 7,500 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 3 | 31-6025 | 10/3/2006 | PI | 2 possible | \$ | 13,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 4 | 31-6025 | 12/25/2006 | PI | 1 Fatality 1 Major 1 Possible | \$ | 10,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 5 | 31-6025 | 5/28/2007 | PI | 1 minor | \$ | 14,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 6 | 31-6025 | 3/26/2008 | Pl | 1 possible | \$ | 20,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 7 | 31-6025 | 5/7/2008 | PDO |  | \$ | 10,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 8 | 31-6025 | 12/13/2008 | PI | 1 minor | \$ | 20,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 9 | 31-6025 | 1/23/2009 | PI | 1 minor | \$ | 14,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 10 | 31-6025 | 4/13/2010 | PI | 1 minor | \$ | 9,000 | Failure to Yield ROW From Stop |  |
| 10 |  |  |  | 1 fatality <br> 1 major <br> minor <br> possible | \$ | 119,000 | Total Property Damage |  |

SUMMARY


TOTAL DAMAGE \$ 4,151,000

## Iowa DOT Office of Traffic \& Safety

County:
Polk
Prepared by: $\qquad$ Date Prepared: $\qquad$ Jun 4, 2010

Intersection: NW 121 St. and Hwy 141
Improvement
Proposed Improvement(s): Improve Signs and Add Beacons

| $\$$ | 55,000 | Estimated Improvement Cost, EC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 200 | Other Annual Cost (after initial year), AC |

## Traffic Volume Data

Source: Polk $\qquad$ Date of traffic count

Daily Entering Vehicles by Approach (or AADT / 2)

2.0\% Projected Traffic Growth (0\%-10\%), G

16,775 Current Daily Entering Vehicles, DEV

6,122,875 Current Annual Entering Veh., AEV = DEV * 365
19,655 veh / day, Final Year DEV, FDEV
52.55 MEV, Total Million Entering Veh. Over life of Project, TMEV
TMEV $=\frac{A E V}{-G}\left(1-\left(\frac{1+G}{1}\right)^{r}\right) / 10^{6}$

## Crash Data

| 2005 | First full year --> | 2009 | Last full year 5.0 | 5.0 years, Time Period, T |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional months |  | values as of Dec. 2007 |  |  |  |
| 1 | Fatal Crashes |  | 1 Fatalities @ | \$3,500,000 | \$ | 3,500,000 |
|  |  |  | 1 Major Injuries @ | \$240,000 | \$ | 240,000 |
| 7 | Injury Crashes |  | 4 Minor Injuries @ | \$48,000 | \$ | 192,000 |
|  |  |  | 4 Possible Injuries @ | \$25,000 | \$ | 100,000 |
| 2 | Property Damage Only |  | (assumed cost per crash) | \$2,700 | \$ | - |
|  |  |  | -OR- enter all Property Costs of all crashes: |  | \$ | 119,000 |
| 10 | Total Crashes, TA |  | Total \$ Loss, LOSS |  | \$ | 4,151,000 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2.00 Current Crashes } / \text { Year, } A A=T A / T \\
& \text { \$ 415,100 Cost per Crash, AVC = LOSS / TA } \\
& \text { 17.2 Total Expected Crashes, TECR }=C R \times \text { TMEV } \\
& \text { 1.04 Crashes Avoided First Year AAR }=\text { AA } \times \text { CRF / } 100 \\
& \text { \$ 431,704 Crash Costs Avoided in First Year, AAR x AVC } \\
& \text { 8.9 Total Avoided Crashes, TECR x CRF/ } 100 \\
& \text { 0.33 Crashes / MEV, Crash Rate, CR } \\
& C R=T A \times 10^{\wedge} 6 /(D E V \times 365 \times T) \\
& \text { Present Value of Avoided } \\
& \text { Crashes, BENEFIT }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Benefit / Cost Ratio

$$
\text { Benefit : Cost }=\$ 3,105,678: \$ 56,347 \quad=\mathbf{5 5 . 1 2}: 1
$$

# lowa Department of Transportation Request for Traffic Safety Funds 

GENERAL INFORMATION<br>Location/Title of Project: $\quad$ Traffic Signalization at Intersection of N.E. $56^{\text {th }}$<br>Street and N.E. Oak Hill Drive<br>County of POLK<br>Kurt D. Bailey, P.E.<br>Title:<br>Polk County Engineer<br>Complete Mailing Address: 5885 N.E. 14th Street<br>Des Moines, IA 50313

Daytime Telephone: (515) 286-3705 Fax Number: (515) 286-3437

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate the contact person(s), mailing address(es), and telephone number(s) of the additional highway authority.

Not Applicable

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Nature of Application:
Site Specific
$\checkmark \quad$ Traffic Control Device
Safety Study
Funding: Total Cost of the Proposed Project $\$ 200,000$
Safety Funds Requested for the Project $\$ 85,000$

## NARRATIVE

The Polk County Public Works Department is aware of the need to maintain safe roadways for the traveling public in Polk County. In an effort to keep the facilities as safe as possible, the Engineering Division monitors accident and traffic trends. Road surface upgrades, bridge replacements, and intersection improvements are all part of the County's annual Capital Improvement Program. Existing safety measures such as signs, overhead lights, and traffic signals are field checked and upgraded on an annual basis.

One location of concern in Polk County is the intersection of N.E. $56^{\text {th }}$ Street and N.E. Oak Hill Drive. N.E. $56^{\text {th }}$ Street from $8^{\text {th }}$ St SE in Altoona to Hwy 163 was recently widened and reconstructed which included the addition of right and left turn lanes at the intersection of NE Oak Hill Drive in 2008. These improvements were essentially completed in May of 2008. NE 56 St. is classified as a "Minor Arterial" on the Urban Federal Functional Classification System.
N.E. Oak Hill Drive is a 24 ' wide asphalt surfaced roadway with the approaches to NE 56 St. overlayed in 2008. The roadway is classified as a "Collector" on the Urban Federal Functional Classification System.

This intersection lies $1 / 4$ mile south of the corporate limits of the City of Des Moines and approximately $1 / 4$ mile north of the corporate limits of the City of Pleasant Hill. N.E. $56^{\text {th }}$ Street to the north in Altoona has become a commercial and retail hub. The newly opened Bass Pro Shop development on NE 56 St. in Altoona will also increase the traffic on this roadway. The areas along N.E. $56^{\text {th }}$ Street to the south in Pleasant hill are also commercial in nature.

Access to the Primary Roadway System is within close vicinity to the intersection with Hubbell Avenue located two miles to the west (US Hwy 6) and $11 / 2$ miles to the north (US Hwy 65). University Avenue (IA Hwy 163) is also located 1 mile to the south of this intersection. N.E. $56^{\text {th }}$ Street and N.E. Oak Hill Drive are major routes utilized by numerous commuters from Altoona, Pleasant Hill and S.E. Polk County to serve the northeast portion of the Des Moines metropolitan area as alternates to Interstate 80, US Hwy 65, and University Avenue.

Traffic volumes have increased between 100-200\% on these roadways since 2000 and are expected to continue increasing at a rapid rate as Altoona, Pleasant Hill, and S.E. Polk County continue to grow. Please refer to Section H for the latest traffic count.

Accidents occurring at this intersection involve mostly vehicles on NE Oak Hill Drive failing to yield to traffic on NE 56 St . This is attributed to the high volume on traffic on NE 56 St . as well as the angle of intersection of these two roadways. Please refer to Section K for detailed accident information including copies of the available accident reports. The posted speed limit on N.E. $56^{\text {th }}$ Street is 45 MPH and the posted speed on N.E. Oak Hill Drive is 35 MPH in the vicinity of the intersection.

Polk County proposes to add traffic signals to this intersection in an effort to reduce the potential for, and reduce the severity of, future accidents. Please refer to Section G for a plan showing the proposed signal design.

With these improvements, it can be seen that the potential reduction in number and severity of accidents to the traveling public would justify the amount of funding for which we have requested with this application. Polk County thanks the lowa Department of Transportation for their consideration of this project.

| ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE <br> NE 56 STREET AND NE OAK HILL DRIVE TRAFFIC SIGNALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LINE } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | ITEM DESCRIPTION | UNIT | QUANTITY | UNIT PRICE |  | TAL COST |
| 1 | REMOVAL OF SIGNS | EACH | 2.00 | 250.00 | \$ | 500.00 |
| 2 | TRAFFIC SIGNALIZATION | LS | 1.00 | \$ 190,000.00 |  | 190,000.00 |
| 3 | CONSTRUCTION SURVEY | LS | 1.00 | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| 4 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS | 1.00 | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
| 5 | MOBILIZATION | LS | 1.00 | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL CO | ONSTRUCTION | \$ | 200,000.00 |
| Less Proposed TSF Funding (42.5\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 85,000 |
| Polk County Share (57.5\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 115,000 |

# PROPOSED PROJECT TIME SCHEDULE 

| IDOT Agreement Approval: | February, 2011 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Check Plan Submittal: | March 1, 2011 |
| Final Plan Submittal: | March 22, 2011 |
| Bid Letting: | June 21, 2011 |
| Construction Completion: | October 2011 |



## F



Figure 1 NE 56th Street; looking North at NE Oak Hill Dr intersection.


Figure 2 NE 56th Street; looking South at NE Oak Hill Dr intersection.


Figure 3 NE Oak Hill Dr; looking East at NE 56 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection.


Figure 4 NE Oak Hill Dr; looking West at NE $56^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection.
$\widetilde{0}$


## TRAFFIC VOLUME INFORMATION

 NE 56TH ST/ NE OAK HILL DRIVE NODE: 23-1965

TRAFFIC COUNTS AT INTERSECTION of NE 56th Street/ $_{62}$ NE Oak Hill Drive

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL INFORMATION

The proposed traffic signals at this intersection are to be designed by a consulting engineer to conform to the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways and the Iowa Department of Transportation. The following is an approximate summary of the type of major traffic signal equipment or components to be used in the design.
(1) One, fully actuated traffic signal controller, with cabinet and accessories and capable of future interconnect if warranted.
(1) Solid state, digital, single channel or appropriate number of two-channel inductive loop type vehicle detector units capable of operating in the presence and impulse modes. Units to be provided with delay and extension timing. The delay shall be inhibited during the associated green phase unless otherwise indicated on the detector summary. The utilization of twochannel detector units is encouraged for the project.
(1) One-way, three section, adjustable polycarbonate traffic signals, with 12-inch lenses of appropriate color, provided with tunnel visors and backplates. Signals are to be mast arm mounted utilizing a universally adjustable mast arm mount traffic signal bracket and/or side of pole mounted.
(B) All signals will have LED traffic signal lamps.
(1) Appropriate A.W.G. cables, wires and conductors in appropriate conduits will be specified.
(1) Steel signal poles designed and equipped to support a straight cantilever type mast arm with signals at the designed lengths will be specified.
(1) Appropriate traffic signs to be specified. Traffic signs to be mast arm mounted.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

ACCIDENT HISTORY - NE 56 St. and NE Oak Hill Drive


[^0]TOTAL DAMAGE \$ 310,900

## Iowa DOT Office of Traffic \& Safety

County:
Polk
Prepared by $\qquad$ Date Prepared: $\qquad$ Jun 4, 2010

Intersection: NE 56 St. and NE Oak Hill Dr.

## Improvement

Proposed Improvement(s): Add Traffic Signals

| \$ | 85,000 | Estimated Improvement Cost, EC |  | 15 | Est. Improvement Life, years, $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 200 | Other Annual Cost (after initial year), AC |  | 20 | Crash Reduction Factor (integer), CRF |
| \$ | 2,224 | Present Value Other Annual Costs, OC |  | 4.0\% | Discount Rate (time value of \$), INT |
|  |  | $O C=\frac{A C}{I N T}\left(1-\frac{1}{(1+I N T)^{Y}}\right)$ | \$ | 87,224 | Present Value Cost, COST $=\mathrm{EC}+\mathrm{OC}$ |

Traffic Volume Data
Source: Polk
2009 Date of traffic count

Daily Entering Vehicles by Approach (or AADT / 2)


3,669,345 Current Annual Entering Veh., AEV = DEV * 365
13,530 veh / day, Final Year DEV, FDEV
63.46 MEV, Total Million Entering Veh. Over life of Project, TMEV
2.0\% Projected Traffic Growth (0\%-10\%), G

10,053 Current Daily Entering Vehicles, DEV

$$
T M E V=\frac{A E V}{-G}\left(1-\left(\frac{1+G}{1}\right)^{r}\right) / 10^{6}
$$

## Crash Data



## Benefit / Cost Ratio

$$
\text { Benefit : Cost }=\$ 157,121: \$ 87,224 \quad=1.80: 1
$$

# Iowa Department of Transportation Request for Traffic Safety Funds 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

## Location/Title of Project:

## Applicant:

Contact Person:
Title:
Complete Mailing Address:

Daytime Telephone:

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate the contact person(s), mailing address(es), and telephone number(s) of the additional highway authority.

Mr. Tony Gustafson
Asst. District 1 Engineer
Iowa Department of Transportation
1020 S. $4^{\text {th }}$ Street, Ames, IA 50010
515-239-1430
Tony.Gustafson@dot.iowa.gov
PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Nature of Application:
Site Specific
$\checkmark \quad$ Traffic Control Device
Safety Study
Funding: Total Cost of the Proposed Project \$26,000
Safety Funds Requested for the Project $\$ 26,000$

## NARRATIVE

The Polk County Secondary Road system is constantly subjected to increasing traffic volumes due to the population growth and economic expansion occurring in the Des Moines metropolitan area. The expansion of residential construction, especially in the Ankeny area near this intersection, has increased congestion and several accidents over the last five years.

This intersection is located approximately 2 miles north of Interstate $35 / 80$ and approximately 1.5 miles south of Oralabor Road in Ankeny. NW $66^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is classified as a minor arterial roadway and is an important east-west route connecting NE $14^{\text {th }}$ Street and NW $6^{\text {th }}$ Drive to Highway 415.

The Polk County Public Works Department regularly monitors traffic accident trends and changing conditions for possible improvements if sufficiently justified. One location we believe is worthy of consideration for improvements is at the Highway 415 (NW 2nd Ave) SB Exit Ramp intersection at NW $66^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. In April of 2009, a fatality occurred at this location which caused both Polk County and the Iowa DOT Dist 1 staff to review the sight distance from the SB Ramp stop condition and discovered it only met 20 mph as signed and painted. A speed study completed on March 19, 2010, indicated the $85^{1 \mathrm{l}^{\prime}} \%$ speed is 45.4 mph , with a recorded maximum of 81.8 mph .

Due to the significant exposure to severe personal injury and property loss accidents, we believe it is appropriate to upgrade the advanced warning signs and add flashing beacons to increase compliance with the posted speed limit due to the limited sight distance intersection from the SB exit ramp termini. Our proposal includes installation of an oversized 25 mph Speed Limit sign with Limited Site Distance warning sign, and a yellow flashing beacon on each approach of NW 66 Ave. to the Hwy 415 ramps as shown in Section G. Solar powered flashing beacons are proposed in an effort to reduce operating and maintenance costs as well as for environmental considerations. Polk County Public Works has discussed this proposal with Iowa DOT District 1 staff and have received support of these improvements from Tony Gustafson, Assistant District 1 Engineer. Details of the proposed project and analysis supporting its worthiness may be found within these application materials.

The Polk County Public Works Department thanks the Iowa Department of Transportation for their consideration of this project.

| ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE <br> Highway 415 Overpass Of NW 66th Avenue - Speed Limit Signing with Solar Powered Flashing Yellow Beacons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { LINE } \\ \text { NO. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ITEM DESCRIPTION | UNIT | QUANTITY | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL COST |  |
| 1 | $36^{n} \times 36^{n} 25 \mathrm{mph}$ Speed Limit Sign, R2-1, w/24" $\times 24^{n}$ "Limited Site Distance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ sign, with Yellow Flashing Solar-Powered Beacons | EA | 2.00 | \$ 8,000.00 | \$ | 16,000.00 |
| 2 | $36^{n} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$ Stop Sign, R1-1, with Red Flashing Solar Powered Beacon | EA | 1.00 | \$ 8,000.00 | \$ | 8,000.00 |
| 3 | Traffic Control | LS | 1.00 | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| 4 | Mobilization | LS | 1.00 | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL CONSTRUCTION |  | \$ | 26,000.00 |

PROJECT TIME SCHEDULE
Proposed Addition of Warning Signs \＆Beacons at intersection of Hwy 415 \＆NW 66th Avenue
IOWA DOT TRAFFIC SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDING APPLICATION

| $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{N}}$ | －ய |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 1 NW 66th Avenue; Looking west at Highway 415 intersection.


Figure 2 Highway 415 NB on-ramp; Looking west.


Figure 3 NW 66th Avenue; Looking east at Highway 415 overpass.


Figure 4 Highway 415 SB on-ramp; Looking east.


Figure 5 Limited Sight Distance from Hwy 415 SB off-ramp; Looking east from Stop Bar, middle of Ramp.


Figure 6 Limited Sight Distance from Hwy 415 SB off-ramp; Looking east from just past Stop Bar, west side of Ramp.




| ACCIDENT HISTORY <br> Period of $1 / 05$ to $12 / 09$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW 66th Avenue at NW 2nd(Hwy 415) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acc. <br> No. | Date of Accident | Type of Accident | Type of Iniury |  | operty <br> mage | Revised: 6/4/10 Accident Description |
| 1 | 4/15/2009 | Pl | 1 Fatality | \$ | 8,500 | 7 PM- WB Motorcycle broadsided car puling onto NW 66 Ave from SB Ramp to go east. |
| 2 | 12/3/2008 | PDO |  | \$ | 5,000 | EB, Turning onto SB on ramp-Following too close |
| 3 | 7/17/2007 | PDO |  | \$ | 1,600 | WB, Turning onto NB on ramp-folling too close -Fail to Maintain Control |
| 4 | 12/29/2005 | Pl | 1 Fatality <br> 1 Major | \$ | 17,000 | WB Pickup Broadsided Semi-trailer crossing 66 th from NB off ramp to go north on NB on ramp |
| 5 | 9/11/2005 | PDO |  | \$ | 5,000 | SB on Off Ramp-pulled out in front of WB Vehicle |
| 6 | 1/21/2005 | PDO |  | \$ | 3,500 | WB ran into back of WB |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Total Accide Speed or Site | related to istance | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \text { fatality } \\ 1 \text { major } \\ 0 \text { minor } \\ 0 \text { possible } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 40,600 | Total Property Damage |


| SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acc. <br> No. |  | B/C Value <br> per Injury <br> Type |  |  |
| 2 | Fatality | $\$ 3,500,000$ |  | TOTAL LOSS |
| 1 | Major Injury | $\$ 240,000$ |  | $7,000,000$ |
| 0 | Minor Injury | $\$ 48,000$ |  | $\$ 240,000$ |
| 0 | Poss. Injury | $\$ 20,000$ |  | - |
|  | Total Property Damage |  |  | $\$$ |
|  | TOTAL LOSS OVER 5-YR PERIOD |  | $\$ \mathbf{7 , 2 8 0 , 6 0 0}$ |  |

County: $\qquad$ Prepared by: $\qquad$ Date Prepared: $\qquad$ Jun 4, 2010 Intersection: NW 66 Ave. and Hwy 415 SB Off Ramp

## Improvement




## Crash Data

$\qquad$ First full year --> $\qquad$ Last full year
Additional months


Fatal Crashes Injury Crashes
$\qquad$ Property Damage Only
5.0 years, Time Period, T values as of Dec. 2007

| $\$ 3,500,000$ | $\$$ | $7,000,000$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 240,000$ | $\$$ | 240,000 |
| $\$ 48,000$ | $\$$ | - |
| $\$ 25,000$ | $\$$ | - |
| $\$ 2,700$ | $\$$ | - |
| o all crashes: | $\$$ | 40,600 |

1.20 Current Crashes $/$ Year, $\mathrm{AA}=\mathrm{TA} / \mathrm{T}$
0.68 Crashes / MEV, Crash Rate, CR $C R=T A \times 10^{\wedge} 6 /(D E V \times 365 \times T)$
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Cost per Crash, AVC = LOSS / TA
Present Value of Avoided
13.1 Total Expected Crashes, TECR $=C R \times$ TMEV
\$ 3,212,402 Crashes, BENEFIT
\$ 364,030 Crash Costs Avoided in First Year, AAR x AVC
3.3 Total Avoided Crashes, TECR x CRF/ 100

$$
B E N .=\frac{A V C \times A A R}{(I N T-G)}\left(1-\left(\frac{1+G}{1+I N T}\right)^{\gamma}\right)
$$

## Benefit / Cost Ratio

$$
\text { Benefit : Cost }=\$ 3,212,402: \$ 27,622 \quad=116.30: 1
$$

## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

GENERAL INFORMATION
Location / Title of Project Signs on Paved Roads, Phase II

| Applicant Webster | Webster County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person Jamie | Jamie Johll | Title Assistant County Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | 703 Central Avenue |  |
|  | Fort Dodge, IA 50501 |  |

Phone $\frac{515-576-3281}{\text { (Area Code) }}$
E-Mail jjohll@webstercountyia.org

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Phone
E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount

| Total Project Cost | $\$ 45,339.20$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety Funds Requested | $\$ \quad 27,099.20$ |

## Narrative

The City of Fort Dodge, Rogers Sports Complex, Fort Dodge Regional Airport, Webster County OHV Park, two major (and numerous smaller) truck lines, four gypsum factories, three ethanol plants, and other many other businesses combine to make Webster County a regional hub for entertainment, commerce and transportation. As a result, the roads in Webster County see higher traffic, and subsequently a higher number of crashes, than any of the surrounding counties.

In 2003, there were 42,643 people killed on roads in the United States. Over 25,000 of these deaths happened when a vehicle left its lane and crashed. Giving drivers the information they need to safely control their vehicles helps keep drivers on the road. A major tool used to accomplish this is retroreflective signing.

In an effort to improve safety on its county roads, the Webster Secondary Roads Department has developed a program to upgrade signs to sizes that are easily readable by an aging population, and to prismatic sheeting material that is highly visible at night. Many of the $6,000+$ signs in Webster County's inventory are old, undersized, fading, in need of repair, or a combination thereof. As part of its sign program, Webster County is applying for this grant to upgrade some of the regulatory and warning signs (stop, stop ahead, no passing zone). The program consists of multiple phases. Phase I upgraded the signs along routes with traffic greater than 1,000 vehicles per day. This phase was completed in 2009. Phase II will upgrade the signs on paved routes under 1,000 vehicles per day. It is Phase II for which we are seeking funding. The upgrade would include replacing existing signs of various sheeting materials with an ASTM Type X or better prismatic sheeting (e.g. 3M brand Diamond Grade DG3), and increasing the size of most signs from 30 inches to 36 inches. Larger, more visible signs are the first step in making Webster County a safer place to live and drive. We hope that you approve this grant so that Webster County can make this step a reality.

## Cost Breakdown

The total cost of this project is estimated to be $\$ 38,106.10$. The signs would be purchased from Iowa Prison Industries. Webster County Secondary Roads would supply the labor and equipment. The breakdown of the costs is as follows:

| Hours | Wage Rate | Total | LABOR <br> Name | Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160 | $\$ 19.68$ | $\$ 3,148.80$ |  |  |
| 160 | $\$ 19.47$ | $\$ 3,115.20$ | Dan Hammersland <br> Chris Burney | Sign Technician <br> Asst. Sign Technician |
|  |  | EQUIPMENT |  |  |

## Time Schedule

This project will take approximately four weeks to complete. If the grant is approved, the signs will be ordered from Iowa Prison Industries. Upon delivery of the signs, anticipated to be Spring/Summer of 2011, Webster County Secondary Roads employees will begin installing the signs. We anticipate the project to be complete by June 30, 2011.

# Webster County, Iowa E Paved Roads with AADT<1,000 VPD 



## Pictures



30" Stop Sign on High Intensity


24" No Passing Zone on High Intensity


30" Stop Ahead on Engineering Grade

## Traffic Volumes

This is the 2007 Average Annual Daily Traffic map of Webster County.


## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

GENERAL INFORMATION
Location / Title of Project Curve Signing, Phase II
Applicant Webster County
Contact Person Jamie Johll Title Assistant County Engineer
Complete Mailing Address 703 Central Avenue
Fort Dodge, IA 50501
Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail jjohll@webstercountyia.org

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Phone
E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount

Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 37,392.00
\$ 10,032.00

## Narrative

In 2003, there were 42,643 people killed on roads in the United States. Over 25,000 of these deaths happened when a vehicle left its lane and crashed. Giving drivers the information they need to safely control their vehicles helps keep drivers on the road. Changes in horizontal and vertical alignment are common causes of vehicles leaving their lane. In order to better prevent these types of lane departures, Webster County is implementing a plan for signing at locations of changes in horizontal alignment i.e. curves. Phase I of the plan consisted of upgrades at locations with existing signs The upgrade included replacing signs of various sheeting materials with an ASTM Type X or better prismatic sheeting (e.g. 3M brand Diamond Grade DG3), and using fluorescent yellow-green background. The size of the signs (chevrons) was increased from 18 "x 24 " to 24 "x 30 ". Phase II of the plan consists of placing signs at locations without existing curve signs. Phase I was completed in 2009. We are seeking funds to complete Phase II. Placing signs at locations of changes in horizontal alignment is a big step in making Webster County a safer place to live and drive. We hope that you approve this grant so that Webster County can make this step a reality.

## Cost Breakdown

The total cost of this project is estimated to be $\$ 37,392.00$. The signs would be purchased from Iowa Prison Industries. Webster County Secondary Roads would supply the labor and equipment. The breakdown of the costs is as follows:

| LABOR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours | Wage Rate | Total | Name <br> Dan | Position |
| 240 | \$19.68 | \$4,723.20 | Hammersland | Sign Technician |
| 240 | \$19.47 | \$4,672.80 | Chris Burney | Asst. Sign Technician |
| EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Hours | Rental Rate | Total | Description |  |
| 240 | \$74.85 | \$17,964.00 | Sign Truck |  |
| MATERIALS |  |  |  |  |
| Qty (avg 6/site) | Unit Price | Total | MUTCD ID | Description |
| 240 | \$41.80 | \$10,032.00 | W1-8 | Chevron |
|  |  | \$37,392.00 | TOTAL |  |

## Time Schedule

This project will take approximately two months to complete. If the grant is approved, the signs will be ordered from Iowa Prison Industries. Upon delivery of the signs, anticipated to be summer of 2011, Webster County Secondary Roads employees will begin installing the signs. We anticipate the project to be complete by October 31, 2011.

## Map

Unsigned curve locations in Webster County


## Pictures



## Traffic Volumes

This is the 2007 Average Annual Daily Traffic map of Webster County.


## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

| GENERAL INFORMATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Location / Title of Project | Montgomery County Chevron Upgrade Project |
| Applicant Montgomery County |  |
| Contact Person Bradley J. Skinner | Skinner Title County Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | P.O. Box 95 |
|  | Red Oak IA. 51566 |
| Phone 712-623-5197 | E-Mail bsmontengr@iowatelecom.net |
| (Area Code) |  |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title $\qquad$
Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Phone
E-Mail $\qquad$ (Area Code)

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount

| Total Project Cost | $\$ 5778.50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety Funds Requested | $\$ 5778.50$ |

## NARRATIVE

Montgomery County's W1-8 Chevron signs have deteriorated in condition, and along with the changing retro-reflectivity standards are overdue for replacement. According to our sign inventory, we have $65 \mathrm{~W} 1-8$ Chevron signs that utilize lower grade sheeting and would like to upgrade these signs to the new florescent standard. This replacement would provide compliance with the new standard, and provide EXCELLENT reflectivity to the motorists of the County.

The safety benefits of using these high visibility W1-8 Chevron signs will be realized after installation by demanding the motorist's attention and increasing their awareness to upcoming hazards. It will also allow us to get started on the conversion path dictated by the federal MUTCD timeline.

howat
Prisom
Whandrives
406 North High Strect
ANANKOSA, IOWA $52205-0430$
Tel. 1-800-336-5863 1-800-741.0390

## Quote

6/14/10

| Montgomery Co Eng |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $406 \mathrm{~W} 4^{\text {th }}$ St |  |
| Red Oak, IA |  |
| $130-$ W1-8 $18 \times 24^{3 \prime}$ aluminum, high intensity prismatic |  |
| Chevron signs | \$15.60 each |
| 65 - Chevron Brackets w/hardware | \$21.10.set |
| $65-10^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime \prime} \times 14$ gauge square posts | \$23.20 each |
| $65-4 \prime \times 2-1 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 12$ gauge square posts | \$13.40 each |

Delivery: 30 days or less ARO
Freight free delivery on sign orders over $\$ 750.00$
Please advise if you wish to proceed with an order.

Var
Tammy Diesourg Administrative Assistant III
Yowa Prison Industries
406 N High St
Anamosa IA 52205
1-800-336-5863 or fax 1-800-741-0390

## MOMTGOMERY COUNTY \#69 W1-8 CHERVONS

## TIME SCHEDULE

Montgomery County proposes to begin erecting the 65 W1-8 chevrons signs as soon as possible, following their delivery. This work would be accomplished with our existing work force and delays could be possible from natural disasters, such as flooding or tornados.

However, our intent is to get the new signs up as quickly as possible to maximize their effectiveness. We should be able to accomplish this within three months after delivery.



# Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

Location / Title of Project $\quad 7^{\text {th }}$ and Laurel Traffic Signal Installation
$\qquad$
Contact Person Michael P. Ring, P.E. Title Principal Traffic Engineer
$\qquad$
Bes Koines, IA 50309

Phone


E-Mail mpring@dmgov.org

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Phone

## E-Mail

$\qquad$ (Area Code)

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:
Application Type
Site Specific
Traffic Control Device
Safety Study


Funding Amount

Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 150,000
\$ 75,000

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

## $7^{\text {th }}$ STREET AND LAUREL STREET TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION

## (TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE CATEGORY)

## Project Description:

The proposed improvement consists of a traffic signal installation at the intersection of $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and Laurel Street, including the Des Moines Area Community College (DMACC) entrance. New mast arm-mounted traffic signals would be installed with poles located outside the 10 -foot clear zone area. Combination poles would be used where possible. Signals with backplates would be installed on all overhead signals, along with pedestrian "countdown" signal indications for all approaches. Detection for fullyactuated operation would be installed. The traffic signals would be interconnected to the existing traffic signals one block south at $7^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. and the $\mathrm{I}-235$ westbound ramp. The total project cost is estimated to be $\$ 150,000$. The cost of the material and equipment, estimated at $\$ 75,000$, is being requested from State Traffic Safety funds.

## Existing Conditions:

The intersection of $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and Laurel is a 4-legged location, with Laurel Street as the east leg, and the entrance to DMACC as the west leg. $7^{\text {th }}$ Street is the north-south street, and is one way, southbound in this area. Laurel Street is the first east-west street north of I-235, and provides a major connection to the Mercy Medical Complex as well as the main entrance to DMACC. The intersection of $7^{\text {th }}$ and Laurel is controlled as a 2way stop, with $7^{\text {th }}$ Street having the right-of-way. $7^{\text {th }}$ Street splits south of University Avenue to form the southbound one-way street to pair with northbound $6^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. $7^{\text {th }}$ Street curves just north of Laurel, so sight distance from Laurel to enter or cross $77^{\text {th }}$ Street is limited.
Staff has worked with DMACC representatives concerning traffic issues at the intersection of $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and Laurel Street, which is the main entrance to the Des Moines Urban Campus of DMACC. Enrollment at this campus has increased dramatically, from less than 2,000 students in 1998 to nearly 7,000 students this year, and continues to increase annually. The main entrance and exit for those students is the intersection of $7^{\text {th }} \&$ Laurel. In addition, a number of the students commute to the campus on DART buses, which requires them to cross $7^{\text {th }}$ Street as pedestrians. Several of those students are confined to wheelchairs, so the crossing is particularly difficult for them.
Traffic counts taken in late April of 2010 show about 8,300 vehicles per day (vpd) on $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, with approximately 3,600 vpd on the east leg of Laurel and $1,700 \mathrm{vpd}$ on the west leg, which is the DMACC entrance. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed on $7^{\text {th }}$ Street approaching the intersection is 31 mph , but due to the curvature of the road on this approach, the vehicles appear to arrive at the intersection very quickly, and it is also somewhat difficult to accurately judge the speed of approaching vehicles. The traffic signal at $6^{\text {th }} \&$ Laurel creates platoons of vehicles arriving at $7^{\text {th }}$, and during the morning and afternoon peak traffic periods, it is difficult for those vehicles to enter or cross $7^{\text {th }}$, resulting in considerable delay for these motorists.
Overall, the crash history at this intersection has not been excessive, with a total of 14 crashes during the four-year period 2006-2009. The crash rate is also below average, with a rate of $0.83 / \mathrm{MEV}$ (million entering vehicles). Seven of the crashes were right
angle crashes. There were also six left-turning crashes between westbound vehicles on Laurel turning left onto $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and eastbound vehicles from the DMACC drive.
Traffic and Transportation staff completed a traffic signal warrant analysis of this intersection, and found that the traffic volumes and patterns did not fully meet any of the individual signal warrants. Warrant 1 is the primary warrant, which requires minimum traffic volumes on both the major street and the side street for a total of at least eight hours on an average day. Laurel Streets meets the minimum side street volume of 150 vehicles per hour for 12 hours, and exceeds that volume by at least $37 \%$ for eight hours. $7^{\text {th }}$ Street meets the minimum major street volume for three of the required hours, but is within $90 \%$ of the minimum volume for eight hours.
Based on (1) the combination of traffic volumes well over minimum values on Laurel and at $90 \%$ or more on $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, (2) the limited sight distance due to the curving approach on $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, (3) the pattern of crashes at the intersection, (4) the long queues and delays on Laurel, and (5) the need to provide improved pedestrian crossing opportunities, it is recommended that a traffic signal be installed at this intersection. This signal should be interconnected to the signals at $7^{\text {th }} \& 1-235$.

## Project Justification:

The City's current analysis for January, 2006 - December, 2009 crash information (4year period) indicates the following information:

| Accident Type | Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left turning | 6 |
| Right-Angle | 7 |
| Other | 1 |
| Total | 14 |
| Average per year: | 3.5 |

Of the 14 reported accidents, there were 3 personal injury crashes involving 3 injuries.

## Several safety benefits will occur as a result of this project. They are listed below:

- The number of left-turning and right-angle crashes should be reduced. Although the number of crashes is not excessive, the two major types of crashes should both be reduced. The number of right-angle crashes are generally reduced by signalization. The left-turning crashes at this location are due to westbound vehicles paying so much attention to looking for a gap in southbound traffic around the approaching curve that they are not aware of eastbound vehicles.
- Pedestrians, including those requiring wheelchairs, will have traffic signals available to cross $7^{\text {th }}$ Street. If they use the north crosswalk, there should be a very limited number of conflicts, since $7^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. is a one-way street, southbound, and the only conflicting vehicles would be southbound drivers turning right on red.
- The signals would be interconnected into the city's downtown signal system. Because $7^{\text {th }}$ St. is a one-way street, there should be no adverse affect on southbound traffic flow through this corridor. Also, with proper signal timing, westbound platoons coming from the Mercy Medical Center complex should be able to approach the $7^{\text {th }} /$ Laurel intersection on a "green" signal indication.

Exhibit "C"

## COST ESTIMATE

## $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and Laurel Street Traffic Signal Installation

TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION: ..... \$120,000
INTERCONNECT TO $7^{\text {TH } / I-235: ~}$ TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST: ..... \$30,000 ..... \$150,000
(MATERIAL/EQUIPMENT COST):(INSTALLATION COST):
\$75,000
\$75,000

| DESIGN $/$ INSPECTION: | $\$ 20,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST: | $\$ 170,000$ |

[^1]
# $7^{\text {th }}$ Street and Laurel Street Traffic Signal Installation 

## TIME SCHEDULE

Project Approval:

December 2010

Agreement Signed:
Project bid:
Construction completed:
Project Closeout:

March 2011
June 2011
November 2011
June 2011





On Laurel Street, looking west toward $7^{\text {th }}$ Street.


On $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, 200 North of Laurel, looking south

Exhibit F-2


Exhibit J-1






## 7th and Laurel

## 2006-2009 Reportable Crashes


(0) crashes could not be placed in this schematic


| Parked | Ped |
| :---: | :---: |
| \& Erratic | × Bicycle |
| \&n Out of control | O Injury |
| Right turn | (0) Fatality |
| Left turn | $\Rightarrow$ Nighttime |
| U-turn |  |
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## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

## GENERAL INFORMATION

| Location / Title of Project | $76^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Kirkwood Boulevard SW: School Zone Beacons \& Speed Feedback Signs |
| :---: | :---: |
| Applicant City of Ced | City of Cedar Rapids |
| Contact Person Leslie H | t, P.E. PTOE Title Associate Traffic Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | $12016^{\text {th }}$ St SW |
|  | Cedar Rapids, IA 52404 |
| Phone 319-286-5802 | E-Mail l.hart@cedar-rapids.org |
| (Area Code) |  |

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Phone
E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

## Funding Amount

Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 46,836
\$ 42,436

## EXHIBIT "B"

## PROJECT NARRATIVE

## School Zone Beacons and Speed Feedback Signs

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

College Community School District campus is located in the southwest quadrant of Cedar Rapids. 4 elementary schools, 2 middle schools, and a high school are located along Kirkwood Boulevard and $76^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SW. The total enrollment is approximately 4,100 $\mathrm{K}-12$ students. The posted speed limit around the campus is 45 M.P.H. During school hours the speed limit is lowered to 25 M.P.H. The school zone is marked by speed limit signs with "when children are present" plaques (S4-2P).

The high-speed rural cross-section roadway and poor public understanding of the signage has led to compliance and enforcement issues.

## PROPOSED PROJECT

With the proposed installation of solar powered programmable flashers to emphasize the school speed zone hours and limits, The City of Cedar Rapids will provide a high level of warning to alert drivers to a specific time when the school zone speed limit is active. Beacons also support better enforcement of the school zone by law enforcement.

To assist enforcement of the school zone speed limit, the City of Cedar Rapids proposes to install speed feedback signs in conjunction with the beacons on the school zone signs. These feedback signs will flash vehicle speed when you are approaching faster than the posted speed for the school zone and which will alert the driver to slow down. The speed feedback signs offer a great traffic calming solution because they give the drivers notice they are speeding. Tests have shown that speeders will slow down for these signs. Typical average speed reductions are $10-20 \%$, and overall compliance with the posted speed limit will go up by 30-60\%.

## PROJECT COST SUMMARY

## SCHOOL ZONE BEACONS AND SPEED FEEDBACK SIGNS

June 15, 2010

Total Anticipated Materials Costs ..... \$ 42,436.00
Total Anticipated Costs for Installation ..... $\$ \quad 4,400.00$
Total Estimated Project Costs \$ 46,836.00
NOTES:
Materials include:
Master Flash System ..... Qty 2
Slave Flash System ..... Qty 3
Feedback Sign w/ 18" display ..... Qty 4
Solar Panels for Feedback signs Qty 4

## EXHIBIT "D"

## TIME SCHEDULE FOR PROPOSED PROJECT

## SCHOOL ZONE BEACONS \& SPEED FEEDBACK SIGNS

| June 15, 2010 | -- | T.S.I.P. Project submittal deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| December 15, 2010 | -- | Traffic Safety Improvements Program approval |
| May 15, 2011 | -- | Project agreement approval |
| July 15, 2011 | -- | Materials Delivery |
| August 1, 2011 | -- | Project construction start |
| August 15, 2011 | -- | Project construction completion |



## EXHIBIT "F"

## COLOR PICTURES OF THE PROJECT SITE

## School Zone Beacons and Speed Feedback Signs



Photos 1 (above, westbound at east end) and 2 (below, eastbound at west end) show the existing school zone designation on $76^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SW between Kirkwood Blvd and $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street. Four campus accesses are located within this one-half mile zone.


View of project area on Kirkwood Boulevard SW. School zone is associated with aligned access to Prairie Point Middle School (east side) and a general access to College Community campus on west side.


Photo 3. Northbound view on Kirkwood Boulevard SW toward 76 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SW.


Photo 4. Southbound view on Kirkwood Boulevard SW leaving $76^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SW.



』 SCHOOL

| FILE NO.: 60-10-004 |
| :--- |
| DRAWN BY: JLR |
| APPROVED BY: $\quad$ LH |
| DATE: $6 / 14 / 10$ |
| SCALE: $\quad 1^{\prime \prime}=1000^{\prime}$ |

76TH AVE AND KIRKWOOD BLVD SW

## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

## GENERAL INFORMATION

| Location / Title of Project | Low Cost Saftey Countermeasures at Six High Hazard Intersections in Waterloo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applicant City of Wa | City of Waterloo |  |  |
| Contact Person Moham | Mohammad Elahi | Title | Traffic Engineer |
| Complete Mailing Address | 408 E. $6^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |
|  | Waterloo, Iowa 50 |  |  |

Phone (319) 291-4440

E-Mail mohammad.elahi@waterloo-ia.org (Area Code)

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail
(Area Code)

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\boxed{ }$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount

Total Project Cost
Safety Funds Requested
\$ 71,100
\$ 71,100

## B. NARRATIVE

This TCD proposal covers low cost safety countermeasures at six high hazard locations in Waterloo. The proposed improvements have high probabilities of success in reducing crashes. Most of the proposed techniques are proven to reduce their target crash patterns. The techniques are listed next.

Changing protected-permitted left turn signal phasing to protected-only will eliminate crashes that happen on permitted phase.
Installing near-side signal heads will mark the beginning of the intersection and will help reduce red light running and rear-end collisions.

Split signal phasing will eliminate opposing traffic crashes. Advance end of green warning system will help reduce red light running and rear end accidents.
One signal head over each lane and a far-side pole mounted signal head will improve signal visibility. It will help reduce red light running.
Each narrative contains most the relevant information for the particular location.

## B. 1 - Intersection of E San Marnan Drive and Shoppers Boulevard

Changing permitted-protected signal phasing to protected only, and adding signal heads are proposed. East San Marnan Drive is a divided 45 mph arterial. Shopper’s Boulevard provides access to a commercial area. The t-intersection where the two roadways meet is number 10 on Statewide Intersection Safety Improvement Candidate Location (SICL) List for Waterloo. Accident history for a 4.4 year period shows three failed to yield right of way: making left collisions. All these were injury crashes and all involved left turns from San Marnan. Changing left turn signal phasing from protected-permitted to protected-only is proposed. The CRF is reported as high as $99 \%$. Waterloo's own experience confirms that. There have been rear end collisions and right angle crashes caused by running the red light. Near side signal heads on San Marnan Drive would help reduce these type of crashes. The collision diagram on next page shows the type of countermeasure proposed for different groups of accidents.

Table 1: Crash types expected to be reduced by the proposed improvements.

| Number of <br> Crashes | Crash severity | Description | Counter Measure |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Injury | Involved Left Turners <br> On Permitted Phase | Change Left Turn Signal <br> Phasing To Protected Only |
| 3 | PDO | Rear-end | Add a near-side signal head |
| 1 | Injury | Rear-end | Add a near-side signal head |
| 2 | PDO | Ran Red Light (San <br> Marnan) | Add a near-side signal head |

## Shoppers Blvd \& San Marnan Drive

## 2006-2009 and Part 2010 Reportable Crashes





EXISTING SIGNAL HEAD
NEW 3-SECTION SIGNAL HEAD
REPLACE EXISTING 5-SEC WITH NEW 3-SEC HEAD

## B. 2 - Intersection of W Park Avenue and Commercial Street

Proposed improvements are to upgrade equipment and modify signal heads to accommodate split phasing on Park Avenue. W Park Avenue and Commercial Streets are both 30 mph roadways in downtown Waterloo. The intersection is listed as number 3 on Statewide Intersection Safety Improvement Candidate Location (SICL) List for Waterloo. Accident History a 4.4 year period shows a variety of patterns. Horizontal alignment of W. Park Avenue's legs could be cause of some of the problems. City has recently added near side overhead signal heads. A proven technique to reduce accidents involving opposing traffic at signals is use of split phasing. The signals are currently set as a simple two phase operation. Signage is proposed to provide positive guidance as to expected movements from each lane combined with use of "arrow" signal heads to match the expected lane group movement.

Park Avenue phasing is proposed to be changed to split phase operation. Only one approach will have the green at a time. Signal timing will have to be adjusted to favor northbound traffic coming into town in the morning, southbound traffic heading out of downtown in the evening and balanced timing for the noon hour. The controller cabinet is old and needs to be replaced to accommodate this change. One three section head on each direction will have to be replaced by a four section head having a green left turn arrow. Also proposed are lane assignment signs and matching arrowed signal heads. Cost is estimated at $\$ 14,600$.
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## B. 3 - Intersection of US 218/ Washington Street and Hawthorne Avenue

This intersection is listed as number 2 on Statewide Intersection Safety Improvement Candidate Location (SICL) List for Waterloo. City has recently changed the permittedprotected left turn phasing on US 218. The left turn phasing in protected -only now. This should help reduce the left turn crashes. To reduce the red light running, rear-end and improper lane change crashes the following countermeasures are proposed:
B.3.1- Install one signal head over curb lane for US 218 southbound approach on the exiting mast arm poles.
B.3.2- Install pole mounted near side signal heads.
B.3.2- Install a pole mounted far-side signal head.

The picture shows US 218 southbound approach at Hawthorne Avenue.


For northbound 218 only two, one near side and one far side, pole mounted signal heads are proposed.

Cost of five 3 -section heads complete with mounting hardware, and incidentals is estimated at $\$ 3,900$. Added signal heads is expected to reduce instances of the red-light running and rear end collisions.

## US218 \& Hawthorne Avenue



## B. 4 - Intersection US 63 and US 218 Off Ramp

This intersection is listed as number 21 on Statewide Intersection Safety Improvement Candidate Location (SICL) List for Waterloo. Red light running is observed by southbound traffic on US 63. A near side pole mounted traffic signal can help identify the ramp intersection.



## B. 4 - Intersection of W 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bluff Street and Washington Street

This intersection is listed as number 2 on Statewide Intersection Safety Improvement Candidate Location (SICL) List for Waterloo. Frequent red light running is observed by westbound traffic on Bluff. This is due to visibility of the downstream signals. Driver could confuse a downstream green indication as his own. Installing programmable visibility heads is proposed to remedy the situation.


## Bluff_W6th
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## B. 6 - Intersection University Avenue and Ansborough Avenue

Both University Avenue and Ansborough Avenue are arterials. University Avenue is a 45 mph multi-lane divided 6 lane roadway with additional turn lanes at Ansborough Avenue. Two predominant crash patterns exist at this location. One pattern shows left turns colliding with opposing through on Ansborough. Northbound approach turning left onto University collides with southbound through traffic. Protected left turn phasing for northbound approach on Ansborough will help eliminate this problem. Another pattern is red light running and rear end collisions at the southwest corner on University Avenue. This is mainly due to the existence of a horizontal approach curve. Advance end of green warning system, and a near side signal head is proposed for eastbound approach on University Avenue.


ANsborough \& University
2006-2009 and Part 2010
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## C. ITEMIZED BREAKDOWN OF ALL COSTS:

Intersection of East San Marnan and Shoppers Boulevard

|  |  | QNTY. | UNIT <br> $\$$ | TOTAL <br> $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 3-SECTION LED RYG LEFT ARROW SIGNAL HEAD AND <br> MOUNTING HARDWARE | 1 EA | 700 | 700 |
| 2 | 3-SECTION LED RYG SOLID BALL SIGNAL HEAD AND <br> MOUNTING HARDWARE | 3 EA | 700 | 2,100 |
| 3 | WIRES, CABLES, ETC | 1 LS | 200 | 200 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  | $\$ 3,000$ |

Intersection of W Park Avenue and Commercial Street

|  |  | QNTY. | UNIT |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | TOTAL <br> $\$$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4-SECTION LED RYG SOLID BALL WITH LEFT ARROW <br> SIGNAL HEAD AND MOUNTING HARDWARE | 2 EA | 9000 | 1800 |
| 2 | NEW CONTROL CABINET COMPLETE WITH BASE | 1 EA | 12000 | 12000 |
| 3 | INCIDENTALS (CABLES, ETC) | 1 LS | 400 | 400 |
| 4 | SIGNS | 8 EA | 50 | 400 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  | $\$ 14,600$ |

218 and Hawthorne

|  |  | QNTY. | UNIT <br> $\$$ | TOTAL <br> $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3-SECTION LED RYG SOLID BALL SIGNAL HEAD AND <br> MOUNTING HARDWARE | 5 EA | 700 | 3500 |
| 2 | INCIDENTALS (CABLES, ETC) | 1 LS | 400 | 400 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  | $\$ 3,900$ |

Intersection US 63 and US 218 Off Ramp

|  |  | QNTY. | UNIT <br> $\$$ | TOTAL <br> $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 3-SECTION LED RYG SOLID SIGNAL HEADS COMPLETE <br> WITH MOUNTING AND PROGRAMMING HARDWARE | 1 EA | 700 | 700 |
| 2 | ONE 10' PEDESTAL POLE SIGNAL SUPPORT, COMPLETE <br> WITH BREAKAWAY TRANSFORMER BASE AND BASE | 1 LS | 2200 | 2200 |
| 3 | CONDUIT, WIRING \& INCIDENTALS | 1 LS | 7000 | 7000 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  | $\$ 9,900$ |

Intersection of W 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and Bluff Street

|  |  | QNTY. | UNIT <br> $\$$ | TOTAL <br> $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3-SECTION LED RYG SOLID BALL REMOTE <br> PROGRAMMABLE VISIBILITY SIGNAL HEADS COMPLETE <br> WITH HARDWARE | 12 EA | 2000 | 24,000 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  | $\$ 24,000$ |

University \& Ansborough

|  |  | QNTY. | UNIT <br> $\$$ | TOTAL <br> $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3-SECTION LED RYG SIGNAL HEAD AND MOUNTING <br> HARDWARE | 1 EA | 700 | 700 |
| 2 | ADVANCE END OF GREEN WARNING SYSTEM | 1 EA | 15000 | 15000 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  | $\$ 15,700$ |

SUMMARY

| 1 | Intersection of East San Marnan and Shoppers Boulevard | 3000 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Intersection of W Park Avenue and Commercial Street | 14600 |
| 3 | 218 and Hawthorne | 3900 |
| 4 | Intersection US 63 and US 218 Off Ramp | 9900 |
| 5 | Intersection of W 6th Street and Bluff Street | 24000 |
| 6 | University \& Ansborough | 15700 |
|  | TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 7 1 , 1 0 0}$ |


|  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { 思 } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 3 \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}\right.$ | 菏 |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \theta_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}$ |  | $0$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 4 \\ \substack{\text { B } \\ \hline} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{y}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} z \\ 0 \\ < \end{array}\right\|$ | 易 |
| START | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DOT Agreement Exchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bidding／Procurement Process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| END |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＊ |

## E. LOCATION MAP



# Request for Traffic Safety Funds lowa Department of Transportation 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

Location/Title of Project:_Traffic Sign Inventories/Replacement Program
Applicant: lowa Department of Transportation
Contact Person: John Dostart, P.E. $\qquad$ Title: Urban Engineer

Complete Mailing Address: 800 Lincoln Way
(Street Address and/or Box Number)

| Ames | lowa | 50010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (City) | (State) | (Zip) |

Daytime Phone: 515-239-1291 e-mail John.Dostart@dot.iowa.gov (Area Code)
If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate the contact person(s), mailing address(s), and telephone number(s), of the additional highway authority.

Co-Applicant(s): $\qquad$
Contact Person: $\qquad$ Title: $\qquad$
Complete Mailing Address:
(Street Address and/or Box Number)
(City)
(State)
(Zip)
Daytime Phone: $\qquad$ e-mail $\qquad$

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:
Nature of Application:


Site Specific Traffic Control Device Safety Study

| Funding: | Total Cost of the Proposed Project | $\$ \underline{250,000}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Safety Funds Requested for the Project | $\$ \underline{250,000}$ |

## IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

| To Office | Traffic and Safety | Date | June 15, 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Attention | Steve Gent, P.E. | Ref. No. | 800 |
| From | Charlie Purcell, P.E. |  |  |
| Office | Local Systems |  |  |
| Subject | Funding Request for City Sign Replacement Program, FY 2012 |  |  |


| Action Requested: | Approval of $\$ 250,000$ from Traffic Safety Improvement Funds (Traffic Control <br> Devices Category) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Background: | The lowa DOT started the subject program in 1991 at a funding level of $\$ 120,000$. <br> Since FY07, this program was continued at an increased level of funding of <br> $\$ 250,000$ in the Traffic Control Devices as part of Traffic Safety Improvement <br> Funds. The program has been structured such that all communities with a <br> population of 5,000 or less are eligible to apply. The focus of this program has <br> been replacing STOP (R1-1), YIELD (R1-2), STOP AHEAD (W3-1), DO NOT <br>  <br> ENTER (R5-1), single headed arrow (W1-6), and double headed arrow (W1-7) <br> signs only. Applications for the program are considered in the order received. <br> For this year, as a trial program, an expansion of the program is proposed to <br> include all cities. |
| Eligible communities will submit applications requesting replacement of STOP |  |

In view of this overwhelming demand and need to meet the new retroreflectivity requirements from communities as stated above, we request your approval of $\$ 250,000$ from Traffic Safety Improvement Funds to continue this program in the next fiscal year.

Please contact John Dostart or myself if you have any questions.
MJP:JED

```
Attachment
cc: Tom Welch
Kurtis Younkin
Terry Ostendorf
John Dostart
```


## Application for TRAFFIC SAFETY FUNDS

## GENERAL INFORMATION

Location / Title of Project Improved Signing at High Crash Horizontal Curves
Applicant lowa DOT - Office of Traffic and Safety
Contact Person Kurtis Younkin Title Traffic Engineer

Complete Mailing Address 800 Lincoln Way
Ames, IA 50010
Phone $\frac{515-239-1184}{\text { (Area Code) }}$
E-Mail Kurtis.Younkin@dot.iowa.gov

If more than one highway authority is involved in this project, please indicate and fill in the information below (use additional sheets if necessary).

Co-Applicant(s) $\qquad$
Contact Person $\qquad$ Title

Complete Mailing Address $\qquad$

Phone $\qquad$ E-Mail $\qquad$
(Area Code)

## PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROJECT INFORMATION:

## Application Type

| Site Specific | $\square$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| Safety Study | $\square$ |

Funding Amount

| Total Project Cost | $\$ 70,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety Funds Requested | $\$ 70,000$ |

## Narrative

## Improved Signing at High Crash Horizontal Curves

Research and pilot studies indicate that replacing existing chevron signs with oversized signs with high reflective fluorescent yellow prismatic sheeting reduce both curve speed and associated run-off-the-road crashes.

CTRE has provided to the Iowa DOT a prioritized list of crash horizontal curve sites throughout the State. This funding would target these high crash areas and improve curve signing.

Amount Requested: \$70,000


[^0]:    SUMMARY ACCIDENTS LAST 5 YEARS ONLY

    | 0 | Fatalities @ | $\$ 3,500,000$ |  | $\$$ | - |  |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
    | 0 | Major @ | $\$ 240,000$ |  | $\$$ | - |  |
    | 1 | Minor @ | $\$$ | 48,000 |  | $\$$ |  |
    | 5 | Possible @ | $\$ r 25,000$ |  | $\$$ | 125,000 |  |
    | Property Damage |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]:    *Material and Equipment Costs Only

